

Evaluating the Usefulness of Big Data to Glacier National Park Management

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

Isabella Clowes, Owen McGinley, Ethan Shaw, Aidan Syrgak

PROJECT PURPOSE



Goal: Evaluate the usefulness of big data to Park management

Big Data refers to data sets that are too big to be dealt with by traditional data processing apps (Excel, Google Sheets, etc).



3 V's : higher *volume*, faster *velocity*, wider *variety*

ZARTICO: A BIG DATA PROVIDER



ິທ Visitor Location Data

1.6 billion devices tracked daily

Credit Card Spend Data

From 3,000+ financial institutions

Event Data

40+ million events from 300+ providers

(e.g. TicketMaster, SeatGeek, etc.)

LIST OF QUESTIONS TO TEST BIG DATA

Demographic: Where are the visitors coming from? (local, out-of-state, Canada, etc.)

Visitor Statistics: How many days did visitors spend at GNP?

Reservation System Changes: Did implementation of the reservation system affect the number of locals visiting the Park?

Congestion: Are there large numbers of people that clump around at shuttle transfer spots?

Economic: Where did visitors stay during their trip? (hotels, etc.)



CRITERIA FOR USEFULNESS

Credibility	Labor-Intensiveness	Relevance
 Validity of data Time period for which data is available Volume of data 	 Cost Time Expertise 	 Granularity Presence of necessary data Coverage of relevant location



CREDIBILITY

Validity of Data

Some data points invalid from the start

Accurate during summer months, less accurate in winter months

2021 GNP Visitor Stats vs Zartico inferred visitor count

Zartico inferred visitor count derived by taking % visitors per month, multiplied by total 2021 visitors to GNP.







Validity of Data

Some data points invalid from the start

Accurate during summer months, less accurate in winter months

Historical Availability

Only two good years of data: 2019 and 2021

Historical comparisons inaccurate - but hard to judge if it's an anomaly or not

Volume of Data

Big data in the park isn't that big

Other big data providers (Streetlight) have sample size issues

LABOR-INTENSIVENESS



Confusing dashboard

Difficult to find information

Graphs not understandable

RELEVANCE



Ex. Data from Zartico

WHERE BIG DATA IS USEFUL

General visitor location and movement

Visitor home location

Traffic congestion

Tool to compare against current park data (IRMA, traffic counters)

LIMITATIONS OF BIG DATA

Big data just a sample, captures ~2% of visitors

Lack of precise visitor tracking

Spending data irrelevant

Demographic data not granular enough

New technology: Big data may not yet be worth the investment



Big Data Recommendations



QUESTIONS FOR BIG DATA PROVIDERS



Credibility:

Does the data span enough previous years to identify yearly trends? To what extent can the data be collected offline?

Labor-intensiveness:

To what extent will implementing big data reduce current data collection efforts? How much would big data cost?

Relevance:

To what extent is the data relevant to park management? To what extent does the data cover the Park?

THANK YOU TO:

Pete Webster

Phil Wilson

Kat Barrs

Zartico & Glacier Country Tourism

Dr. Traver & Dr. Tuler

THANK YOU!

ANY QUESTIONS?

QUESTIONS THE PARK WANTED US TO ANSWER

Categorized questions by highest to lowest priority

High Priority	Medium Priority	Low Priority
 Where are visitors coming from? How long are visitors spending in the park? Did implementation of the reservation system affect locals coming to the park? How has the reservation system shifted visitation timing? 	 What is the demographic breakdown of visitors? How long are visitors spending at specific attractions in the park? How did the reservation system change spending at businesses in the communities surrounding GNP? 	 Where did visitors stay during their trip? What times do people go to certain attractions? Which businesses did visitors frequent after exiting the park?

Questioning Credibility

- Are there sufficient points within the data that could be compared with the IRMA Visitor Statistics?

- Does the data tool span enough previous years?

- To what extent can the tool collect data when devices are offline, given the limited connectivity in the park?

- To what extent does the data contain a bias against certain groups of people? (e.g. cell phone provider, app usage)

Questioning Labor-intensiveness

- Will implementing this big data save labor compared to our current data collection and analysis?

- To what extent does the big data tool have an ability to produce visuals (graphs, charts, maps)?

- Is there a position in the park that would already have the skill sets to work with the data?

- To what extent is the big data tool intuitive to use? Will it require a lot of training and/or need constant customer support?

- How much would access to the data cost?

Questioning Relevance

- To what extent does the big data tool have data directly inside Glacier National Park?
- To what extent are the datasets relevant to park management's informational needs?
- Does the big data tool show data by time of day?
- How long would we have access to the data for?

CREDIBILITY ANALYSIS

Evaluate Zartico's data accuracy against park IRMA visitation data

2021 GNP Visitor Stats vs Zartico inferred visitor count

Zartico inferred visitor count derived by taking % visitors per month, multiplied by total 2021 visitors to GNP.



CREDIBILITY ANALYSIS

Think critically before making decisions based on big data

Total Unique Devices per Month, 2019

n = 56523. Filtered to Glacier National Park by POI Name. One unique device may send multiple pings in the park at multiple POIs.



Month





States with the Highest Percentage of Visitors to GNP (2019)



State

% Visitors Normalized by State Population (2019)



State

