ENTREPRENEURSHIP AND VENTURE CAPITAL

Duane D. Pearsall General Partner Columbine Venture Fund, Ltd.

THE BEGINNING OF THE FIGHE SMOKE DETECTOR

As background to a slide presentation on venture capital which is my current activity, I was asked to present a summary of Statitrol Corporation and the home smoke detector. The story itself is interesting, and I enjoy telling it, but it also identifies a variety of financial mechanisms and a variety of different types of financing.

I must add that Statitrol was started while I was still roperating a manufacturer's agency for heating and air conditioning products which I had started in 1955 as Pearsall Company.

The static neutralizer was the successful was the s

A large graphic arts supply house in Chicago, the Harold Pitman Company, heard about the device and asked for a demonstration. After two false starts, I finally made a successful demonstration in Chicago and then found, to my surprise a few days later an order in the mail for 15 units. Two weeks later another order for 30 units and within 6% days we were shipping 200 a month, all to the same customer. We subcontracted the assembly of these units with a company in Broomfield, Colorado, Instruments Incorporated.

About a year after introduction, sales began to slow up due to a maintenance problem where these sharp high voltage points caused a precipitation of dirt from the air and in a dark room with heavy concentrations of photographic development chemicals in the air, the product would lose it's effectiveness in as little as two weeks. It was necessary then to clean the device and start over.

We decided to develop a more sophisticated product. We then set up a small laboratory, built a test fixture and a small homemade instrument to laboratory, built a test fixture and a small homemade instrument to measure the concentration of ions in a very crude way. Here is where considered, Lyman Blackwell was introduced as a considered to Smeeney, Lyman had been inventing since Granuation from the il. of Colo. He designed many products but none general financially successful. For example, he designed and built a harrage full of beiner Counters. Tuest as then the position day.

We soon discovered that when smoke was allowed to enter the fan that our ion measuring device was dramatically affected.

Weeks later I happened to be demonstrating some of our heating equipment at a teachers convention at the Denver City auditorium. Across the aisle from our displayy booth was a fire alarm display for Honeywell.

When the educators were in session, the aisles were empty and there was nothing to do but wander through the rest of the booths. I mentioned to the salesman for Honeywell in his fire alarm display, that there was no smoke detector. Almost as a joke I asked him to come down to the office and we would demonstrate a smoke detector. Surprisingly he came in the next day and we demonstrated the unit. When he saw this small battery powered device react violently when smoke was inserted in the unit, he exclaimed that we should forget statics and get into smoke detection.

Two months later we decided to do some development after finding out that such a device had already been developed in Switzerland in 1946 and was just now being introduced to the United States. Further investigations showed that they required a 140 microcuries of radium 226 and 218 volts to power their system. In our case, we were working with 24 volts and 1/10 microcurie of radium 226. Keep in mind that neither of us would be allowed to use that material today since it is a gamma emitter.

Within the next two years it was necessary for me to sell Pearsall Company to my key employees, borrow everything possible from the bank, and maximize sales of the static neutralizers. With all of this, the development of the ionization detector consumed all of our funds and more. As an emergency measure, I sold 52% of the company to Powers Regulator Company of Skokie, Illinois who could see value in putting smoke detectors in air ducts consistent with their pneumatic control systems. This resulted in getting another \$130,000 which was gradually consumed in further development. After two years we managed to secure Underwriters Laboratory approval on the first ionization commercial smake detector made in the U.S.

At this time I was offered a contract from Honeywell for 15,000 units over a three year period. This was for our second generation device not yet through Underwriters Laboratories. Nevertheless, taking this letter contract to my banker, he referred me to Central Investment Corporation, the only venture company in Denver at that time. I was able to borrow \$250,000 at 8% (the market at that time as 5% which allowed me to pay off Powers Regulator Company (who were anxious to sell their interest for \$150,000, pay off creditors for \$75,000 leaving \$25,000 of operating capital to get into manufacturing for Honeywell and completing the Underwriters Laboratories approval on the second model.

The contract worked well, but it was necessary for us to move into new facilities. To do this, I applied for an SBA "504" loan. This was a complicated mechanism which ultimately involved five mortgages, one of which we held ourselves for \$20,000. We were able to borrow \$90,000 to build our first 10,000 square foot plant in Lakewood. Before completing the exclusive Honeywell agreement in 1969 we had lined up additional fire alarm company outlets, had introduced model improvements and expanded distribution mainly through the Simplex Time Recorder Company Europe.

During this time I had engaged the services of an inventor, Lyman Blackwell. Although we could not allow Lyman in our engineering department, he was an idea man and continued to present new product ideas.

As he did about once a month, Lyman came in one day with a little box, laid it on the desk and announced that he was convinced that we should make early warning smoke detection available to all households in the United States as cheaply as possible. At that time two detectors in a house would have cost a minimum of \$1,500.

Noticing the four "double" A" batteries tacked to the back of this box, I advised Lyman that neither the National Fire Protection Association nor Underwriters Laboratories would stand still for a battery powered device when it is applied to life safety. He then said "suppose, as the batteries started to die it did this: — and at that point he flipped a switch and the unit made the characteristic click, click, click at a frequency of about once per second. I asked how long would this signal last and he replied "about week". I then asked how long would the batteries last? He said "keep in mind that an ionization current in our detector is so small that it barely consumes any current at all and we should be able to use the battery essentially for its full shelf life". I asked how long is that? And he replied "two years".

At that point I made a decision that we would design, build and approach the fire alarm industry with the first battery powered home smoke detector. This was in 1970. Over a period of the next two years we presented this product to fire departments, building code authorities, Underwriters Laboratories and the National Fire Protection Association. All of them responded essentially with enthusiasm for the product but with an acknowledgement that it would never be approved as a life safety device because batteries were not reliable as a power source.

It was necessary for us to petition to change the standards of the National Fire Protection Association before Underwriters Laboratories would even—accept the product for evaluation. Keep in mind that Underwriters Laboratories tests a toaster not on whether it toasts toast but on whether it is safe to the user. Unlike the toaster a smoke detector tested by Underwriters Laboratories must not only be safe to the user but it must perform to precise standards of sensitivity and long term reliability. It must remain on the ceiling in quietly operational readiness and yet able to respond in seconds. We were finally able to approach Underwriters Laboratories with an NFPA standard and they could begin testing the device. We finally received approval about April, 1974.

(POC MEANS PRODUCTS OF COMPUSTION

Surprisingly, we were then able to convince the National Building Code authorities that it would be a major benefit to life safety that each home and each apartment should have at least one early warning smoke detector. In other words, this became a requirement to install a smoke detector if you were to get a building permit for a home in those cities that adopted the National Building codes. (INTEREST BLAG CORE - WHITH APPROVAL OF ICBO (UNIFORM BLAG CORE ARCHERS)

The marketplace almost exploded, we could not keep up with production and our employment utilimately grew to 1000 people attempting to stay ahead of orders. Creating a vacuum in the marketplace because of our inability to satisfy demand, many companies began to fill the void until 1977 when the company was sold to Emerson Electric, there were 54 known competitors in the marketplace including manufacturers from Hong Kong, Singapore, Southern Ireland, etc.

Along the way it was necessary to expand our plant and I was able to go back to SBA and secure through Central Bank a guaranteed SBA 7A type loan.

Obviously, our initial \$250,000 loan was paid off although it was two years late. The venture capitalist then exercised warrants to purchase one third of our company for \$90,000 which, 12 years later netted them over \$6,000,000.

The company was merged with Emerson Electric in 1977 under what was termed a "pooling of interest" or, in other words, an exchange of our assets for Emerson stock. Fortunately Emerson stock has continued to do predictably well.