

CHAO PLÁSTICO DESECHABLE

Analyzing the Implications of a Green Tax on Single-Use Waste Plastics

Nolan Bell, Sabrina Napoli, Carly Neeld, and Shannon Ring



Who are we?





Overview of Deliverables

Plastic Pollution Findings

Case Study Findings

Consumer Survey Analysis

Conclusion

Project Goal

Our goal was to analyze the **implications of regulating single-use waste plastics** in Costa Rica and to provide recommendations regarding the implementation of **MarViva's green tax**.



Objective ONE Environmental and Health Impacts

Objective TWO Case Studies regarding Plastic Policies

Objective THREE Consumers' Willingness to Pay

Objective FOUR Recommendations and Supporting Evidence

Overview of Deliverables

Fact Sheets

Presentation

Elaborated Research

Fact Sheet: Green Tax on Single-Use Plastic

Environmental Threats

- The human population has produced more plastic in the past 10 years than it has in the past century.¹
- In Costa Rica, only 9% of the one million tons of waste collected each year is treated and the remainder is emptied into rivers.²
- Approximately 8 million tons of plastic are disposed of worldwide into the ocean every year.
- 690 species of organisms have encountered debris in the ocean and 92% of this debris is plastic.³
- From 1960 to 2010, the amount of seabirds found to have plastic in their stomachs increased from less than 5% of seabirds to 80% of seabirds, and this number is predicted to continue rising to 99% of seabirds by 2050.⁴
- Recycling rates in Costa Rica are extremely low, with a plastic recycling rate of only 15%.⁵

Human Health Threats

- Plastic is non biodegradable and can only break down into very small pieces of
 plastic called microplastics, which are extremely difficult to remove from the
 ocean. Fish consume plastic microfibers floating around in the ocean which
 then move up the food chain to humans.
- Bisphenol A (BPA) is used in many plastic products and is harmful to human health. Exposure to phthalates and BPA from plastics can cause health issues like increased rates of heart disease and diabetes.⁶ BPA can also affect the brain and prostate glands in fetuses and newborns.
- Nano-size particles, between 1nm and 100nm, can enter the placenta and blood-brain barrier of an organism in addition to causing negative impacts to the gastrointestinal tract and lungs.²
- The chemicals nonylphenol and styrene monomers that are commonly used in plastic products have the ability to attract particles in the ocean including metal fragment. The pollutants are ingested and disperse throughout organisms' digestive and endocrine systems, and spread carcinogens and mutagens throughout the environment and into humans.¹

La Importancia de un Impuesto Ecológico Sobre los Plásticos de un Único Uso







Analyzing the Implications of a Green Tax on Single-Use Waste Plastics in Costa Rica

Authors: Nolan Bell, Sabrina Napoli, Carly Neeld, Shannon Ring Advisors: James Chiarelli & Stephen McCauley Worcester Polytechnic Institute March 2, 2018





Plastic Pollution

" The human population has

produced more plastic in

the **past 10 years** than it has

in the past century" (2014)

8 million tons

of plastic are disposed of into the ocean every year²

Over 690 marine species

are harmed by ocean debris²

2% of trash is recycled in Costa Rica³

Plastic Pollution: Environmental Threats





Plastic Pollution: Human Health Threats

- Microplastics in food chain
 - Study found microplastics in 25% of individual fish sampled, 22% of shellfish, and 67% of all species sampled.⁴
- Bisphenol A (BPA) causes health issues



Plastic Pollution: Human Health Threats

 Nano-size particles enter the placenta and also cause negative impacts to the gastrointestinal tract and lungs⁵ он



Nonylphenol







Case Studies

On Single-Use Plastic Policies



PLASTIC REGULATIONS AROUND THE WORLD

Case study	Regulation Type	Evaluation of Success
Ireland	Тах	Positive outcome
South Australia	Ban	Positive outcome
Germany	Тах	Positive outcome
Buenos Aires, Argentina	Тах	Positive outcome
Israel	Ban	Positive outcome
Portugal	Тах	Positive outcome
Belgium	Тах	Positive outcome
China	Ban	Positive outcome
South Africa	Тах	Short term success
Delhi, India	Ban	Neutral outcome
France	Ban	Neutral outcome

Ireland

- → 2002: Tax of 22 euro cents (₡155)
- \rightarrow 94% decrease in plastic bags within weeks⁹
- → Initial costs: 1.9 million euros (₡1.3 billion)
- → Funds within the first year: 10 million euros (\$\mathcal{C}7\$ billion)¹⁰





South Australia

- → 2005: Phase out of single-use plastic bags
- → 45% decrease in the use of plastic bags¹³
- → 8 out of 10 customers were in support of the ban





Buenos Aires, Argentina

- → 2008 Single-use plastic bag tax evolved into a ban in 2012
- → Tax of 0.50 pesos (\$\$\overline\$14\$) for medium sized bags and 7.95 (\$\$\overline\$227\$) pesos for large bags
- → About 50% increase in reusable bags⁹





https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Argentina_Blue_Marble.png

Reusable Bag Use in Supermarkets in Buenos Aires (Jakovcevic, et al., 2014)

Delhi, India

- \rightarrow 2009: Ban on the use of all plastic bags
- \rightarrow Ineffective as 94% of people still used plastic bags due to lack of awareness¹²
- \rightarrow A 42.9% decrease of plastic bag usage

Delhi slaps blanket ban on plastic bags

New Rules To Be Implemented Within A Year

that if a link, at line in

ow Dolbh Allar a false and dates years age. Inchi ing final by the out the result of stay from of phase buys. the Dollar rabinos no Tave ity intercent and outside of blandos bassos una, sioração de and reamphoners of And Vings Syder City The rary Lery, more stars mand bits with which totaja ca, sill sepitade a partier symplectical inand in American lates when

FULL COVERAGE PIC Covilgnores and Pa

Published staty the same testand take of phases was a interaction between the vintrationation details starenderschieverets. taxes off he more barb ", anti-sigh without thing the faith the line of the second Anotherates on the bars



within (piters citing it Numbers Fas 2 or best Malais Book de las intrame d

Sent from they drawn trave TROBUCE LANCAUGUER MANY The new bars fided to

There will be no lodies or an internet. It is a city have been failed for an close secoles Theorem, deprogrammer has investigated plupinght with the law

The London Belle rooked adap heine all photo in ingu. d) he tended need and the several sectors in all of weight or shine arows on for periodical morning will hav one biodegraficht glassie if an hoks magintassorends.

martenes of more duckness. stath had gowistally host permaned. The only entry they will be read of a station and 17 hapt under die Dio Michcal Watso Shinapon eta and Handing Colored weat

The has non includes moniforming of plants: hipping most plantic been

Contributing Factors for a Successful Plastic Policy

- A Green Tax
- Informing Consumers
- A Strong Campaign Strategy
- Slowly Introducing the Green Tax
- Providing Alternatives



Consumer Survey Analysis

CONSUMER ANALYSIS BASED ON LOCATION



Survey Methods

- Contingent Valuation (CV) Method
- Research Questions:
 - Consumer Habits
 - Willingness to Pay
 - Environmental Awareness
 - Demographics
- Eliminating Bias

Consumer Habits

Single-Use Plastic Bags Used per Week

Single-Use Plastic Bottles Used per Week



Willingness to Pay: Single-Use Plastic Bags



Willingness to Pay: Single-Use Plastic Bottles



Key Findings

- Consumers choose extremes
- Different interpretations of questions
- 26 consumers not willing to pay, but use reusable bags
- 19 consumers not willing to pay, but use reusable bottles
- Already using the reusable option

Distribution of Price Point Responses Based on Willingness to Pay for Green Tax



Single-Use Plastic Bags

Distribution of Price Point Responses Based on Willingness to Pay for Green Tax



Single-Use Plastic Bottles

Price Point Preference on Single-Use Plastic Bags Based on Income



Price Point Preference on Single-Use Plastic Bottles Based on Income



Willingness to Pay for Single-Use Plastic Bags Based on Location



Willingness to Pay for Single-Use Plastic Bottles Based on Location



88.5% of consumers

believe disposable plastics are harmful to the environment

57% of consumers are willing to pay a green tax on single-use plastic bags

55% of consumers

are willing to pay a green tax on single-use plastic **bottles**

70% of consumers

believe that a green tax on single-use plastics would reduce plastic pollution



as price of tax for single-use bags and bottles

- 26% chose a price point higher than ¢60 for bags
- 34% already use reusable bags

- 46% chose a price point higher than ¢60 for bottles
- 27% already use reusable bottles

Possible revenue with 50% decrease in single-use plastic use:

C57,885,622,600 from bags* **C**33,573,633,600 from bottles*

*Very rough estimate based on our collected data

Recommendations

- Public/retailer education on new tax
- Provide options for alternatives for retailers to use
- Focus on rural area education on new tax
- Price Tax at 100 Colones for single-use bags and bottles
- Follow up study of success of tax
- More accurate estimation of economic benefits of reduction

Conclusiones

- High possibility of success in Costa Rica
- Increased funding for marine ecosystems
- Positive impacts of tax
- Convincing evidence for legislators





MarViva, Alberto Quesada and Haydée Rodriguez WPI, Jim Chiarelli and Steve McCauley Marcela and Jimmy Music Melissa Belz

Auto Mercado and Survey Respondents

¡Gracias!

¿Preguntas?

References

- 1. D'Alessandro, N. (2017, August 02). 22 facts about plastic pollution (and 10 things we can do about it). *Ecowatch*. Retrieved October 30, 2017, from https://www.ecowatch.com/22-facts-about-plastic-pollution-and-10-things-we-can-do-about-it-1881885971.html
- 2. United Nations Environment Programme. (n.d.). Cleanseas. Retrieved February 08, 2018, from http://cleanseas.org/
- 3. Ben-Haddej, D., Buchenan, A., Owen, A., & Shakan, G. (2010, December 13). *Managing Costa Rica's Waste: Recommendations for a Municipal Solid Waste Management Plan*
- 4. Rochman, C. M., Tahir, A., Williams, S. L., Baxa, D. V., Lam, R., Miller, J. T., Teh, S. J. (2015). Anthropogenic debris in seafood: Plastic debris and fibers from textiles in fish and bivalves sold for human consumption. Scientific Reports, 5, 14340.
- 5. Seltenrich, N. (2015, February). New Link in the Food Chain? Marine Plastic Pollution and Seafood Safety. Retrieved January 31, 2018, from https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4314237/
- 6. Rosenthal, E. (2008, January 31). By 'bagging it,' Ireland rids itself of a plastic nuisance. New York Times. Retrieved December 13, 2017, from http://www.nytimes.com/2008/01/31/world/europe/31iht-bags.4.9650382.html
- 7. MARLISCO. (2008). The plastic bag levy (Ireland). Marlisco. Retrieved December 13, 2017, from http://www.marlisco.eu/The_plastic_bag_levy.en.html?articles=the-plastic-bag-levy-ireland
- Aspin, M. (2012, November). Review of the Plastic Shopping Bags (Waste Avoidance) Act 2008. Retrieved December 10, 2017, from http://www.zerowaste.sa.gov.au/upload/resource-centre/publications/plastic-bag-phase-out/PBActReview_maspin_Nov2012_2%20-%20final.pdf
- 9. Jakovcevic, A., Steg, L., Mazzeo, N., Caballero, R., Franco, P., Putrino, N., & Favara, J. (2014). Charges for plastic bags: Motivational and behavioral effects. Journal of Environmental Psychology, 40, 372-380. doi:10.1016/j.jenvp.2014.09.004
- 10. Gupta, K. (2009, April). Is a ban the best way to reduce plastic bag use? A case study from Delhi. Sandee. Retrieved November 18, 2017, from http://www.sandeeonline.org/uploads/documents/publication/961_PUB_PB_60_Kanupriya.pdf
- 11. Block, B. (2017). China reports 66-percent drop in plastic bag use. Retrieved November 18, 2017, from http://www.worldwatch.org/node/6167