## Appendix

## APPENDIX A

## Analysis of Kek5 intracellular deletion variants

This section includes a comprehensive analysis of all the Kek5 variants generated. All constructs were tested with ptcGAL4 (drives expression in the $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{P}$ boundary) to assess viability, crossvein defects and scutellar bristle duplication. In some cases range of crossvein defects or scutellar bristles or viability of a particular variant are depicted in the form of a bar graph for better visualization of any trend.

Representative lines for each Kek5 variant were subsequently used to test for affects on epithelial cell extrusion, 'large-cell' and arm upregulation. Viability was calculated by dividing the number of flies of the right genotype $\left(p t c>k e k 5^{v a r i a n t}\right)$ by the number of balancer flies. Crossvein defects were calculated by dividing the number of wings observed with crossvein defects divided by the total number of wings of the $p t c>k e k 5^{\text {variant }}$ scored.

## UAS-kek5 ${ }^{\Delta I C+P C} g f p$

| Simplified <br> nomenclature | Transgenic <br> line | Chrom* | GFP |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $1 \mathrm{~F}-1 \mathrm{M}$ | III | +- | - |  |
| 2 | $8 \mathrm{~F}-1 \mathrm{M}$ | III | + | $0 \%$ |  |
| 3 | $8 \mathrm{~F}-2 \mathrm{~F}$ | III | ++ | $0 \%$ |  |
| 4 | $13 \mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{M}$ | III | ++ | $26.70 \%$ | $4-5$ |
| 5 | $13 \mathrm{M}-2 \mathrm{M}$ | III | +- | $13 \%$ | $4-5$ |
| 6 | $15 \mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{M}$ | II | +- | $0 \%$ |  |
| 7 | $15 \mathrm{M}-2 \mathrm{M}$ | II | ++- | $1.80 \%$ | $4-5$ |
| 8 | $19 \mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{M}$ | II | ++ | $2.60 \%$ | $4-5$ |
| 9 | $1 \mathrm{~F}-1 \mathrm{~F}$ | II | ++ | $1.30 \%$ | $4-5$ |
| 10 | $6 \mathrm{~F}-1 \mathrm{~F}$ | III | ++ | $3.30 \%$ | $4-5$ |
| 11 | $8 \mathrm{~F}-1 \mathrm{~F}$ | X | ++- | $5.60 \%$ | $4-5$ |
| 12 | $8 \mathrm{~F}-2 \mathrm{Fa}$ | III | ++ | $0 \%$ |  |
| 13 | $8 \mathrm{~F}-4 \mathrm{M}$ | III | +++ | $2.80 \%$ | $4-5$ |

All lines tested were viable.
Simplified nomenclature ${ }^{\theta}$ - Transgenic lines were given numeral nomenclature to simplify the alpha- numeral naming scheme; Chrom* Chromosome; GFP ${ }^{\Psi}$ - GFP levels were roughly assessed in salivary glands of $3^{\text {rd }}$ instar larvae under a fluorescence dissection microscope; $\mathrm{ACV}^{\Phi}$ - Frequency of wings showing crossveins defects (missing or truncated); Bristles ${ }^{\pi}$ - Number of scutellar bristles


Figure A1: Graph depicting frequency of ACV defects in the tested ptc>kek5 $\boldsymbol{5}^{\Delta C+P C}$ lines. It is clear from the graph that majority of the lines tested show reduces crossvein defects ( $>5 \%$ ) indicating that sequence elements in the intracellular region of Kek5 are important for production of crossvein defects.

## $\underline{U A S-k e k 5^{\Delta 123} g f p}$

| Simplified nomenclature ${ }^{\theta}$ | Transgenic line | Chrom* | GFP ${ }^{\Psi}$ | $\%$ <br> Viability | $\mathbf{A C V}^{\Phi}$ | Bristles ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\pi}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2F-1M | II | ND | 6.25 | 100\% | S |
| 2 | 3F-1M | II | ++ | 34.5 | 60\% | S |
| 3 | 4F-1M | II | ++- | 1.4 | 100\% | S |
| 4 | 4F-5M | II | ND | LETHAL |  |  |
| 5 | 7F-2M | II | ++ | 2.6 | 100\% | S |
| 6 | 10F-2M | II | ++ | 44.4 | 73\% | M-S |
| 7 | $11 \mathrm{~F}-1 \mathrm{Ma}$ | X |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | $11 \mathrm{~F}-1 \mathrm{Mb}$ | X | ND | LETHAL |  |  |
| 9 | $12 \mathrm{~F}-1 \mathrm{M}$ | III | ++- | LETHAL |  |  |
| 10 | 16F-3M | III | ND | LETHAL |  |  |
| 11 | $18 \mathrm{~F}-1 \mathrm{Fa}$ | II | + | 48 | 74\% | VS (16) |
| 12 | $18 \mathrm{~F}-1 \mathrm{Fb}$ | II | + | 45 | 72\% | S |
| 13 | $23 \mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{Ma}$ | II | +++ | LETHAL |  |  |
| 14 | $23 \mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{Mb}$ | III | ND | LETHAL |  |  |
| 15 | $23 \mathrm{M}-2 \mathrm{M}$ | III | ND | LETHAL |  |  |
| 16 | 24M-1M | II | +- | 18.75 | 93\% | M-S |
| 17 | $32 \mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{M}$ | III | ++- | LETHAL |  |  |
| 18 | $34 \mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{Fa}$ | III | ++ | LETHAL |  |  |
| 19 | $34 \mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{Fb}$ | III | ++- | LETHAL |  |  |
| 20 | 4F-1M | X | ND | LETHAL |  |  |
| 21 | 7F-2M | II | ND | LETHAL |  |  |
| 22 | $8 \mathrm{~F}-4 \mathrm{Ma}$ | X | ND | LETHAL |  |  |
| 23 | $8 \mathrm{~F}-4 \mathrm{Mb}$ | II | ND | LETHAL |  |  |
| 24 | 8F-5M | X | ND | LETHAL |  |  |
| 25 | 13F-1F | X | ND | LETHAL |  |  |
| 26 | $23 \mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{M}$ | III | ND | LETHAL |  |  |
| 31 | 25M-1M | III | ND | LETHAL |  |  |
| 32 | 26M-2M | II | ND | LETHAL |  |  |
| 34 | $29 \mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{Mb}$ | III | ND | LETHAL |  |  |
| 36 | $30 \mathrm{M}-3 \mathrm{Mb}$ | III | ND | LETHAL |  |  |
| 37 | 34M-1M | III | ND | LETHAL |  |  |
| 38 | $35 \mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{~F}$ | X | ND | LETHAL |  |  |
| 39 | 36M-1M | II | ND | LETHAL |  |  |
| 40 | 40M-1M | II | ND | LETHAL |  |  |
| 41 | 43F-1F | X | ND | LETHAL |  |  |
| 42 | 44F-2M | X | ND | LETHAL |  |  |


${ }^{\theta}$ - Transgenic lines were given numeral nomenclature to simplify the corresponding alpha- numeral naming scheme; Chrom* - Chromosome; GFP $^{\Psi}$ - GFP levels were roughly assessed in salivary glands of $3^{\text {rd }}$ instar larvae under a fluorescence dissection microscope; ACV ${ }^{\Phi}$ - Frequency of wings showing crossveins defects; Bristles ${ }^{\pi}$ - Number of scutellar bristles ( $\mathrm{W}=\mathrm{Weak}, \mathrm{M}=$ Moderate, $\mathrm{S}=$ Strong bristle duplication), number in parentheses indicates the average number of bristles in flies for that line.


Figure A2: Graph depicting the frequency of crossvein defects in Kek5 ${ }^{\Delta 123}$ lines tested with ptcGAL4. Among the viable lines tested, frequency of crossveins defects was observed to be $\geq 60 \%$ suggesting that motifs 1,2 and 3 do not participate in induction of crossvein defect by Kek5.


Lines tested

Figure A3: Graph depicting the frequency of crossvein defects in relation to percent viability of the Kek5 ${ }^{\Delta 123}$ lines tested with ptcGAL4. Among the viable lines tested, frequency of crossveins defects was observed to be $\geq 60 \%$ suggesting that motifs 1,2 and 3 do not participate in induction of crossvein defect by Kek5. Generally, it can be seen that as frequency of crossvein defect increases, percent viability reduces.

## $\underline{U A S-k e k 5^{445} g f p}$

| Simplified <br> nomenclature ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ | Transgenic <br> line | Chrom* | GFP $^{\Psi}$ | \% <br> Viabillity | ACV $^{\boldsymbol{\Phi}}$ | Bristles $^{\boldsymbol{\pi}}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $5 \mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{~F}$ | II | ++ | 100 | $20.8 \%$ | V |
| 2 | $6 \mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{~F}$ | III | ++ | 100 | $1.8 \%$ | W |
| 3 | $11 \mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{M}$ | II | ++ | 78 | $15.3 \%$ | $\mathrm{M}(10.3)$ |
| 4 | $14 \mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{Ma}$ | III |  | 100 | $7.5 \%$ | W |
| 6 | $14 \mathrm{M}-2 \mathrm{M}$ | III | + | 100 | $6.4 \%$ | W |
| 7 | $16 \mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{~F}$ | III | ++ | 100 | $4.4 \%$ | W |
| 8 | $20 \mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{~F}$ | III | ++ | 100 | $23.5 \%$ | $\mathrm{M}(10.8)$ |
| 11 | $30 \mathrm{~F}-2 \mathrm{~F}$ | II | ++ | 100 | $15.9 \%$ | M |
| 12 | $38 \mathrm{~F}-1 \mathrm{M}$ | II | +- | 94 | $0.0 \%$ | NE |
| 13 | $40 \mathrm{~F}-1 \mathrm{M}$ | III | ++ | 50 | $100.0 \%$ | $\mathrm{~W}(7.6)$ |
| 14 | $41 \mathrm{~F}-1 \mathrm{~F}$ | III | +- | 100 | $1.7 \%$ | $\mathrm{~W}(6.9)$ |
| 16 | $44 \mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{M}$ | II | ++ | 80 | $17.3 \%$ | M |
| 17 | $49 \mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{~F}$ | III | ++ | 100 | $25.0 \%$ | $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{S}$ |
| 18 | $49 \mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{Fa}$ | II | + | 61 | $1.2 \%$ | $\mathrm{~W}-\mathrm{M}$ |
| 20 | $53 \mathrm{~F}-1 \mathrm{M}$ | II | +- | 77 | $15.0 \%$ | $\mathrm{M}(10.8)$ |
| 21 | $2 \mathrm{~F}-1 \mathrm{~F}$ | III | +- | 100 | $4.0 \%$ | W |
| 22 | $2 \mathrm{~F}-3 \mathrm{M}$ | III | +++ | 16 | $100.0 \%$ | S |
| 23 | $6 \mathrm{~F}-1 \mathrm{Fa}$ | III | ++ | 100 | $33.0 \%$ | W |
| 24 | $6 \mathrm{~F}-1 \mathrm{Fb}$ | III | +- | 87 | $15.0 \%$ | M |
| 25 | $6 \mathrm{~F}-2 \mathrm{~F}$ | II | +- | 73 | $16.9 \%$ | $\mathrm{M}(8.6)$ |
| 26 | $10 \mathrm{~F}-1 \mathrm{~F}$ | X | ++ | 65 | $25.4 \%$ | $\mathrm{~S}(11.3)$ |
| 27 | $15 \mathrm{~F}-1 \mathrm{M}$ | III | ++- | 100 | $0.0 \%$ | $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{S}$ |
| 29 | $22 \mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{M}$ | III | ++ | 100 | $6.5 \%$ | M |
| 30 | $22 \mathrm{M}-2 \mathrm{~F}$ | III | ++- | 82 | $13.0 \%$ | $\mathrm{~W}(7.2)$ |
| 31 | $23 \mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{M}$ | II | ++ | 100 | $9.3 \%$ | W |
| 32 | $30 \mathrm{M}-2 \mathrm{M}$ | II | ++- | 100 | $25.0 \%$ | $\mathrm{~W}-\mathrm{M}$ |
| 33 | $30 \mathrm{M}-2 \mathrm{M}$ | III | ++ | 100 | $0.0 \%$ | W |
| 35 | $40 \mathrm{M}-2 \mathrm{Ma}$ | III | +- | 53 | $44.0 \%$ | M |

[^0]$p t c>K e k 5^{\Delta 45} . g f p-\% A C V$ defect


Figure A4: Graph depicting frequency of ACV defects in the tested ptc>kek5 ${ }^{\Delta 45}$ lines. It is clear from the graph that majority of the lines tested show reduces crossvein defects ( $\sim 25 \%$ ). No lethality was observed in any of the ptc>kek $5^{\Delta 45}$ crosses.

## $\underline{U A S-k e k 5}{ }^{\Delta 234} g f p$

| Simplified <br> nomenclature | Transgenic <br> Line | Chrom* | \% <br> Viability | ACV $^{\boldsymbol{\Phi}}$ | Bristles $^{\boldsymbol{\pi}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A2F-2M | II | 3 | 0 | 4 |
| 2 | A17F-1F | III | 8.7 | 86.6 | 4.3 |
| 3 | A17F-2F | III | Lethal |  |  |
| 4 | A20M-2M | II | Lethal |  |  |
| 5 | A25M-1F | III | Lethal |  |  |
| 6 | A27M-1M | II | Lethal |  |  |
| 7 | A27M-2M | II | 1.4 | 16.6 | 5.3 |
| 8 | A29M-1M | II | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| 9 | A43F-1F | II | 100 | 100 | 4.4 |
| 10 | A44M-1M | II | 35.5 | 1.8 | 4.52 |
| 11 | A48F-2M | III | Lethal |  |  |


${ }^{\theta}$ - Transgenic lines were given numeral nomenclature to simplify the corresponding alpha- numeral naming scheme; Chrom* - Chromosome; $\mathrm{ACV}^{\Phi}$ - Frequency of wings showing crossveins defects; Bristles ${ }^{\pi}$ - Number of scutellar bristles
ptc>Kek $5^{\Delta 234}-\%$ Viability $\&$ crossvein defects


Figure A5: Graph depicting the frequency of crossvein defects in relation to percent viability of the Kek5 $5^{\Delta 234}$ lines tested with ptcGAL4. Among the viable lines tested, no correlation between frequency of crossvein defect and viability could be drawn.
$\underline{U A S-k e k 5^{\Delta 1234} g f p}$

| Transgenic Line | Chrom* | \% Viability | $\mathrm{ACV}^{\Phi}$ | Bristles ${ }^{\text {r }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HHB1 | III | Lethal |  |  |
| HHB3 | II | Lethal |  |  |
| HHB4 | II | Lethal |  |  |
| HHB6 | II | Lethal |  |  |
| HHB7 | II | V | 74 | 15.9 |
| HHB10 | I | Lethal |  |  |
| HHB11 | II | Lethal |  |  |
| HHB12 | III | Lethal |  |  |
| HHB14 | I | Lethal |  |  |
| HHB15 | III | V | 63 | 11.15 |
| HHB16 | II | Lethal |  |  |
| HHB19 | II | Lethal |  |  |
| HHB22 | III | 6 | 100 | 9 |
| HHB24 | III | Lethal |  |  |
| HHB26 | III | Lethal |  |  |
| HHB30 | II | 3 | 100 | 7 |
| HHB31 | III | Lethal |  |  |
| HHB32 | III | Lethal |  |  |
| HHB34 | II | V | - | 15.6 |
| HHB35 | II | Lethal |  |  |
| HHB36 | II | V | 97 | 10.9 |
| HHB39 | III |  | al |  |



[^1]

Figure A6: Graph depicting the frequency of crossvein defects in relation to percent viability of the Kek5 ${ }^{\Delta 1234}$ lines tested with ptcGAL4. High frequency of crossvein defects was observed among the viable lines tested.

## $U A S-k e k 5^{\Delta 1235} g f p$

| Transgenic Line | Chrom* | \% <br> Viability | $\mathbf{A C V}^{\Phi}$ | Bristles ${ }^{\text { }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HHC3 | III | Lethal |  |  |
| HHC5 | II | Lethal |  |  |
| HHC6 | II | Lethal |  |  |
| HHC8 | II | Lethal |  |  |
| HHC13 | III | Lethal |  |  |
| HHC14 | III | Lethal |  |  |
| HHC15 | II | Lethal |  |  |
| HHC19 | II | Lethal |  |  |
| HHC20 | III | V | 83 | 17.3 |
| HHC22 | III | very low V |  |  |
| HHC23 | I | Lethal |  |  |
| HHC24 | III | Lethal |  |  |
| HHC30 | II | Lethal |  |  |


$\theta$ - Transgenic lines were given numeral nomenclature to simplify the corresponding alpha- numeral naming scheme; Chrom* Chromosome; $\mathrm{ACV}^{\Phi}$ - Frequency of wings showing crossveins defects; Bristles ${ }^{\pi}$ - Number of scutellar bristles
$\underline{U A S-k e k 5^{\Delta l} g f p}$

| Simplified nomenclature ${ }^{\theta}$ | Transgenic line | Chrom* | $\%$ <br> Viability | $\mathbf{A C V}^{\text {® }}$ | Bristles ${ }^{\pi}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Delta 1-36$ | $\Delta 1-63 \mathrm{~F}-1 \mathrm{M}$ | II | 29.5 | 0 | 4.2 |
| $\Delta 1-28$ | $\Delta 1-46 \mathrm{~F}-1 \mathrm{M}$ | II | 100 | 3.2 | 4.3 |
| $\Delta 1-9$ | $\Delta 1-14 \mathrm{M}-2 \mathrm{M}$ | III | 100 | 15.4 | 5.4 |
| $\Delta 1-30$ | $\Delta 1-51 \mathrm{~F}-1 \mathrm{M}$ | III | 78 | 21.4 | 7.5 |
| $\Delta 1-12$ | $\Delta 1-15 \mathrm{M}-2 \mathrm{Fa}$ | III | 21.3 | 25 | 8.7 |
| $\Delta 1-35$ | $\Delta 1-62 \mathrm{~F}-2 \mathrm{M}$ | III | 100 | 26 | 7 |
| $\Delta 1-10$ | $\Delta 1-14 \mathrm{M}-3 \mathrm{M}$ | III | 100 | 26.8 | 6.9 |
| $\Delta 1-33$ | $\Delta 1-56 \mathrm{~F}-2 \mathrm{Mb}$ | II | 70.6 | 34.5 | 12.8 |
| $\Delta 1-13$ | $\Delta 1-16 \mathrm{M}-2 \mathrm{M}$ | III | 100 | 34.6 | 7.8 |
| $\Delta 1-16$ | $\Delta 1-18 \mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{M}$ | III | 100 | 39.6 | 6.6 |
| $\Delta 1-31$ | $\Delta 1-51 \mathrm{~F}-2 \mathrm{~F}$ | I | 94.2 | 66 | 5.8 |
| $\Delta 1-4$ | $\Delta 1-3 \mathrm{M}-2 \mathrm{~F}$ | II | 56.5 | 66.6 | 13.5 |
| $\Delta 1-1$ | $\Delta 1-1 \mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{~F}$ | I | 52 | 71 | 10.6 |
| $\Delta 1-11$ | $\Delta 1-15 \mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{Fa}$ | II | 100 | 71.7 | 8.4 |
| $\Delta 1-21$ | $\Delta 1-28 \mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{M}$ | II | 3.6 | 75 | 20.75 |
| $\Delta 1-5$ | $\Delta 1-6 \mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{Ma}$ | III | 39.7 | 76.3 | 10.8 |
| $\Delta 1-15$ | $\Delta 1-17 \mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{M}$ | III | 18 | 77.3 | 11.3 |
| $\Delta 1$-18 | $\Delta 1-19 \mathrm{M}-3 \mathrm{M}$ | II | 48.5 | 77.7 | 16.9 |
| $\Delta 1-22$ | $\Delta 1-36 \mathrm{~F}-2 \mathrm{M}$ | I | 76.8 | 80 | 13.4 |
| $\Delta 1-8$ | $\Delta 1-10 \mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{~F}$ | III | 92 | 91 | 13.3 |
| $\Delta 1-32$ | $\Delta 1-55 \mathrm{~F}-1 \mathrm{~F}$ | II | 71.4 | 94.3 | 14.5 |
| -1-37 | $\Delta 1-63 \mathrm{~F}-2 \mathrm{M}$ | II | 70.3 | 96 | 13.9 |
| $\Delta 1-3$ | $\Delta 1-3 \mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{M}$ | III | 73.5 | 97 | 13.2 |
| $\Delta 1-17$ | $\Delta 1-19 \mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{M}$ | III | 68.6 | 97 | 12.8 |
| $\Delta 1-2$ | $\Delta 1-2 \mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{M}$ | II | 74 | 100 | 17 |
| $\Delta 1-19$ | $\Delta 1-20 \mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{M}$ | III | 23.8 | 100 | 11.2 |
| $\Delta 1-24$ | $\Delta 1-40 \mathrm{~F}-2 \mathrm{M}$ | III | 29.3 | 100 | 14.8 |
| $\Delta 1-25$ | $\Delta 1-42 \mathrm{~F}-1 \mathrm{M}$ | II | 82.6 | 100 | 10.1 |
| $\Delta 1-26$ | $\Delta 1-43 \mathrm{~F}-1 \mathrm{M}$ | II | 100 | 100 | 12 |
| $\Delta 1-29$ | $\Delta 1-47 \mathrm{~F}-1 \mathrm{M}$ | III | 51.4 | 100 | 7.8 |
| -1-6 | $\Delta 1-6 \mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{Mb}$ | II | 0 |  |  |
| -1-7 | $\Delta 1-9 \mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{~F}$ | III | 0 |  |  |
| $\Delta 1-14$ | $\Delta 1-16 \mathrm{M}-4 \mathrm{M}$ | III | 0 |  |  |
| $\Delta 1-20$ | $\Delta 1-22 \mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{M}$ | III | 0 |  |  |
| $\Delta 1-23$ | $\Delta 1-40 \mathrm{~F}-1 \mathrm{M}$ | I | 0 |  |  |
| $\Delta 1-27$ | $\Delta 1-43 \mathrm{~F}-2 \mathrm{M}$ | III | 0 |  |  |
| $\Delta 1-34$ | $\Delta 1-62 \mathrm{~F}-1 \mathrm{~F}$ | I | 0 |  |  |

[^2] $\mathrm{ACV}^{\Phi}$ - Frequency of wings showing crossveins defects; Bristles ${ }^{\pi}$ - Number of scutellar bristles
$p t c>K e k 5^{\Delta 1} . g f p-\%$ Viability and ACV defect


Lines tested

Figure A7: Graph depicting the frequency of crossvein defects in relation to percent viability of the Kek5 ${ }^{\Delta 1}$ lines tested with ptcGAL4. Two thirds of the viable lines tested displayed high frequency of crossvein defects ( $>70 \%$ ) crossvein defects. Although no clear trend between frequency of crossvein defect and $\%$ viability can be observed, it can be seen that in many cases increased viability corresponds to low percentage of crossvein defects. Light grey bars indicate the frequency of CV defects while dark grey bars indicate $\%$ viability.

## $\underline{U A S-k e k 5^{\Delta 4} g f p}$

| Simplified <br> nomenclature ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ | Transgenic <br> Line | Chrom* $^{\text {\% }}$\% <br> Viability | ACV $^{\Phi}$ | Bristles $^{\boldsymbol{\pi}}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Delta 4-6$ | $\Delta 4-83 \mathrm{~F}-1 \mathrm{M}$ | III | 100 | 0 | 4.10 |
| $\Delta 4-5$ | $\Delta 4-73 \mathrm{~F}-3 \mathrm{~F}$ | I | 81.5 | 2.3 | 5.14 |
| $\Delta 4-12$ | $\Delta 4-120 \mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{M}$ | III | 100 | 4.4 | 4.96 |
| $\Delta 4-9$ | $\Delta 4-95 \mathrm{~F}-1 \mathrm{M}$ | II | 100 | 6.3 | 11.64 |
| $\Delta 4-1$ | $\Delta 4-42 \mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{M}$ | III | 100 | 9.7 | 8.9 |
| $\Delta 4-2$ | $\Delta 4-50 \mathrm{M}-2 \mathrm{~F}$ | III | 100 | 34.5 | 9.2 |
| $\Delta 4-3$ | $\Delta 4-56 \mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{M}$ | II | 91 | 52 | 15 |
| $\Delta 4-4$ | $\Delta 4-73 \mathrm{~F}-1 \mathrm{M}$ | II | 67.6 | 57.6 | 13.17 |
| $\Delta 4-8$ | $\Delta 4-91 \mathrm{~F}-1 \mathrm{M}$ | III | 53.8 | 65.3 | 12.72 |
| $\Delta 4-11$ | $\Delta 4-100 \mathrm{~F}-1 \mathrm{M}$ | III | 50 | 90 | 10.90 |
| $\Delta 4-7$ | $\Delta 4-83 \mathrm{~F}-2 \mathrm{M}$ | III | 53.8 | 93.6 | 9.92 |
| $\Delta 4-10$ | $\Delta 4-95 \mathrm{~F}-2 \mathrm{M}$ | III | 8.8 | 100 | 9.67 |

${ }^{\theta}$ - Transgenic lines were given numeral nomenclature to simplify the corresponding alpha- numeral naming scheme; Chrom* - Chromosome; $\mathrm{ACV}^{\Phi}$ - Frequency of wings showing crossveins defects; Bristles ${ }^{\pi}$ - Number of scutellar bristles


## Lines tested

Figure A8: Graph depicting the frequency of crossvein defects in relation to percent viability of the Kek5 ${ }^{\Delta 4}$ lines tested with ptcGAL4. Two thirds of the viable lines tested displayed $<55 \%$ crossvein defects. Increased viability appears to correspond to low percentage of crossvein defects and vice versa. Light grey bars indicate the frequency of CV defects while dark grey bars indicate $\%$ viability.

## UAS-kek $5^{\Delta 5} g f p$

| Simplified <br> nomenclature | Transgenic Line | Chrom* $^{\text {* }}$ | \% <br> Viability | ACV $^{\boldsymbol{\Phi}}$ | Bristles $^{\boldsymbol{n}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Delta \mathbf{5 - 1}$ | $\Delta 5-7 \mathrm{~F}-2 \mathrm{~F}$ | II | 100 | 13.3 | 4.5 |
| $\Delta \mathbf{5 - 2}$ | $\Delta 5-9 \mathrm{~F}-1 \mathrm{M}$ | II | 100 | 1.5 | 5.4 |
| $\Delta \mathbf{5 - 3}$ | $\Delta 5-9 \mathrm{~F}-2 \mathrm{M}$ | III | L |  |  |
| $\Delta \mathbf{5 - 4}$ | $\Delta 5-12 \mathrm{~F}-1 \mathrm{M}$ | I | 63.8 | 46.5 | 9.9 |
| $\Delta \mathbf{5 - 5}$ | $\Delta 5-16 \mathrm{~F}-2 \mathrm{M}$ | III | 23.8 | 50 | 12 |
| $\Delta \mathbf{5 - 6}$ | $\Delta 5-19 \mathrm{~F}-1 \mathrm{M}$ | I | 74.6 | 73.25 | 11.4 |
| $\Delta \mathbf{5 - 7}$ | $\Delta 5-22 \mathrm{~F}-1 \mathrm{~F}$ | III | 100 | 17.5 | 8.4 |
| $\Delta \mathbf{5 - 8}$ | $\Delta 5-26 \mathrm{~F}-2 \mathrm{M}$ | III | L |  |  |
| $\Delta \mathbf{5 - 9}$ | $\Delta 5-29 \mathrm{~F}-1 \mathrm{M}$ | III | 51.4 | 70.8 | 9.9 |
| $\Delta \mathbf{5 - 1 0}$ | $\Delta 5-29 \mathrm{~F}-2 \mathrm{M}$ | III |  | L |  |
| $\Delta \mathbf{5 - 1 1}$ | $\Delta 5-32 \mathrm{~F}-1 \mathrm{M}$ | II | 100 | 0 | 4.1 |
| $\Delta \mathbf{5 - 1 2}$ | $\Delta 5-32 \mathrm{~F}-2 \mathrm{M}$ | II | 45.7 | 30.7 | 13.85 |
| $\Delta \mathbf{5 - 1 3}$ | $\Delta 5-32 \mathrm{~F}-3 \mathrm{M}$ | I | 46.8 | 63.3 | 15.5 |
| $\Delta \mathbf{5 - 1 4}$ | $\Delta 5-39 \mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{M}$ | III | 8.5 | 83.3 | - |
| $\Delta \mathbf{5 - 1 5}$ | $\Delta 5-41 \mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{M}$ | II | 100 | 91.2 | 12.7 |
| $\Delta \mathbf{5 - 1 6}$ | $\Delta 5-41 \mathrm{M}-2 \mathrm{M}$ | II | 46.6 | 81.25 | 10.12 |
| $\Delta \mathbf{5 - 1 7}$ | $\Delta 5-47 \mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{M}$ | III | 24 | 75 | 15.5 |
| $\Delta \mathbf{5 - 1 8}$ | $\Delta 5-49 \mathrm{M}-2 \mathrm{Ma}$ | III | 38.6 | 63.6 | 11.6 |
| $\Delta \mathbf{5 - 1 9}$ | $\Delta 5-49 \mathrm{M}-2 \mathrm{Mb}$ | II | 100 | 0 | 4.05 |
| $\Delta \mathbf{5 - 2 0}$ | $\Delta 5-50 \mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{M}$ | III | 22.2 | 100 | 13.25 |
| $\Delta \mathbf{5 - 2 1}$ | $\Delta 5-53 \mathrm{M}-2 \mathrm{M}$ | III | 59 | 50 | 5.05 |
| $\Delta \mathbf{5 - 2 2}$ | $\Delta 5-54 \mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{M}$ | III | 47.5 | 87.5 | 13.5 |
| $\Delta \mathbf{5 - 2 3}$ | $\Delta 5-54 \mathrm{M}-2 \mathrm{~F}$ | II | 100 | 0 | 3.96 |
| $\Delta \mathbf{5 - 2 4}$ | $\Delta 5-58 \mathrm{M}-2 \mathrm{Ma}$ | III | 100 | 8.3 | 7.5 |
| $\Delta \mathbf{5 - 2 5}$ | $\Delta 5-58 \mathrm{M}-2 \mathrm{Mb}$ | II | 40.7 | 45 | 16.1 |
| $\Delta \mathbf{5 - 2 6}$ | $\Delta 5-62 \mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{M}$ | III |  | L |  |
| $\Delta \mathbf{5 - 2 7}$ | $\Delta 5-62 \mathrm{M}-2 \mathrm{M}$ | II | 86.5 | 50 | 16.05 |
| $\Delta \mathbf{5 - 2 8}$ | $\Delta 5-67 \mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{M}$ | II | 46 | 16.6 | 14.04 |
| $\Delta \mathbf{5 - 2 9}$ | $\Delta 5-69 \mathrm{M}-2 \mathrm{~F}$ | III | 37.5 | 33.3 | 13.26 |



[^3]

Figure A9: Graph depicting the frequency of crossvein defects in relation to percent viability of the Kek5 ${ }^{\Delta 5}$ lines tested with ptcGAL4. Majority of the viable lines tested displayed $<60 \%$ crossvein defects. Despite the lack of a clear trend between percentage of crossvein defect and viability, it can be seen that increased viability corresponds to low frequency of ACV defects. Light grey bars indicate the frequency of CV defects while dark grey bars indicate $\%$ viability.

## $\underline{U A S-k e k 5^{K G P D Z}}{ }_{g f p}$

| Transgenic line | Chrom $^{*}$ | \% Viability | ACV $^{\Phi}$ | Bristles $^{\boldsymbol{\pi}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K5/6.M24.1Ma | III | 73.9 | 0 | 4.08 |
| K5/6.F25.1Ma | II | 69.8 | 100 | 9 |
| K5/6.M17.1Ma | II | 58.8 | 100 | 10 |
| K5/6.F28.1M | III | 53.2 | 100 | 10.3 |
| K5/6.M25.1Mb | III | 33 | 100 | 7.6 |
| K5/6.F28.1F | III | 16.5 | 100 | 8.7 |

Chrom* - Chromosome; $\mathrm{ACV}^{\Phi}$ - Frequency of wings showing crossveins defects; Bristles ${ }^{\pi}$ - Number of scutellar bristles

## $\underline{U A S-k e k 6^{K S P D Z} g f p}$

| Transgenic line | Chrom $^{*}$ | \% Viability | ACV $^{\Phi}$ | Bristles $^{\boldsymbol{\pi}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K6/5.M2.1M | II | 37.5 | 0 | 5.2 |
| K6/5.F14.1Mb | I | 43.3 | 0 | 4.2 |
| K6/5.F18.3M (3/4) | II | 53.7 | 0 | 4.8 |
| K6/5.F35.1Mb | II | 67.6 | 0 | 4.5 |
| K6/5.F3.1Ma | I | 69.3 | 0 | 4.3 |
| K6/5.F14.2Mb | II | 73.7 | 0 | 4.8 |
| K6/5.F18.1Ma | II | 79 | 0 | 4.2 |
| K6/5.F20.1M | III | 84.7 | 0 | 4.4 |
| K6/5.M19.1M | II | 85 | 0 | 4.6 |
| K6/5.F18.3M (1/2) | III | 89.5 | 0 | 4 |
| K6/5.M8.1Ma | II | 100 | 0 | 4.4 |
| K6/5.F4.2Mb | II | 100 | 0 | 4.1 |
| K6/5.F4.3Mb | III | 100 | 0 | 4.2 |
| K6/5.F7.1Ma | I | 100 | 0 | 4.4 |
| K6/5.F17.1F | III | 100 | 0 | 4.1 |
| K6/5.F18.2Ma | III | 100 | 0 | 4.7 |
| K6/5.M35.1Ma | II | 100 | 2.4 | 4 |

Chrom* - Chromosome; ACV ${ }^{\Phi}$ - Frequency of wings showing crossveins defects; Bristles ${ }^{\pi}$ - Number of scutellar bristles

Table A1: Summary of results obtained from Kek5 variant analyses.

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CV defect } \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | Extrusion | Large cell | Scutellar bristle | Arm upregulation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kek5 | 100 | +++ | +++ | 15 | $\checkmark$ |
| Kek5 ${ }^{\text {LLRR }}$ | 0 | NE | NE | 4 | * |
| Kek5 ${ }^{\text {IIg }}$ | 97 | ++ | ++ | 11 | * |
| Kek5 ${ }^{\text {aIC }}$ | 0 | NE | NE | 4 | $\checkmark$ |
| Kek5 ${ }^{\text {diC+PC }}$ | C <2 | NE | NE | 4 | $\checkmark$ |
| Kek5 ${ }^{\text {d123 }}$ | 93 | + | + | 16 | $\checkmark$ |
| Kek5 ${ }^{\text {445 }}$ | 16 | + | + | 9 | $\checkmark$ |
| Kek5 ${ }^{\text {2234 }}$ | - | + | ++ | 5 | $\checkmark$ |
| Kek5 ${ }^{\text {1234 }}$ | 97 | + | + | 13 | $\checkmark$ |
| Kek5 ${ }^{\text {1235 }}$ | 83 | + | + | 17 | $\checkmark$ |
| Kek5 ${ }^{\Delta 1}$ | 97 | +++ | +++ | 11 | $\checkmark$ |
| Kek5 ${ }^{44}$ | 52 | +++ | +++ | 10 | $\checkmark$ |
| Kek5 ${ }^{\text {5 }}$ | 50 | +++ | +++ | 13 | $\checkmark$ |
| Kek5 ${ }^{\text {6 PDD }}$ | 100 | +++ | +++ | 9 | $\checkmark$ |
| Kek6 ${ }^{\text {K5PDZ }}$ | 0 | NE | NE | 4 | $\checkmark$ |

The number of pluses indicates the extent of the phenotype. Tick indicates Arm upregulation while cross indicates no Arm upregulation.


Figure A10: Localization of Kek5 deletion variants. GFP-tagged variants were misexpressed in the in the $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{P}$ boundary using ptcGAL4. Localization of all the variants to the membrane was normal except $\operatorname{Kek} 5^{\Delta \mathrm{LRR}}(\mathrm{B})$ and $\operatorname{Kek} 5^{\Delta I \mathrm{C}+\mathrm{PC}}(\mathrm{E}) . \mathrm{Kek}^{\Delta 1235}$ in addition to being membrane bound also was vesicular (J). All the wing discs are oriented with their anterior side to the right and ventral side up.


Figure A11a: PDZ domain binding site is sufficient for Kek5 membrane localization. GFP tagged proteins are misexpressed using ptcGAL4. Wings discs are oriented with their posterior side towards right. Kek5 localizes to the $3^{\text {rd }}$ instar wing membrane (A) while Kek6 does not (B). Swapping the PDZ domain binding site of Kek5 and Kek6 does not affect this pattern (C, D).


Figure A11b: Kek5 PDZ domain binding site appears to be a generic protein localization domain. Stage 10 egg chambers of the respective GFP tagged variants stained with $\alpha$-Dlg to mark the baso-lateral cell surface. Substitution of the PDZ domain of Kek6 with Kek5 does not seem to alter the localization of Kek5 (compare A-A"' and CC''). Replacing the PDZ domain of Kek6 with that of Kek5 likewise does not appear to alter the localization pattern of Kek6 (compare B-'" to D-D'"). Apical side in each panel is towards left.


Figure A12: Analysis of Arm upregulation by Kek5 deletion variants. Kek5 variants were examined for Arm upregulation after misexpression in the A/P boundary. Arm upregulation was observed in all variants except Kek $5^{\Delta \mathrm{LRR}}(\mathrm{B})$, $\mathrm{Kek} 5^{\Delta I g}(\mathrm{C})$ and $\operatorname{Kek} 5^{\Delta I C}(\mathrm{D})$. Dotted line represents the region of Kek5 (ptcGAL4) expression. All the wing discs are oriented with their anterior side to the right and ventral side up.


Figure A13: Analysis of 'Large cell' phenotype by Kek5 deletion variants. Kek5 variants were expressed in the A/P boundary using ptc>GAL4 and the discs stained with antiArmadillo to mark the cell membranes. Misexpression of Kek5 results in enlargement of cells at the apical region (A). This enlargement is seen with all other variants (B-H) except
 lines enclose the region of ptcGAL4 expression. All the wing discs are oriented with their anterior side to the right and ventral side up. L' and M' are Kek5 $5^{\Delta 1234}$ and Kek5 $5^{\Delta 1235}$ misexpression clones, respectively where the circle indicates the clone.


Figure A14: Examination of epithelial cell extrusion caused by Kek5 variants. Kek5 variants were expressed in the A/P boundary using ptcGAL4 and showed varying degrees of extrusion. Variants in panels B-E displayed extrusion comparable to Kek5 (A). Multi motif intracellular deletions caused minimal extrusion (F-J) while Kek $5^{\Delta \mathrm{LRR}}$ (B), Kek5 $5^{\mathrm{\Delta IC}}$ (D) and Kek $5^{\triangle \mathrm{IC}+\mathrm{PC}}$ (E) displayed no extrusion. Dotted line indicates the area of ptc expression domain. All the wing discs are oriented with their anterior side to the left and ventral side up.

## APPENDIX B

Table B1: Primers used for generation of various Kek5 intracellular deletions variants

| Kek5 Variant | $\begin{gathered} \text { WPI oligo } \\ \# \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Oligo sequence | Note |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kek5 ${ }^{\text {d234 }}$ | 5' W140 | GCGTATGCCAATAGCTTGCCAGCCGGCGGCAACTCCACCC | SP |
|  | 3' W139 | GGGTGGAGTTGCCGCCGGCTGGCAAGCTATTGGCATACGC | SP |
| Kek5 ${ }^{\text {d1234 }}$ | $5^{\prime}$ W138 | GTACTGtCGTCGCATCAAGACCATCGCCGGCTCACAGGGaGGC | SP |
|  | 3' W137 | GCCtCCCTGTGAGCCGGCGATGGTCTTGATGCGACGaCAGTAC | SP |
| Kek5 ${ }^{\text {d1235 }}$ | 5’W136 | GTGACTCTCCGAAGGCCGCCATGTCCGTGACGACGACGCGC | SP |
|  | 3'W135 | GCGCGTCGTCGTCACGGACATGGCGGCCTTCGGAGAGTCAC | SP |
| Kek5 ${ }^{\text {dI }}$ | 5' W200 | GAAGAGCCTGCTCAACGAGCGCACGGACATCGAGAGCGTGGATGG | SDM |
|  | 3' W201 | CCATCCACGCTCTCGATGTCCGTGCGCTCGTTGAGCAGGCTCTTC | SDM |
| Kek5 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 5' W202 | CCACCGCGGAACTGCAGGCGATCGCCGGCTCACAGGGGGG | SDM |
|  | 3' W203 | CCCCCCTGTGAGCCGGCGATCGCCTGCAGTTCCGCGGTGG | SDM |
| Kek5 ${ }^{\text {د5 }}$ | 5' W204 | GGTGACTCTCCGAAGGCCGCCATGTCCGTGACGACGACG | SDM |
|  | 3' W205 | CGTCGTCGTCACGGACATGGCGGCCTTGGAGAGTCACC | SDM |

SDM - Site directed mutagenesis
SP - Stitching PCR

Table B2: Primers used for generation of tagged BMP receptor constructs

| BMP receptor | WPI oligo \# | Oligo sequence |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Thickveins | $5^{\prime}$ W370 | GGGGacaaCtttgtacaaaaaagTTGGAAAATGGCGCCGAAATCCAGAAAG |
|  | $3^{\prime}$ W371 | GGGGacAactttgtacaagaagTtgCGACAATCTTAATGGGCACATC |
| Saxophone | $5^{\prime}$ W372 | GGGGacaaCtttgtacaaaaaagTTGGAAAATGGAGCTCTCCTCCGCC |
|  | $3^{\prime}$ W373 | GGGGacAactttgtacaagaaagTtgCAACGCAGACCTCGTCGAAGTC |
| Punt | $5^{\prime}$ W374 | GGGGacaaCtttgtacaaaaaagTTGGAAAATGTCCAAATACGATCTG |
|  | $3^{\prime}$ W375 | GGGGacAactttgtacaagaaagTtgCTAAGCAATTCGTAGATTCCTTGGC |

## APPENDIX C

## Results from ptc>kek5 deficiency screen

Table C1: Components of various signaling pathways and cell adhesion complexes tested in the ptc>kek 5 deficiency screen. NT=Not Tested; NE=No Effect; L=Lethal; WS=Weak Suppressor

|  | Genes | Cytological location | Df tested in the screen (BS \#) | Average \# of scutellar bristles |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Decapentaplegic (dpp) | 22F1-22F3 | NT | - |
|  | glass bottom boat (gbb) | 60A3-60A4 | NT | - |
|  | screw (scw) | 38A1-38A1 | 8679 | 8.48 |
|  | twisted gastrulation (tsg) | $11 \mathrm{~A} 1-11 \mathrm{~A} 1$ | 9217 | 7.33 |
|  | short gastrulation (sog) | 13E1-13E1 | 9219 | 10.45 |
|  | tolloid related (tlr-2) | 96A-96A | 9211??? | 9.9 |
|  | crossveinless2 (cv2) | 57D12-57D13 | 7556 | NE |
|  | thickveins (tkv) | 25D1-25D2 | 7497 | L |
|  | saxophone (sax) | 43E18-43E18 | 8941 | 8.75 |
|  | punt (put) | 88C9-88C9 | 9090 | 6.94 |
|  | wishful thinking (wit) | 64A5-64A5 | 8060 | 8.64 |
|  | mothers against dpp (mad) | 23D3-23D3 | NT | - |
|  | medea (med) | 100C7-100D1 | NT | - |
|  | smad anchor for receptor activation (sara) | 57E6-57E6 | 7556 | NE |
|  | daughters against dpp (dad) | 89E11-89E11 | 7655?? | WS |
|  | dsmurf (lack) | 54C12-54D1 | 7890 | 8.29 |
|  | hippo (hpo) | 56D13-56D13 | 9067 | 10.1 |
|  | fat (ft) | 24D8-24D8 | 7498 | WS |
|  | dachsous (ds) | 21E2-21E2 | 8908 | 9.71 |
|  | dachs (d) | 29D1-29D1 | NT | - |
|  | yorkie (yki) | 60B7-60B8 | NT | - |
|  | expanded (ex) | $21 \mathrm{C} 2-21 \mathrm{C} 2$ | NT | - |
|  | merlin (mer) | 18E1-18E1 | 7721 | 8.43 |
|  | salvador (sav) | 94D10-94D10 | 8963 | 6.6 |
|  | warts (wts) | 100A5-100A5 | 7997 | 8.3 |
|  | mob as tumor suppressor (mats) | 94A12-94A12 | 8923 | 10.4 |
|  | kibra (kibra) | 88D1-88D2 | NT | - |
|  | shotgun (shg) | 57B15-57B16 | 7554 | L |
|  | armadillo (arm) | 2B14-2B14 | NT | - |
|  | $\alpha$-catenin ( $\alpha$-cat) | 80F1-80F2 | NT | - |
|  | polychaetoid (pyd) | 85B3-85B7 | 9077 | 14 |
|  | p120 catenin (p120ctn) | 41B1-41B1 | NT | - |
|  | echinoid (ed) | 24D4-24D6 | NT | - |
|  | canoe (cno) | 82F4-82F6 | 8967 | 9.41 |
|  | cortactin | 93B8-93B9 | 7739 | NE |
|  | patched (ptc) | 44D5-44E1 | 9276 | NT |
|  | epidermal growth factor receptor (egfr) | 57E9-57F1 | 7556 | NE |
|  | spitz (spi) | 37F2-37F2 | 8679 | 8.48 |
|  | frizzled (fz) | 70D4-70D5 | 8073 | 8.2 |
|  | $f z 2$ | 75F9-76A1 | 8082 | 10.1 |
|  | $f z 3$ | $1 \mathrm{C} 4-1 \mathrm{C} 4$ | 9053 | 8 |
|  | inflated (if) | 15A5-15A7 | 8954 | 8.7 |
|  | multiple edematous wings (mew) | 11E3-11E8 | 8898 | 7.2 |
|  | tiggrin (tig) | 26D1-26D1 | 9341 | 11.38 |

Table C2: Analysis of the interesting chromosomal regions for possible Kek5 interactors

|  | Original Df from the screen | Cytology of overlapping region | Interesting genes/allele | Average number of scutellar bristles |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \frac{y}{4} \\ & \frac{1}{4} \\ & \frac{1}{4} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { BS\# } 9177 \\ (21 B 3-21 B 7) \\ \text { ANB*=15.30 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 21B3-21B3 } \\ & \text { (BS\# 9193) } \end{aligned}$ | - | 11.64 |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 21B3-21B7 } \\ & \text { (BS\# 8901) } \end{aligned}$ | - | 11.92 |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 21B7-21B8 } \\ & \text { (BS\# 24958) } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{-}{-}^{-}$ | 12.60 |
|  |  | - | split ends/spen ${ }^{1401}$ <br> (BS\# 5808) | 10.81 |
|  |  | - | split ends/spen ${ }^{16 H 1}$ $(\mathrm{BS} \# 5809)$ | 9.75 |
|  |  | - | kismet/kis ${ }^{I}$ (BS\# 431) | 13.37 |
|  |  | - | smoothened/smo ${ }^{119 B 6}$ <br> (BS\# 24772) | 9.20 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { BS\# 9077 } \\ (85 A 5-85 D 1) \\ \text { ANB } *=16.32 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 84 \mathrm{~F} 6-85 \mathrm{C} 3 \\ & \text { (BS\# 9338) } \end{aligned}$ | - | 11.48 |
|  |  | 85A3-85A10 <br> (BS\# 24981) |  | 8.89 |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 85A5-85A9 } \\ & \text { (BS\# 9623) } \end{aligned}$ | - | 8.42 |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 85A5-85B6 } \\ & \text { (BS\# 7629) } \end{aligned}$ | - | 7.48 |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 85 \mathrm{~B} 1-85 \mathrm{C} 2 \\ \text { (BS\# 25010) } \end{gathered}$ | - | 7.46 |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 85 \mathrm{C} 2-85 \mathrm{D} 11 \\ & \text { (BS\# 26518) } \end{aligned}$ | - | 10.89 |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 85 \mathrm{C} 3-85 \mathrm{C} 3 \\ & \text { (BS\# 9225) } \end{aligned}$ | - | 9.5 |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 85C3-85D1 } \\ & \text { (BS\# 9203) } \end{aligned}$ | - | 10.68 |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 85 \mathrm{C} 3-85 \mathrm{C} 11 \\ (\mathrm{BS} \# 7630) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | - | 7.93 |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 85 \mathrm{C} 11-85 \mathrm{D} 2 \\ (\mathrm{BS} \# 7631) \end{gathered}$ | - | 7.97 |
|  |  | - | Map kinase kinase 4/mkk4 $4^{\text {e01485 }}$ <br> (BS\# 17956) | 7.74 |
|  |  | - | hunchback/hb ${ }^{4}$ <br> (BS\# 5339) | 8.48 |
|  |  | - | hunchback/hb ${ }^{I}$ <br> (BS\# 6259) | 14.68 |
|  |  |  | polychaetoid/pyd ${ }^{K G 02008}$ <br> (BS\# 14253) | 10.60 |
|  |  | - | polychaetoid/pyd ${ }^{l}$ <br> (BS\# 562) | 7.60 |
|  |  | - | $\begin{gathered} \text { polychaetoid/pyd }{ }^{J 4} \\ (\mathrm{BS} \# 8850) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 7.40 |
|  |  | - | polychaetoid/pyd ${ }^{\text {exl47 }}$ (Gift from Mark Peifer) | 10.40 |
|  |  | - | polychaetoid/pyd ${ }^{\text {ex180 }}$ (Gift from Mark Peifer) | 8.50 |
|  |  | - | polychaetoid/pyd ${ }^{B I}$ (Gift from Mark Peifer) | 11.00 |
|  |  | - | hyrax/hyx ${ }^{\text {EY068988 }}$ (BS\# 16768) | 10.39 |
|  |  | - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { relish/rel }{ }^{E 20} \\ & \text { (BS\# 9457) } \end{aligned}$ | 11.88 |
| $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \\ & \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 66A10-66A19 } \\ \text { (BS\# 25722) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | - | 10.25 |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 66A17-66B12 } \\ \text { (BS\# 27367) } \end{gathered}$ | - | 11.59 |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 66A17-66C8 } \\ & \text { (BS\# 26830) } \end{aligned}$ | - | 10.0 |
|  |  | 66A17..20-66C1..5 (BS\# 5877) | - | 9.2 |


|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { BS\# 7745 } \\ \text { (66A17-66B5) } \\ \text { ANB*=3.31 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 66 \mathrm{~A} 19-66 \mathrm{~A} 20 \\ (\mathrm{BS} \# 32017) \end{gathered}$ | - | 12.06 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 66 \mathrm{~A} 22-66 \mathrm{C} 5 \\ (\mathrm{BS} \# 8065) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{-}$ | 9.04 |
|  |  | - | pebble/pbl ${ }^{3}$ <br> (BS\# 9358) | 13.88 |
|  |  | - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { nemo/nmo }{ }^{P 1} \\ & \text { (BS\# 27897) } \end{aligned}$ | 9.07 |
|  |  | - | sunday driver $/$ syd ${ }^{42}$ <br> (BS\# 32017) | 12.06 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { BS\# 7984 } \\ \text { (89B9-89B13) } \\ \text { ANB*=6 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 89 \mathrm{~B} 6-89 \mathrm{~B} 16 \\ & \text { (BS\# 30592) } \end{aligned}$ | - | 5.73 |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 89B7-89B18 } \\ \text { (BS\# 9481) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | - | 9.75 |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 89B12-89B18 } \\ \text { (BS\# 7736) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | - | 8.9 |
|  |  | - | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cadherin89D/cad89D }{ }^{\text {e03186 }} \\ \text { (BS\# 18129) } \end{gathered}$ | 9.27 |
|  |  | - | $\begin{gathered} \text { taranis/tara }^{I} \\ (\mathrm{BS} \# 6403) \end{gathered}$ | 11.47 |
|  |  | - | $\begin{gathered} \text { taranis/tara }{ }^{03881} \\ (\mathrm{BS} \# 11613) \end{gathered}$ | 8.43 |
|  |  | - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { belphegor/bor }{ }^{\text {cos } 5496} \\ & \text { (BS\# 17709) } \end{aligned}$ | 11.8 |
|  |  | - | gilgamesh/gish ${ }^{04895}$ (BS\# 11790) | 9.67 |

$A N B *=$ Average number of bristles

## APPENDIX D



Figure D1: Kek5 does not alter components of the septate junction. Third instar wing discs from $p t c>m C D 8$ and $p t c>k e k 5$ were stained with septate junction markers FasIII (AC) and Coracle (D-F). There was no detectable change in the levels of these proteins in $p t c>k e k 5$ discs when compared to ptc>mCD8. C-C'', F-F'" indicate the Z planes. Wing disc are oriented with their ventral side upwards. Broken lines indicate the region of Kek5.GFP expression.


Figure D2: Kek5 does not affect AJ components Shotgun and Echinoid. Third instar wing discs from $p t c>m C D 8$ and ptc $>K e k 5$ larvae were dissected and stained with antibodies for Shg and Ed. No affect on Shg or Ed was seen in wild type discs, ptc>mCD8 (A, D) or ptc>kek5 discs (B-C'’, E-F'’). Broken white line indicates the region of GFP expression. Discs are oriented with their ventral side upwards.


[^0]:    ${ }^{\theta}$ - Transgenic lines were given numeral nomenclature to simplify the corresponding alpha- numeral naming scheme; Chrom* - Chromosome; $\mathrm{GFP}^{\Psi}$ - GFP levels were roughly assessed in salivary glands of $3^{\text {rd }}$ instar larvae under a fluorescence dissection microscope; ACV ${ }^{\Phi}$ - Frequency of wings showing crossveins defects; Bristles ${ }^{\pi}$ - Number of scutellar bristles ( $\mathrm{W}=\mathrm{Weak}, \mathrm{M}=$ Moderate, $\mathrm{S}=$ Strong bristle duplication), number in parentheses indicates the average number of bristles in flies for that line.

[^1]:    ${ }^{\theta}$ - Transgenic lines were given numeral nomenclature to simplify the corresponding alpha- numeral naming scheme; Chrom* - Chromosome; $\mathrm{ACV}^{\Phi}$ - Frequency of wings showing crossveins defects; Bristles ${ }^{\pi}$ - Number of scutellar bristles

[^2]:    ${ }^{\theta}$ - Transgenic lines were given numeral nomenclature to simplify the corresponding alpha- numeral naming scheme; Chrom* - Chromosome;

[^3]:    ${ }^{\theta}$ - Transgenic lines were given numeral nomenclature to simplify the corresponding alpha- numeral naming scheme; Chrom* - Chromosome; $\mathrm{ACV}^{\Phi}$ - Frequency of wings showing crossveins defects; Bristles ${ }^{\pi}$ - Number of scutellar bristles

