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#### Abstract

Man desires to get rich and responsibly wealthy while working as little as possible. The playground that seems to produce this economic utopia is the stock market. In this report, we will document the development of a mathematical stock forecasting model and its performance in the prediction of 5 biotechnology stocks. After a $\$ 100,000$ investment in the 5 stocks, monetary gains were made in the third week and these laid the foundations to discuss the effectiveness of our model.


## Executive Summary

In the course of the model's research and development, A mathematical prediction model was built and experimented; the ARIMA/ exchange model. This model is profoundly encompassed by the linear regression technique. The Linear regression modelling technique uses the sum of a linear equation and Fourier series to depict trends in stock prices. The ARIMA/exchange model, is built upon the weighted sum of the ARIMA forecast and current market exchange indexes and when added to the regression result, we obtain our complete model.

5 biotechnology stocks were chosen on December $12^{\text {th }} 2014$ to test the ARIMA model. $\$ 100,000$ was invested into these stocks in order to generate financial profits in a monthly period. Minor losses of $1.7 \%$ and $1.45 \%$ were registered in the first two prediction weeks respectively. On the third week a profit of $2.7 \%$ was registered and our research goal had been achieved. Nevertheless, the model's flaws were discussed and improvements were suggested for future research programs.

## Introduction

The idea of stock markets originated when countries in the New World began trading with each other. While many pioneer merchants wanted to start huge businesses, this required substantial amounts of capital that no single merchant could raise alone. As a result, groups of investors pooled their savings and became business partners and co-owners with individual shares in their businesses to form joint-stock companies. Originated by the Dutch, joint-stock companies became a viable business model for many struggling businesses. In 1602, the Dutch East India Co. issued the first paper shares. This exchangeable medium allowed shareholders to conveniently buy, sell and trade their stock with other shareholders and investors. The idea made its way to the American colonies with an exchange started in Philadelphia in 1790. Undergraduates at Worcester Polytechnic Institute are required to complete two qualifying projects as degree requirements. The first project usually offered in the Junior year is the Interactive Qualifying Project (IQP) and the second project offered in the Senior year is the Major Qualifying Project (MQP).

The MQP is essentially built around the students major of study and it is concentrated on designing, conceiving and testing bright developed engineering ideas. This enables the student to apply his engineering knowledge to a real world project hence preparing him for future employment and research challenges. The IQP focuses on science and society domains outside the students major of study. This is very important in giving the student a broad perspective of social issues in the world and enables him to develop critical thinking, social skills and awareness to complete his engineering knowledge.

## Why this is an Interactive Qualifying Project (IQP)?

Our Institute defines IQP and put it at best in this term "The Interactive Qualifying Project, or IQP as it is known on campus, is WPI's most distinctive academic requirement, and is unique in higher education. The IQP challenges students to address a problem that lies at the intersection of science or technology with social issues and human needs and is done under the direct guidance of one or more faculty advisors, usually I teams of 2-4 students."

An effective and organized stock market is an economic barometer that indicates a strong economy. Developed countries are all invested into stock markets because it contributes to economic growth, provides liquidity for investors (hence encouraging investors to invest in long terms with confidence) and increases the public's business literacy ( by educating the public about better trading practices and advantages of ownership securities). Nevertheless, the stock market is a risky investment terrain due to its highly volatile trend behavior and this may cause developing countries and emerging markets to be sometimes timid towards stock involvement.

The objective of this IQP is predicting stock trends and behaviors over short periods of time using the science and technology available to us. By experimenting the effects of various stochastic and mathematical formulas, we strive to build a cheap, fast and accessible stock forecast model that can be understood by investors with little stock knowledge and definitely help emerging markets. Of course, in the stock exchange world, it is not recommended to treat a prediction model as a foreseeing crystal ball and close our minds about events around us. That is why in conjunction with this prediction model, this IQP also gives an insight into various
economic events and seasonal trends that should be watched out when investing in the stock market.

Because our project uses technology, science and mathematics to create a tool that will help investors make informed decision before buying stocks, our project responds to the definition and objective of an IQP set by Worcester Polytechnic Institution. Therefore, we could say with confidence that this project is an IQP.

## Factors affecting Stock Prices

There are hundreds of factors that will control and affect stock prices. Some of these factors are even more prominent during busy periods of the year. The figure below shows a list of a few factors


A prime example of the effect of terrorism on stock markets is illustrated in the attacks of September 112001.

## Terrorism Attack of September 11, 2001

## Market reaction

"Anticipating market chaos, panic selling and a disastrous loss of value in the wake of the attacks, the NYSE and the Nasdaq remained closed until September 17, the longest shutdown since 1933. Moreover, many trading, brokerage and other financial firms had
offices in the World Trade Center and were unable to function in the wake of the tragic loss of life and collapse of both towers.

On the first day of NYSE trading after 9/11, the market fell 684 points, a $7.1 \%$ decline, setting a record for the biggest loss in exchange history for one trading day. At the close of trading that Friday, ending a week that saw the biggest losses in NYSE history, the Dow Jones was down almost 1,370 points, representing a loss of over 14\%. The Standard and Poor's (S\&P) lost 11.6\%. An estimated $\$ 1.4$ trillion in value was lost in those five days of trading. Major stock sell-off hit the airline and insurance sectors as anticipated when trading resumed. Hardest hit were American Airlines and United Airlines, carriers whose planes were hijacked for the terrorist attacks.

## The Financial Aftermath

American Airlines (NYSE:AMR) stock dropped from a $\$ 29.70$ per share close of September 11 to $\$ 18.00$ per share close on September 17, a 39\% decline.

United Airlines (NYSE:UAL) stock dropped from $\$ 30.82$ per share close to $\$ 17.50$ per share on the close on September 17, a 42\% decline. Similar steep declines hit the travel, tourism, hospitality, entertainment and financial services sectors, as a wave of temporary fear and uncertainty swept through the nation. Among the financial services giants with the steepest drops in share prices were Merrill Lynch which lost 11.5\%, and Morgan Stanley which lost 13\%. Insurance firms reportedly eventually paid out some $\$ 40.2$ billion in $9 / 11$ related claims. Among the biggest losers was Warren Buffet's Berkshire Hathaway. Most insurance firms subsequently dropped terrorist coverage".

## Research

My research was subdivided into two parts. The first part depicts the performance of 10 automobile stocks used to analysis the linear regression model.

## The Linear Regression Model

The linear regression technique was used to follow the prices trend over a year and propose future prices. 10 automobile stocks were chosen to test the linear regression technique. In order to bolster the regression line across each automobile stock, autocorrelation was applied on 286 sample stock prices of each Automobile stock (September $12^{\text {th }} 2013$ to September $12^{\text {th }}$ 2014). The positive correlated periods obtained were used as our regression line inputs. A positive correlation meant that the sample values tended to follow a common tandem; as one sample value increases the next value increases, when one sample decreases the next sample value also decreases.

The 10 automobile stocks chosen are shown below
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|}\hline \text { Symbol } & \text { Name } & \text { Last Trade } & \text { Type } & \text { Exchange } \\
\hline \text { F } & \text { Co. } & \text { Ford Motor } & 16.33 & \text { Stock } \\
\hline \text { NSANY } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Nissan Motor } \\
\text { Co. Ltd }\end{array}
$$ \& 19.73 \& Stock \& NYSE <br>
Currency in <br>

USD\end{array}\right]\)| Currency in |
| :--- |
| USD |


| HMC | Honda Motor <br> Co Ltd | 34.42 | Stock | NYSE <br> Currency in USD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GM | General <br> Motors <br> Company | 33.17 | Stock | NYSE <br> Currency in <br> USD |
| THO | Thor industries Inc. | 52.92 | Stock | NYSE <br> Currency in <br> USD |
| WGO | Winnebago Industries, Inc | 23.51 | Stock | NYSE <br> Currency in <br> USD |
| TTM | Tata Motors <br> Limited | 44.68 | Stock | NYSE <br> Currency in <br> USD |
| RNSDF | Renault Soci | 74.98 | Stock | Other OTC Currency in USD |
| HYMTF | Hyundai <br> Motor <br> Company | 56.35 | Stock | Other OTC Currency in USD |



Autocorrelation for Ford motor


Linear regression of Ford

$$
y=-0.0081522) t+17.523
$$



Autocorrelation for Nissan Motor



Autocorrelation for Toyota Motor

$Y=-0.022538^{*}(\mathrm{t})+118.2$.


Autocorrelation for Honda Motor

$y=(-0.015796)^{*} t+35.343 ;$


Autocorrelation for General Motor

$$
y=(-0.022397)^{*} t+34.653
$$



General motor


Autocorrelation for Thor Industries


$$
Y=(0.034392)^{*} t+52.458
$$



Autocorrelation for Winnebago Industries


$$
Y=(-0.0050121)^{*} t+24.357
$$



Autocorrelation for Tata Motors


$$
Y=(0.096273)^{*} t+36.534 ;
$$



Autocorrelation for Renault Soci


$$
Y=(-0.38539) * t+95.546
$$



Autocorrelation for Hyundai Motor


$$
Y=(0.067357)^{*} t+69.604
$$

The regression technique draws a line through a graph that best fits and describes the moving behavior of the curve. The regression equation is

## $Y=P 1 x+P 2$

Where $\mathbf{P 1}$ and $\mathbf{P 2}$ are called the regression coefficients and $\mathbf{a}$ is the slope of the regression line while $b$ is its $y$ intercept. The Mat lab basic fitting function was used to adequately draw the regression line through each curve and the respective future periods were substituted in x to get each predicted price. Lets take a look at Tata motors stock trend.


Figure 1a: Autocorrelation for Tata Motor


Figure 1b: Linear Regression for Tata Motor

Autocorrelation was applied to Tata motor stock prices between September 12th 2013 and September $12^{\text {th }} 2014$. As shown in the figure 1a, a positive correlation period of 96 was obtained and this period was used to draw the regression line in figure 1 b above. We can see the line best fits and describes the curve because the sample values all move in a common tandem.

This is one advantage of the regression line technique. It takes into consideration the best sample values for each stock that will effectively produce the best results. The regression line will move along the curve and produce linear coefficients which are $m$ and $\mathbf{b}$ in

## $Y=m X+b$

Where $\mathbf{m}$ is the gradient of the line and $\mathbf{b}$ is the y intercept of the line. The gradient of the line has to cover the entire stock trend to adequately model it. Additionally, the y intercept of the line has to be as close as possible to that of the curve to minimize any offsets in the $y$ intercept coefficients. These coefficients will then be used to predict values for future sample values.

The problem with the regression line is the fluctuating nature of stock prices. Stock trends to do not follow a linear path and the error between our regression line and the actual stock trend tend to affect our regression coefficients. Let's consider the regression models for Ford industries and Nissan motor respectively:


Figure 2a: Ford industries regression line


Figure 2b: Nissan motor regression line

We can see in the figures above that each regression line fits and follows its respective stock trend. Nevertheless, there is a significant difference between the two graphs. In Figure 2a, the line tends to fit the average movement of the curve as the curve fluctuates about the line. The model is good till it reaches period 50 where the stock trend undergoes a sharp dip. Since the regression line considers the average movement of the stock trend, the sharp dip at the end of the curve is not taken into consideration. Consequently, the gradient of the regression line is
less than it has to be to effectively cover the stock trend. Additionally, in figure $2 a$, the line's $y$ intercept is higher than that of the curve and this will account for a much higher $\mathbf{b}$ coefficient than required.

In Figure 2b, the curve tends to have many fluctuations but the slope of the regression line effectively spans the entire stock trend and the line's y intercept is very close to that of the curve. Hence, the coefficients of the line for figure $2 b$ are a more descriptive measure of the actual stock trend.

## The Fourier Series



Baron Jean Baptiste Joseph Fourier (1768-1830) introduced the idea that any periodic function can be represented by a series of sines and cosines which are harmonically related.

To consider this idea in more detail, we should introduce some definitions and common terms.

All periodic functions can be represented by sets of sine and cosine functions and sometimes exponential functions. This periodic functions are operated by the Fourier Transform which is a bilateral case of the laplace transform with $s=2 \pi i \varepsilon$.ie

$$
\hat{f}(\xi)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) e^{-2 \pi i x \xi} d x
$$

This set of sines and cosines consist of the Fourier series. The Fourier series is a set of sums of cosine and sine functions where the frequency of each successive term is a positive integer multiple of the fundamental frequency of the first term.

$$
f(x)=2 \cos 2 t+3 \cos 4 t+6 \cos 6 t+8 \cos 8 t \ldots
$$

where $F_{0}=$ fundamental frequency and we can see $=2$

$$
, F_{1}=2(2)=4, F_{2}=3(2)=6 \ldots
$$

The general form of the Fourier series is

$$
f(x)=a_{0}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(a_{n} \cos \frac{n \pi x}{L}+b_{n} \sin \frac{n \pi x}{L}\right) \quad \text { where } \mathrm{n} \text { is any positive interger }
$$

Fourier analysis was applied to the error between each stock trend and its respective regression line. The Fourier series breaks down a time signal into a Taylor sum of cosines and sinusoids. This feature is very critical to our model because only the main signal in the error will be expressed in the Fourier domain. Noise has a high frequency so it will be filtered out of the error because the cosines and sinusoids require a signal to have a significant time period. In general, the Fourier series is defined as

$$
f(x)=a_{0}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(a_{n} \cos \frac{n \pi x}{L}+b_{n} \sin \frac{n \pi x}{L}\right)
$$

Where ao is the constant term coefficient, an is the cosine coefficient, bn is the sinusoid coefficient, n is the index number and L is period/2

We notice in the definition that the Fourier series definition considers values of $n$ for $n=[1: \infty]$ because stock trends are real and casual signals, hence there can't be index values for $\mathrm{n}<0$

The Fourier coefficient depends on three factors, the movement of the stock prices, and the magnitude of the error between the stock trend and its regression line and the number sample values used.

The movement of the stock prices determines the stock trend's shape and how the Fourier equation will break down the trend into sinusoids. The stocks movements are caused by human factors that cannot be determined. Nevertheless, the magnitude of the error can be determined. An increase in the error's magnitude will cause the Fourier coefficients to be large and less accurate with a large noise influence on the resulting sinusoids. A decrease in the error's magnitude will produce Fourier coefficients with smaller values. We want our Fourier coefficients to be as small as possible.

The number sample values used in the Fourier analysis is very important. We used Fourier 1, 2 and 3 for our model. Fourier 1 does not require a lot of values because it defines only three coefficients but Fourier 2 and 3 require more sample values because more coefficients are to be found. Let's consider the Fourier 3 model of the error for Honda motor and General motor. Honda motor and General motor have respective average closed prices of \$ 34.42 and $\$ 33.17$.



Figure 3a: Positive correlation of $\mathbf{7 6}$ for Honda Figure 3b: Positive correlation of $\mathbf{3 6}$ for General Motor


Figure 4a: Fourier 3 of error for Honda


Figure 4b: Fourier 3 of error for General Motor

In figure 3a, we can see that the correlation period of Honda Motor is 76 while in figure 3b that of General Motor is only 36. In effect let's consider the respective Fourier 3 coefficients produced for each stock:

| Fourier 3 Coefficients(with 95\% | Honda Motors | General Motors |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $w$ | 0.1118 | -0.004214 |
| $a_{0}$ | 0.07051 | $4.83 \mathrm{e}+08$ |
| $\mathrm{a}_{1}$ | -0.2716 | $-7.224 \mathrm{e}+08$ |
| $\mathrm{~b}_{1}$ | -0.333 | $5.655 \mathrm{e}+07$ |
| $\mathrm{a}_{2}$ | -0.1847 | $2.864 \mathrm{e}+08$ |
| $\mathrm{~b}_{2}$ | 0.05266 | $-4.512 \mathrm{e}+07$ |
| $\mathrm{a}_{3}$ | -0.04851 | $-4.705 \mathrm{e}+07$ |
| $\mathrm{~b}_{3}$ | 0.1896 | $1.123 \mathrm{e}+07$ |

Table 1: Coefficients of Fourier 3 for Honda and General Motors

We can see from the table above that even though these two stocks have very similar close prices ( 34.42 and 33.17 ), they produce very different Fourier coefficients. The positive correlated period of Honda motor is 76 so it had enough sample values to effectively model Fourier 3 and produce coefficients with small values. But General motors had a positive correlated period of 36 hence most of the noise in Fourier 3 was not filtered, and the
coefficients produced had abnormally large values. In fact, this result shows us that Fourier 3 is not a good model to filter out the noise from the error in General motor trend because the stock trend does not have enough input values.

Exceptions do occur like the Fourier 2 and 3 of Toyota motors. Fourier 2 tends to produce abnormally large values while Fourier 3 produces normal values. Toyota has a positive correlation period of 67.

| Toyota Motors | Fourier2 | Fourier3 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| w | -0.0009423 | 0.07664 |
| $\mathrm{a}_{0}$ | $1.681 \mathrm{e}+07$ | -0.3595 |
| $\mathrm{a}_{1}$ | $-2.241 \mathrm{e}+07$ | -1.656 |
| $\mathrm{~b}_{1}$ | $2.933 \mathrm{e}+05$ | 1.361 |
| $\mathrm{a}_{2}$ | $5.609 \mathrm{e}+06$ | -1.021 |
| $\mathrm{~b}_{2}$ | $-1.47 \mathrm{e}+05$ | -0.6985 |
| $\mathrm{a}_{3}$ | - | -1.04 |
| $\mathrm{~b}_{3}$ | - | -0.4439 |

Table 2: Fourier2 and Fourier3 comparison for Toyota Motors

The last step in our linear regression graphical model is to sum up the linear regression prediction to the Fourier prediction. By summing these two entities, we add a noise filtered error bound to the linear regression prediction to make the final prediction more robust. It will be smart to add a noise bound to our linear regression prediction but sometimes the linear prediction is already fine on its own and the addition of a noise bound will decrease the prediction's accuracy. Let's consider the final predictions for the Fourier model of Honda motors and General motors:

| Time <br> (Septem <br> ber) | 15th | 16th | 17th | 18th | 19th | 20th | 21th | 22th | 23th | 24th | 25th | 26th |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Linear | 34.0 | 34.0 | 34.0 | 34.0 | 34.0 | 34.0 | 33.9 | 33.9 | 33.9 | 33.9 | 33.9 | 33.90 |
| regressio | 793 | 635 | 477 | 319 | 161 | 003 | 845 | 687 | 530 | 372 | 214 | 5 |
| n |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fourier_ | 0.21 | 0.25 | 0.29 | 0.33 | 0.36 | 0.40 | 0.42 | 0.45 | 0.46 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.492 |
| 1 | 04 | 39 | 53 | 38 | 91 | 06 | 80 | 08 | 89 | 18 | 96 | 0 |
| New | 34.2 | 34.3 | 34.3 | 34.3 | 34.3 | 34.4 | 34.4 | 34.4 | 34.4 | 34.4 | 34.4 | 34.39 |
| Stock | 897 | 175 | 430 | 658 | 852 | 010 | 125 | 196 | 218 | 190 | 109 | 7 |
| Predictio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| n |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actual | 34.5 | 34.1 | 33.9 | 34.5 | 34.4 | - | - | 34.5 | 34.3 | 34.7 | 34.3 | 34.42 |
| Stock | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 6 |  |  | 9 | 2 | 6 | 6 |  |
| Prices |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3: New Stock values of Honda motors for Fourier 1


Table 4: New Stock values of General motors for Fourier 1


In table 3, the linear regression values of Honda motors are far off from the actual stock prices but when the Fourier 1 values are added, the new prediction values are very close to the actual stock prices. The opposite is seen for General Motors. We can see in table 4 that the linear prediction values are already close to the actual stock prices but when the Fourier 1 values are added, the new prediction values become far off from the actual stock prices. These observations can be shown in Figure 5a and 5b respectively.

The linear regression/ Fourier graphical model depends on the performance of the linear regression model and the Fourier model used. The linear regression line needs to have a gradient that spans the stock trend and a y intercept very close to the curve's intercept in order to give more accurate prediction values. Even though we may have an accurate linear regression line, sharp dips and rises at the edge of stock trends; due to the unpredictable behavior of stock prices, may still account for significant errors. The positive correlation period of the stock trend is the critical factor that determines which Fourier model is best used. All the automobile stocks had enough sample values to model Fourier 1, 2 and 3 but some stocks like Hyundai motor and General motors did not have enough values to model Fourier 3 so Fourier 2 was the best model for them. Fourier 2 produces the best results for most stocks because it requires input values between Fourier 1 and Fourier 3 and most correlation periods fall within that range.

Adding the Fourier model to the linear regression will not always produce better values.

If a young investor was to try this model, the stocks he will use will have to have

1) A large correlation period
2) A low to average amount of stock fluctuations over the given sample period

## The ARIMA Model

The Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average (ARIMA) is a statistical model that uses time series data to analysis and forecast future trends. This model seeks to predict future movements along seemingly random walks taken by stocks by examining the differences between values in the series instead of using the actual data values. Lags of the difference series are called autoregressive and lags within forecasted data are referred to as moving average. For a time series to be adequately modelled by ARIMA, it needs to be stationary. A stationary time variable is one whose statistical properties are all constant over time. A stationary series has no trend, its variations around its mean have constant amplitude, and it wiggles in a consistent fashion. Practically, real time series and events are not stationary. To correct this discrepancy, ARIMA enables the addition of a non-seasonal parameter to make time series stationary. ARIMA is depicted by the formula $\operatorname{ARIMA}(p, q, d)$, where $p$ is the number of autoregressive terms, d is the number of non-seasonal differences needed for stationarity and $q$ is the number of lagged forecast errors in the prediction equation. The $\operatorname{ARIMA}(3,1,3)$ model was used in our stock forecast model.

During the course of our research, it was observed that ARIMA was not an efficient forecasting tool when used as an unaccompanied model. The figures below show the various forecasting results of ARIMA $(3,1,3)$ used to predict the prices of Nissan and Toyota motor


Figure 6a: ARIMA $(3,1,3)$ analysis of Nissan stocks for 10 days


Figure 6b: ARIMA $(3,1,3)$ \& Fourier- 2 model analysis of Nissan stocks for 10 days

As seen in both figures above, the ARIMA model could efficiently estimate and display the past stock values but differed in their forecasting. In figure6a, the ARIMA prediction follows the course of the last past data value which leads to a very linear forecast graph. In figure 6b, where fourier analysis was added to ARIMA, the forecasting model is less linear and does not follow the course of
the last past data point. A similar result can be observed from the analysis of Toyota Motor as shown below


Figure 7a: ARIMA (3,1,3) analysis of Toyota stocks for 10 days


Figure 7b: ARIMA $(3,1,3)$ \& Fourier-2 model analysis of Toyota stocks for 10 days

The addition of the Fourier analysis tends to make the model more sinusoidal and decreases the linear trend of ARIMA. From these observations, we decided to always sum the fourier analysis of a a time series to its ARIMA prediction to produce the complete stock forecasting model.

## Stock Forecasting Model.= ARIMA(3,1,3) prediction + FOURIER Analysis

Three factors were used to choose the five biotechnology stocks used for the ARIMA prediction.

## The Price Volatility Of The Stock

The Volatility of each stock was measured by dividing each stock's standard deviation by its respective average mean value.

$$
\text { Volatility = }(\sigma / \mu)
$$

Where $\sigma=$ Standard Deviation of the stocks historical data, $\mu=$ mean of the stock's historical data. Our main forecasting model consisted of superimposing The ARIMA( $3,1,3$ ) model with a NYSE/NASDAQ market model. The ARIMA( $3,1,3$ ) and Fourier 2 analysis were used to forecast each stock prices and linear regression and Fourier 2 analysis were applied unto each stock's market exchange index. The two results were then summed up in the equation below;

## Model $=\left[(1-\mu)^{*} \operatorname{Ms}+(\mu)^{*}\right.$ Me]

Where Ms = ARIMA Stock Forecast model, Me = Market exchange model, $\mu=$ Summing constant

Through repeated calculus differentiation of the model using MATLAB, the summing constant, $\mu$ was determined to be 0.4

## Summing Constant, $\boldsymbol{\mu}=0.4$

## Seasonal Effects In Stock Markets

Overtime, seasonal and calendar-related trends have developed that are well known and anticipated by most investors. Even though the effects of these economic conditions are debatable, understanding seasonal effects is important because they often explain changes in the markets that are not attributable to prevailing economic and business circumstances. During the Christmas period, three phenomena are closely related which are;

The December Effect: This phenomenon occurs during the weeks immediately before and after Christmas in which markets will decline.

The reason is that many traders and investors will sell off shares just before the end of the year, in order to claim a capital loss for the year and reduce their taxes.

January Effect: This is a market rally in the first week of January, when shares sold by traders at the end of the year are repurchased. These effects in average monthly returns for stocks were half a percent higher in January than in the other 11 months.

Santa Claus recovery: This occurs in years where, the December effect is strong, early in the month of December. This effects in a bit of a recovery in average returns for stocks, due to share buying by bargain hunters.

## Implications of Seasonality At End of The Year

- The December effect will cause market values to decline because investors will sell their losing positions at the end of December to obtain tax losses.
- Investors predicate that these stocks sold off will be at a discount to their market value in the first few weeks of January.
- The January effect will bring in recovery in the market due to bargain hunters stepping in and buying the sold stocks, hence creating market pressure on the investors.
- Due to the December effect, small cap ${ }^{4}$ stocks will likely outperform large caps ${ }^{5}$ during the middle of December and many large cap stocks will do poorly in this season
- Conclusion: It is advisable to invest in small cap stocks during this period of the year.


## Popularity of the Company

The popularity of a stock can affect its market price. However, popularity is not necessarily a predictor that the stock price will increase or decrease. In order to statistically consider popularity, the trading volumes of the stocks were considered. Trading volume is the number of shares bought and sold between investors over a particular period.

High trading volumes indicate investor's interest in a stock. Coupled with an increase in demand and supply, these stocks may have an increase in liquidity ${ }^{1}$ and narrower bid ${ }^{2}$ spreads. The spread is the difference between the ask ${ }^{3}$ and bid price of a stock. These are positive stock attributes and contributed to the selection of each stock for our investment.

The Trading volumes and stocks volatility gives us better forecast views of the market. Stocks that trade in high volumes tend to be less volatile than those trading at lower volumes. Stocks with higher trading volumes are less volatile because investors can buy, sell and trade large amounts of the stock without the transactions having a significant effect on the stock price. For long-term investors, stocks that are less volatile are more attractive than those that are more volatile. This decreased volatility tends to increase the price of the stock. Stocks with lower trading volumes however, are more volatile.

## Company Profiles

| Company Name | Ticker | IPO Year <br> IPO Price | Initial Year <br> $\mathbf{0 2 / 0 2 / 2 0 1 5 ~}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Celgene Corp | CELG | $\mathbf{0 3 / 2 6 / 1 9 9 0}$ <br> $\$ 8.25$ | $\$ 118.57$ |
| MediciNova, Inc | MNOV | $\mathbf{1 2 / 0 8 / 2 0 0 6}$ <br> $\$ 12.10$ | $\$ 4.22$ |
| Clorox Company | CLX | $\mathbf{0 3 / 2 1 / 1 9 8 3}$ <br> $\$ 29.25$ | $\$ 107.83$ |
| Tesaro, Inc | TSRO | $\mathbf{0 6 / 2 8 / 2 0 1 2}$ <br> $\$ 13.69$ | $\$ 39.76$ |
| Biogen Idec Inc | BIIB | $\mathbf{0 9 / 1 7 / 1 9 9 1}$ <br> $\$ 18.75$ | $\$ 390.0$ |

## Celgene Corp ( Nasdaq)

- Pharmaceutical company that develops and sells drugs to treat blood cancer with main drugs Thalamid and Revilmid.
- Company is generating high levels of growth with total product sales yearly rise of $19 \%$ and topping \$7 billion in yearly revenue 2014
- High trading volume of 4.23 million and market capitalization of $\$ 95$ billion


Figure 8a

## MediciNova, Inc (Nasdaq)

- Fast growing US Biopharmaceutical Company that specializes in novel therapeutics.
- On February 3 $3^{\text {rd }}$, it received a Notice allowance from the US Patent and Trademark Office for a Pending patent application for
- Patent will expire no earlier than December 2032 and the company expects to boost



## Figure 8b

## Clorox Company ( NYSE) :

- US Multinational Manufacturer of cleaning products and water filters.
- Company has posted strong quarterly results since January $2^{\text {nd }}$.
- While Clorox is working to expand its presence to Latin American countries, the economic crisis in Venezuela will make it even more influential


Figure 8c

## Tesaro, Inc (Nasdaq) :

- TESARO is a small privately held oncology-focused biopharmaceutical company based in Boston, MA
- Company to Announce Fourth-Quarter 2014 Financial Results on February 19, 2015
- Large market capitalization of $\$ 1.35$ billion


Figure 8d

## Biogen Idec Inc (Nasdaq)

- Company produces drugs for cancer and neurological treatment. Main drugs are Avonex and Tecfidera.
- Company boasted a $16 \%$ revenue growth in January quarter largely due to outstanding sales of its twice-daily MS pill Tecidera
- Tecidera may secure a company revenue of about \$ 4billion by December 2015



## Figure 8e

## Results and Analysis

The MATLAb ARIMA steps used to generate each ARIMA prediction is shown below

## \% ARIMA Model

Mdl=arima(2,1,2); \% Arima 2,1,2 model

EstMdl=estimate(Mdl,x); \%Estimate Arima Parameters from input data
[arimaPredictions arimaErr]=forecast(EstMdl,20,'YO',x); \%Forecast 20 future prices
[Resids Varies] = infer(EstMdl,x); \%Infer past results (estimate model of past data)
arimaplot $=\left[(\text { Resids }+x)^{\prime}\right.$ arimaPredictions']; \%vector of past and future results to plot (this is the completed model)

## Week 1

| Stock <br> Name | Symbol | Price on <br> Feb 2nd | Price on <br> Feb 6th | Volume <br> Bought | Amount <br> Feb 2nd | Amount <br> Feb 6th |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Celgene <br> Corp | CELG | 118.57 | 118.75 | 100 | 11857 | 11875 |
| Tesaro, <br> Inc | TSRO | 39.76 | 37.32 | 450 | 17892 | 16794 |
| MediciNo <br> va Inc | MNOV | 4.22 | 3.90 | 700 | 2954 | 2730 |
| Clorox <br> Company | CLX | 107.83 | 106.93 | 400 | 43132 | 42772 |
| Biogen <br> Idec Inc | BIIB | 390.0 | 402.00 | 60 | 23400 | 24120 |

Table 5: Stock Performance For First Week

- Gross Budget $=\$ 100,000$
- Amount Invested On 02/02/2015 = \$99,235
- Total Price Value of Stocks on 02/06/2015 = \$98,291
- A loss of $\$ 944$ was made on $02 / 06 / 2015$


## Week 2

| Stock <br> Name | SYM | Price <br> Feb 6th | Price <br> Feb13th | VOL | Amount <br> Feb 6th | Amount <br> Feb 13th |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Celgene <br> Corp | CELG | 118.75 | 115.88 | 100 | 11875 | 11588 |
| Tesaro, <br> Inc | TSRO | 37.32 | 38.49 | 450 | 16794 | 17321 |
| MediciN <br> ova Inc | MNO <br> V | 3.90 | 3.62 | 700 | 2730 | 2534 |
| Clorox <br> Compan <br> y | CLX | 106.93 | 109 | 400 | 42772 | 43600 |
| Biogen <br> Idec Inc | BIIB | 402.00 | 391.66 | 60 | 24120 | 23499 |

Table 6: Stock Performance For Second Week

- Gross Budget $=\$ 100,000$
- Amount Invested On 02/02/2015 = \$99,235
- Total Price Value of Stocks on 02/06/2015 = \$98,291
- Total Price Value of Stocks on 02/13/2015 = \$98542
- We registered a loss of $\$ 693$ in February $13^{\text {th }}$. It is an improvement from the $\$ 944$ registered on February 6th


## Week 3

| Stock <br> Name | SYM | Price on <br> Feb 2nd | Price <br> Feb 13th | Price <br> Feb 20th | VOL | Amount <br> Feb 13th | Amount <br> Feb 20th |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Celgene <br> Corp | CELG | $\mathbf{1 8} 8.57$ | 115.88 | 123.60 | 100 | 11588 | 12360 |
| Tesaro, <br> Inc | TSRO | 39.76 | 38.49 | 44.20 | 450 | 17321 | 19890 |
| Medici <br> Nova <br> Inc | MNO | V | 4.22 | 3.62 | 3.51 | 700 | 2534 |
| Clorox <br> Compa <br> ny | CLX | 107.83 | 109 | 108.94 | 400 | 43600 | 43576 |
| Biogen <br> Idec Inc | BIIB | 390.0 | 391.66 | 408.05 | 60 | 23499 | 24483 |

## Table 7: Stock Performance For Third Week

- Gross Budget $=\$ 100,000$
- Amount Invested On 02/02/2015 = \$99,235
- Total Price Value of Stocks on 02/06/2015 = \$98,291
- Total Price Value of Stocks on 02/13/2015 = \$98,542
- Total Price Value of Stocks on 02/20/2015 = \$102,766 We registered a profit of $\$ 2766$ on February $20^{\text {th }}$. This is an improvement from the $\$ 1458$ loss we registered on February 13th


Figure 9a:Stock Prediction for CELG


Figure 9b : Error plot for CELG model


Figure 10a : Stock Prediction for Tesaro Company


## Figure 10b: Error plot for Tesaro model



Figure 11a : Stock Prediction for Medici Nova Company


Figure 11b : Error plot for Medici Nova model


Figure 12a: Stock Prediction for Clorox Company


Figure 9b : Error plot for Clorox model


Figure 13a : Stock Prediction for Biogen Idec Company


Figure 13b: Error Plot for Biogen Idec Company

## Problem and Solution

Through observing each of the stock prediction graphs above, we can see that the stock prediction model worked fairly well in predicting the trends.

## Celgene Company

Prediction Analysis: The stock prediction plot for Celgene predicted a sharp price increase from February $17^{\text {th }}$ to February $20^{\text {th }}$. Nevertheless, this sharp price increase is at a lower rate than the actual stock price. This is not a negative observation since we will actually make a greater profit than expected.

## Tesaro Company

Prediction Analysis: The prediction plot was a linear line which closely followed the actual trend during the first two day, then deviated from it in the next days. We can observe that the model predicts a price increase in the stock value and the actual stock price is even of a greater value. This Is a desirable result because we will register more profit than forecasted by the model.

## Medici Nova

Prediction Analysis : The prediction curve accurately predicted a fall in the stock prices as shown in the figure.

## Clorox Company

Prediction Analysis: The fitting curve did not correctly depict the future trend. In the first two days, the fitting curve predicted a sharp fall in prices and later showed a moderate fall in the prices of the stocks. This is different from the actual trend that showed a sharp increase in the price of the stock, then a sharp decrease after two days. Nevertheless, the actual stock price is greater than that predicted, so we will still had a stock profit.

## Biogen Idec Company

Prediction Analysis: The actual trend and the prediction are fairly accurate as they both portray a price

Increase in the stock price. The actual trend increases at a greater rate than the prediction. We can say that our stock model worked well with Biogen stocks.

## Summary

We invested $\$ 100,000$ in the purchase of five biotechnology stocks. Our stock prediction model was then used to forecast the stocks trend in a monthly period, aiming to generate profits.After the first week of prediction on February $6^{\text {th }} 2015$, we registered a price loss of $\$ 98,291$. The next week, on February $13^{\text {th }}$, we registered a smaller price loss of $\$ 98,542$. On the third week, we had made a profit of $\$ 102,766$.

## Conclusion

The goal of this IQP project was to develop a simple, efficient and inexpensive forecasting tool that will assist new and inexperienced investors in their stock market businesses. We developed our stock model, by first building up our regression model, then adding this model to the ARIMA formula. In order to introduce the effect of seasonality, our stock model was fractionally added to a similar forecast for the market exchange index. This gave us our final stock prediction model. This final model was then used to invest $\$ 100,000$ into 5 biotechnology stocks and forecast stock profits in a monthly period. By the end of our monthly period, a stock profit of $\$ 102,766$. The stock model followed trends close to the actual stock trends even though, the actual stocks always moved at greater rates. We can confidently conclude that our model was able to work for our $\$ 100,000$ investment.

Even though our model worked in generating an investment profit, we cannot exclude the many unpredictable events that affected our results. Three of the biotechnology companies had received patents for the early developments of cancer drugs. This may have increased their stocks hype, hence boosting investor's interest and the stock prices. The falling oil prices may have also positively affected these biotechnology companies because low oil prices will lower the companies cost of production and enable investment in other sections of the company. We see that such factors were not included into the model. Other stock stochastic factors like
market capitalization and stock traded volumes could not be effectively quantized in our final Stock model due to the short length of our research period.

Our advice for future stock investors is to strive in mathematically including stock parameters like, stock price, stock traded volume, market capitalization and even investors rating into their stock models. Our model included only the stock prices and produced positive results for the prediction of 5 biotechnology stocks. We will not recommend our model for the forecasting of other types of stocks because biotechnology companies behave in differently from other stocks.

Finally, since the price of the stock is strictly tied to thousands of unpredictable events, it is recommended to proceed with caution when using any stock prediction tool and with this prediction tool in hands, getting educated with other prediction tools will be a big help. This allows a comparison of tool to use and avoid uninformed risks taking.
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