Influences of catalyst particle geometry on fixed bed reactor near-wall heat transfer using CFD

by Michiel Nijemeisland

A Thesis

submitted to the Faculty of the Worcester Polytechnic Institute in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Chemical Engineering

January 2003

Approved:

Prof. Dr. Anthony G. Dixon, Major advisor

Dr. E. Hugh Stitt, Co-Advisor, Synetix (Johnson Matthey)

Prof. Dr. Ravindra Datta, Head of Department

Summary

Fixed bed reactors are an essential part of the chemical industry as they are used in a wide variety of chemical processes. To better model these systems a more fundamental understanding of the processes taking place in a fixed bed is required.

Fixed bed models are traditionally based on high tube-to particle diameter ratio (N) beds, where temperature and flow profile gradients are mild and can be averaged. Low-N beds are used in extremely exo- and endothermic processes on the tube side of tube and shell type reactors. In these beds, heat transfer is one of the most important aspects. The importance of accurate modeling of heat transfer and its dependence on accurate modeling of the flow features leads to the need for studying the phenomena in these low-N beds in detail.

In this work a comparative study is made of the influence of spherical and cylindrical packing particle shapes, positions and orientations on the rates of heat transfer in the near-wall region in a steam reforming application. Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) is used as a tool for obtaining the detailed flow and temperature information in a low-N fixed bed. CFD simulation geometries of discrete particle packed beds are designed and methods for data extraction and analysis are developed.

After conceptual and quantitative analysis of the simulation data it is found that few clear relations between the complex phenomena of flow and heat transfer can be easily identified. Investigated features are the orientations of the particle in the flow, and many design parameters, such as the number and size of longitudinal holes in the particle and external features on the particle. We find that many of the investigated features are related and their individual influences could not be isolated in this study. Some of the

related features are, for example, the number of holes in the particle design and the particle orientation in the flow.

Some general conclusions could be drawn. External features on the particles enhance the overall heat transfer properties by better mixing of the flow field. When holes are present in the cylindrical particle design, heat transfer effectiveness can be improved with fewer larger holes.

After identifying the packing-related features influencing the near-wall heat transfer under steam reforming conditions, an attempt was made to incorporate the steam reforming reaction in the simulation. In the initial attempts the reaction was modeled as an energy flux at the catalyst particle surfaces. This approach was based on the abilities of the CFD code, but turned out not accurate enough. Elimination of the effects of local reactant depletion and the lack of solid energy conduction in the catalyst particles resulted in an unphysical temperature field.

Several suggestions, based on the results of this study, are made for additional aspects of particle design to be investigated. Additionally, suggestions are made on how to incorporate the modeling of a reaction in fixed bed heat transfer simulations.

Acknowledgments

The work you see before you is the latest result of a project that grew out of a series of exchanges of chemical engineering students from the University of Twente to Worcester Polytechnic Institute. Throughout these exchanges particle packed beds, the computational modeling thereof and coffee have been essential parts. As the latest, and perhaps last, of the participants in these exchanges I take pride in presenting this work, but will not take all the blame myself, as I thank all the people that made this possible.

First and foremost I would like to thank my advisor, Prof. A.G. Dixon for continued scientific, financial, and moral support, as well as for creating the possibility for the student exchanges. Then I would like to thank all the additional participants in the student exchanges. Emeritus Prof. K.R. Westerterp at the University of Twente for organizing this exchange on the Dutch side, Hans van Dongeren, Olaf Derkx, and Simon Logtenberg for keeping the dream alive and Peter Roos for introducing me to Simon and informing me of the opportunities at WPI.

After the introductory part of the project, which became my Masters Thesis in which CFD was shown to be a viable simulation method for particle packed beds, we were able to attract external support. With the external support, the project acquired a definite amount of direction and clear goals. Additionally it supplied a member of the thesis committee, Dr. E.H. Stitt. I am grateful to Synetix for the financial support and in specific Dr. Stitt for convincing the company that this project is worth sponsoring, as well as scientific, and moral support combined with relentless enthusiasm towards the possibilities and capabilities of CFD modeling.

With the combination of the Masters project and the PhD I have spend almost six years at Worcester Polytechnic Institute and have enjoyed every moment of my time

Acknowledgments

here. The pleasant atmosphere at the chemical engineering department combined with the interesting group of people employed, and studying there, are major players in this. In the six years I have been a part of the department I have had the good fortune to work with a large number of these people, and I would like to thank them for making the experience enjoyable. Within the faculty I would especially like to thank department head Prof. R. Datta for taking a position in my thesis committee. Within the graduate student body I would like to thank some of the hardcore WPI chemical engineers, people that have been a part of my academic experience for most of my stay at WPI, Erik Engwall, Ipek Guray, Yoojeong Kim, Chris Heath, and Arjan Giaya. Also I am thankful to the people who were at WPI for only a fraction of my stay, but formed my experience no less than anyone else, thanks, Jason Wiley, Craig Thompson, Sean Emerson, Kathy Pacheco, Kellie Martin, Tony Thampan, Faisal Syed, Manuela Serban, Josef Find, Paolo Paci, Federico Guazzone, and many more.

Besides the academic experience there were many more people who defined my life in the last six years, I am very grateful to them. A big thank you goes to all the people that have tolerated me while we were roommates, thanks Chris Wieczorek, Fred Souret, Uta Dieregsweiler and Andrew Roberts. Another big thank you goes to the Dutch clan who introduced me to peculiarities of Worcester, and New England, thanks, Simon, Mirjam, Kathy, and Otto. I would also like to thank all my new friends in New England, and surroundings, who played such a big role in the overall experience. I would especially like to thank, John Valiulis, the super-connector, Paul and Krista Andry for being an excellent NYC connection, and great friends, Jon Eisenberg for allowing the 'foreigner' to play with the Bombers, as well as the rest of the team.

By performing graduate studies in the United States I left behind a good deal of friends and family in the Netherlands. The new friends and colleagues in the States made it easy and enjoyable for me to adapt to a different way of life. The friends I left in the Netherlands made it possible for me to keep in touch with things back home. Thank you, Andre, Annemarie, Bas, Brigitte, Dolf, Eduard, Gerben, Ieke, Jacco, Krista, Paul, Pascal, and Pieter.

Last but by no means least I would like to thank my parents and my brother for their support in my choices; they made me who I am today, thank you very much.

Then there is one person who is hard to categorize, a special thank you goes to my colleague, roommate, fellow Dutch clan member, and very good friend Ivo Krausz.

Thanks, everybody.

Michiel

Table of Contents

Summa	ary	ii
Ackno	wledgments	iv
List of	Figures	ix
List of	Tables	xvii
1. Int	troduction	1
1.1	Problem Statement	1
1.2	Background	2
1.3	Literature	9
1.4	Computational Fluid Dynamics	24
1.5	Validation	
2. Si	mulation Geometry Development	
2.1	Deciding on geometry size	
2.2	N = 4 sphere geometries	
2.3	Near-wall segment geometries	74
3. CI	FD Data Analysis – Sphere Geometry	94
3.1	Thermal analysis	
3.2	Flow analysis	
3.3	Relating flow and energy analyses	
4. Ef	ffects of Particle Geometry	
4.1	Model designs	
4.2	Conceptual analysis	
4.3	Quantitative data analysis	

Table of Contents	viii
5. Modeling a Steam Reforming Reaction	
5.1 Steam reforming reaction	
5.2 User defined code	
5.3 Reaction modeling results	
5.4 Concluding remarks	
6. Conclusions and Recommendations	
6.1 Conclusions	
6.2 Recommendations	
Nomenclature	
Literature References	
Appendices	
Appendix 1: The standard κ-ε turbulence model	
Appendix 2: Geometric design in GAMBIT	
Appendix 3: From mesh to case in Fluent UNS	
Appendix 4: Full-bed wall-segment flow comparisons	
Appendix 5: UDF code for simple steam reforming reaction in fluent	

List of Figures

Figure 1.1. typical examples of a) the surface mesh on a number of spheres and a section
of the cylinder and b) a section of the interior mesh in a plane indicated in part a 38
Figure 1.2, edge mesh, showing the graded node spacing, and the resultant surface mesh
in a selection of the ws-995 geometry
Figure 1.3, selected control volumes in the ws-995 mesh interpolated from the node
spacing and surface mesh shown in Figure 1.241
Figure 1.4, two-dimensional display and a detail of the control volumes in the fluid
region of the ws-995 mesh showing the size grading
Figure 1.5. velocity vector plot as obtained from Fluent UNS, vectors colored by axial
velocity component [m/s]47
Figure 1.6, experimental setup and detail of the thermocouple cross, with the radial
positions of the thermocouples indicated, used for temperature data collection 49
Figure 1.7, a) measured and fitted radial temperature profile at $z = 0.42$ and $Re_p = 879$,
and b) averaged radial temperature profiles at a series of bed lengths at $Re_p = 986.50$
Figure 1.8, the layout of the CFD geometry of an $N = 2$ bed, used for the validation
study; a) shows the bottom section of the bed, b) shows the top view of the bed. $\dots 51$
Figure 1.9, radial temperature profiles acquired by CFD simulation for a) $z = 0.42$ and
$Re_p = 1922$, and b) a series of axial positions at $Re_p = 986$
Figure 1.10, radial velocity profiles at different axial locations, Re = 1922
Figure 1.11, direct comparisons of experimental and CFD temperature profiles at $z = 0.42$
for a) $Re_p = 986$, and b) $Re_p = 1922$
Figure 2.1, photograph (a) of the bottom layer of spheres and (b) the modified version
with the locations of the spheres specified

List of Figures x
Figure 2.2, two axially adjacent 9-sphere wall layers67
Figure 2.3, axial spacing between adjacent wall layers
Figure 2.4, the spiraling center structure simulated in an $N = 2.15$ bed
Figure 2.5, the initial wall-segment geometry, top view and isometric view
Figure 2.6, the final 120-degree wall-segment model with mesh of top boundary77
Figure 2.7, comparison section with seven tangential planes and radial profile lines
indicated
Figure 2.8, plane 4 with the axial data lines
Figure 2.9, velocity vectors in plane 4 for the three different models a) no-sphere-mesh b)
re-mesh c) wall-segment model
Figure 2.10, axial velocity components in lines z3, z2, and z1
Figure 2.11, radial velocity components in lines z3, z2, and z1
Figure 2.12, tangential velocity components in lines z3, z2 and, z1
Figure 2.13, axial velocity profiles in z1 of plane 3 and plane 5 respectively
Figure 2.14, tangential velocity profiles in z1 of plane 3 and plane 5 respectively
Figure 2.15, radial and tangential velocities in z1 of plane 1
Figure 2.16, axial velocity components in lines z3, z2, and z191
Figure 2.17, radial velocity components in lines z3, z2, and z192
Figure 2.18, tangential velocity components in lines z3, z2, and z1
Figure 3.1, contour maps of the complete temperature field in the $x = 0$ plane of the $n = 2$
geometry at varying fluid velocities, Rep is respectively 373, 986 and 192297
Figure 3.2, temperature contour maps of respectively the $x = 0$ plane of the first stage in
the $N = 4$ geometry stacking, and the $y = 0$ plane of the fourth stage. Main axial flow
direction moves from left to right in these pictures
Figure 3.3, composite of temperature contour maps of the $x = 0$ plane from four separate
simulations in the $N = 4$ geometry. Main axial flow direction moves from left to
right in these pictures, $Re_p = 1000$

Figure 3.4, thermal conductivities, $k_{\rm r}/k_{\rm f},$ and wall heat transfer coefficients, ${\rm Nu}_{\rm w},$
determined from different samplings of the CFD data for the $N=2$ geometry
simulations
Figure 3.5, velocity vector plot of the flow field in plane 4, vectors colored by axial
velocity component [m/s]107
Figure 3.6, velocity vectors in the wall-segment geometry, vectors are colored by axial
velocity [m/s]109
Figure 3.7, flow pathlines of the flow field in plane 4, pathlines are colored by axial
velocity [m/s]110
Figure 3.8, top view of the flow pathlines in plane 4, showing the three-dimensional
quality of the pathlines, pathlines are colored by axial velocity [m/s] 111
Figure 3.9, pathlines in the wall-segment geometry, pathlines are colored by axial
velocity [m/s]112
Figure 3.10, pathlines in the wall-segment geometry, focusing on the wake flow,
pathlines are colored by axial velocity [m/s] 113
Figure 3.11, histograms of the volumetric flux distributions in the $N = 2$ simulation
geometry flow field
Figure 3.12, local and cumulative porosities in the depicted planar geometry determined
algebraically and with CFD data116
Figure 3.13, velocity contour plots of respectively the velocity magnitude and the axial
component of the velocity in plane 4, velocities in [m/s] 117
Figure 3.14, velocity contour plots of respectively the radial and tangential velocity
components in plane 4, velocities in [m/s]117
Figure 3.15, wall heat flux map on the cylinder wall of the wall segment model, in
$[kW/m^2]$. The repetitive structure is indicated by the gridlines
Figure 3.16, parallel projection of the wall segment model, indicating the regularity of the
wall structure
Figure 3.17, direct numerical comparison of the local axial velocity component to the
local wall heat flux124

List of Figures xii
Figure 3.18, direct numerical comparison of the local radial and tangential velocity
components to the local wall heat flux125
Figure 3.19, direct numerical comparison of the normalized local x and y vorticity
components to the local wall heat flux126
Figure 3.20, direct numerical comparison of the normalized local z vorticity component
and the vorticity magnitude to the local wall heat flux
Figure 3.21, direct numerical comparison of the local fluid helicity to the local wall heat
flux
Figure 3.22, the axial derivative of the axial velocity component related to the local wall
heat flux
Figure 3.23, the radial and tangential derivatives of the axial velocity components related
to the local wall heat flux
Figure 3.24, the unit-cell section used for comparing fluid flow to wall heat flux, a) the
flow field expressed in pathlines, b) the simplified expression of the flow field in the
fluid section, c) relative wall heat flux in ten gradations. All three are displayed from
the viewpoint of an observer looking at the tube wall from outside the bed
Figure 4.1, isometric views of the 4-hole particle
Figure 4.2, isometric views of the 3-hole particle
Figure 4.3, isometric views of the 1-hole particle
Figure 4.4, isometric views of the 4smholes particle
Figure 4.5, isometric views of the grooves particle
Figure 4.6, isometric views of the 1bighole particle
Figure 4.7, experimental results of random dense packing of 1:1 cylindrical particles in
an $N = 4$ bed
Figure 4.8, the base bed geometry with the standard 4-hole particles, ws-4hole-1b 141
Figure 4.9, additional bed geometries a) ws-4hole-2 and b) ws-4hole-3142
Figure 4.10, wall adjacent particle numbering in the three different bed geometries 147
Figure 4.11, contour plot of axial fluid velocities in the r=0.045 plane of the ws-4hole-1b
geometry, colored by axial velocity in [m/s]

List of Figures xiii
Figure 4.12, selected pathlines released in the r=0.045 plane in the ws-4hole-1b
geometry, colored by axial velocity in [m/s] 149
Figure 4.13, selected pathlines released in the r=0.05 plane in the ws-4hole-1b geometry,
colored by axial velocity in [m/s]
Figure 4.14, column wall temperature map for the base 4-hole cylinder packing, ws-
4hole-1b, scale in [K]
Figure 4.15, contour plot of axial fluid velocities in the r=0.045 plane of the ws-4hole-2
geometry, colored by axial velocity in [m/s]
Figure 4.16, selected pathlines released in the r=0.045 plane in the ws-4hole-2 geometry,
colored by axial velocity in [m/s]
Figure 4.17, selected pathlines released in the r=0.05 plane in the ws-4hole-2 geometry,
colored by axial velocity in [m/s]154
Figure 4.18, column wall temperature map for the first alternate 4-hole cylinder packing,
ws-4hole-2, scale in [K]154
Figure 4.19, contour plot of axial fluid velocities in the r=0.045 plane of the ws-4hole-3
geometry, colored by axial velocity in [m/s] 157
Figure 4.20, selected pathlines released in the r=0.045 plane in the ws-4hole-3 geometry,
colored by axial velocity in [m/s]157
Figure 4.21, selected pathlines released in the r=0.045 plane in the ws-4hole-3 geometry,
colored by axial velocity in [m/s]158
Figure 4.22, column wall temperature map for the second alternate 4-hole cylinder
packing, ws-4hole-3, scale in [K]158
Figure 4.23, column wall temperature maps for the four different particle designs,
temperature scales are normalized to the largest range in [K]162
Figure 4.24, column wall temperature maps for the four different particle designs,
temperature scales are normalized to the smallest range in [K]163
Figure 4.25, comparison of flow situations related to particles with a different amount of
holes, section 1; pathlines are colored by axial velocities in [m/s], scale to the left of
each figure165

List of Figures xiv
Figure 4.26, comparison of flow situations related to particles with a different amount of
holes, section 2; pathlines are colored by axial velocity in [m/s], scale to the left of
each figure167
Figure 4.27, comparison of flow situations related to particles with a different amount of
holes in section 3; pathlines are colored by axial velocity in [m/s], scale to the left of
each figure169
Figure 4.28, comparison of flow situations related to particles with a different amount of
holes in section 4; pathlines are colored by axial velocity in [m/s], scale to the left of
each figure170
Figure 4.29, temperature maps for the four different geometries with varying hole sizes,
scale in [K]172
Figure 4.30, comparison of flow situations in the 4hole and 4smholes geometries in
section 1; pathlines are colored by axial velocity in [m/s], scale to the left of each
figure
Figure 4.31, comparison of flow situations in the 4hole and 4smholes geometries in
sections 3 and 4; pathlines are colored by axial velocity in [m/s], scale to the left of
each figure174
Figure 4.32, comparison of flow situations in the 1hole and 1bighole geometries in
section 1; pathlines are colored by axial velocity in [m/s], scale to the left of each
figure
Figure 4.33, comparison of flow situations in the 1hole and 1bighole geometries in
section 2; pathlines are colored by axial velocity in [m/s], scale to the left of each
figure
Figure 4.34, comparison of flow situations in the 4hole and 1bighole geometries in
sections 2 and 3; pathlines are colored by axial velocity in [m/s], scale to the left of
each figure
Figure 4.35, temperature maps for the 4hole and grooves geometries, scale in [K] 181
Figure 4.36, comparison of flow situations in the 4hole and grooves geometries in section

1; pathlines are colored by axial velocity in [m/s], scale to the left of each figure. 181

Figure 4.37, comparison of flow situations in the 4hole and grooves geometries in
sections 2 and 3; pathlines are colored by axial velocity in [m/s], scale to the left of
each figure
Figure 4.38, comparison of flow situations in the 4hole and grooves geometries in section
4; pathlines are colored by axial velocity in [m/s], scale to the left of each figure. 184
Figure 4.39, temperature maps for the three different simulated reactor conditions, scale
in [K]187
Figure 4.40, pathline plots for the three simulated reactor conditions, pathlines are
colored by axial velocity in [m/s], scale to the left of each figure
Figure 4.41, continuous radial temperature profile comparisons
Figure 4.42, radial temperature profiles and average wall temperatures for the three
different orientations of the 4-hole particle bed
Figure 4.43, radial temperature profiles and average wall temperatures for the series of
particle designs with different number of longitudinal holes
Figure 4.44, radial temperature profiles and average wall temperatures for the series with
different hole diameters
Figure 4.45, radial temperature profiles and average wall temperatures for the 4hole and
big-hole particle beds with identical bed porosities
Figure 4.46, averaged radial temperature profiles for the standard and grooved particles.204
Figure 4.47, averaged radial porosity profiles in the solid cylinder, standard 4hole and
grooves geometries
Figure 4.48, normalized and regular radial temperature profiles, and average wall
temperatures for the runs at different reactor conditions
Figure 5.1, contour plots of the heat flux on the particle surfaces, showing the entire
range of sink magnitudes on the left and a cropped range on the right
Figure 5.2, temperature profiles on the particle surfaces in the reaction simulation, scale
in [K]. Inset: contour plot of axial flow velocities in the center plane of the
geometry, scale in axial velocity magnitude [m/s]

List of Figures	xvi
Figure 6.1, relative hole sizes of respectively the standard hole, small	hole, and the
suggested pinhole and large hole particles	

Figure A. 1, top view of the wall segment geometry, with the planes for c	omparisons
indicated	
Figure A. 2, layout plots of the 6 comparison planes, illustrating the similarit	ies between
the layout of planes 3 and 5, 2 and 6, and 1 and 7 respectively	
Figure A. 3, axial velocity comparisons in planes 3 and 5.	
Figure A. 4, radial velocity comparisons in planes 3 and 5	
Figure A. 5, tangential velocity comparisons in planes 3 and 5.	
Figure A. 6, axial velocity comparisons in planes 2 and 6.	
Figure A. 7, radial velocity comparisons in planes 2 and 6.	
Figure A. 8, tangential velocity comparisons in planes 2 and 6.	
Figure A. 9, axial velocity comparisons in planes 1 and 7.	
Figure A. 10, radial velocity comparisons in planes 1 and 7	
Figure A. 11, tangential velocity comparisons in planes 1 and 7.	

List of Tables

Table 2.1, coordinates for the wall layer spheres in the first layer, in inches
Table 2.2, coordinates for the wall layer spheres in the second layer, in inches
Table 2.3, sizes of the different simulation geometries in million control volumes78
Table 2.4, size comparison of the meshes used for flow profile comparisons
Table 3.1, temperature data in [K] used to determine heat transfer parameters for the
original and alternate sampling of the CFD data
Table 3.2, differences in the boundary conditions between the laboratory and reforming
conditions
Table 4.1, overview of simulation series for the investigation of the effects of the particle
geometry
Table 4.2, 4-hole catalyst particle dimensions. 135
Table 4.3, boundary conditions and fluid properties for the three reactor conditions 143
Table 4.4, comparing overall heat capacities and energy required to increase the
temperature of the geometries
Table 4.5, wall temperature distributions in the geometries with particles with a different
number of holes
Table 4.6, wall temperature distributions in the geometries with particles with different
hole sizes
Table 5.1, reactions included in the simplified reaction model, with equilibrium constants
and reaction enthalpies
Table 5.2, activation energies, adsorption enthalpies and pre-exponential factors for the
reaction model
Table 6.1, the suggested broadening in the orientations, and number of holes series 225

List of Figures	xviii
Table 6.2, the suggested expansion in the hole-size series.	226
Table 6.3, the suggested expansion in the porosity series.	226
Table 6.4, the suggested expansion in investigating the effect of external features	227

Table A. 1, placement of the particles in the different bed geometries	
--	--

1. Introduction

1.1 Problem Statement

Fixed bed reactors are an essential part of the chemical industry as they are used in a wide variety of chemical processes. For design of fixed bed reactors, application of several models is required to be able to describe the different physical and chemical processes taking place in the reactor. The trend in most of these models has always been towards providing grouped parameters for easy description of the physical processes, sometimes combining several physical processes in a single parameter. The main problem with these methods is the lack of universality of the used models, resulting in the development of a multitude of models and modeling parameters for specific reactors used in specific processes.

To better model these systems a more fundamental understanding of the processes taking place in a fixed bed is required. To obtain better understanding it is first necessary to be able to obtain accurate data from inside the fixed bed. In an earlier study we have shown that Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) is an accurate, reliable, and non-intrusive method that can provide a wealth of data in low tube-to-particle diameter ratio (N) fixed beds (Nijemeisland and Dixon, 2001). CFD can provide us with detailed information on flow processes and heat and mass transfer processes. This is a tremendous advantage over traditional methods of obtaining flow and heat transfer data in fixed beds, which are usually limited to few sampling points and are mostly intrusive.

Experimentally it is very difficult to obtain data in the near-wall region of a fixed bed, which has resulted in several model adaptations to be able to relate experimentally acquired data to the model. In general, experimental data, when extrapolated to the wall, predict a temperature at the wall considerably lower than the wall temperature, due to the laminar wall layer, decreased solid conduction, and reduced radial dispersion of heat. This discrepancy is then resolved with an idealized temperature jump at the wall described by h_w , the wall heat transfer coefficient. This parameter is used to describe a phenomenon (the temperature jump at the wall) that is not physically present but which, based on experimental results, cannot be described any more accurately. CFD offers more detailed data and better insight in the transport phenomena in the near-wall region. This combination may eventually lead to a more fundamental way of modeling the near-wall heat transfer, eliminating the need for the empirical parameter h_w .

Low-N beds are used in extremely exo- and endothermic processes in tube and shell type reactors. In these setups heat transfer is one of the most important aspects, especially at the tube wall; combined with high fluid throughput the near-wall heat transfer resistance becomes dominant. In this specific type of setup the modeling of the near-wall heat transfer with a single parameter, h_w , is very questionable.

In this work a comparative study will be made of the influence of the particle shapes, positions and orientations on the rates of heat transfer in the near-wall region in low-N fixed beds.

1.2 Background

A good qualitative understanding and an accurate quantitative description of fluid flow and heat transfer in fixed beds are necessary for the modeling of these devices. Accurate modeling of these fixed beds is complicated, especially in low tube to particle diameter ratios (N), in the range of 3-8, due to the presence of wall effects across the entire radius of the bed. With new methods such as CFD it is possible to get a detailed view of the flow behavior in these beds.

In this study the steam reforming process is selected as an example for industrial application of CFD in a fixed bed with a low tube-to-particle diameter ratio. By applying the work to an industrial process we can utilize the versatility of the CFD method and show its applicability to practical situations. The steam reforming process was chosen for

a number of reasons. The types of geometries used in steam reforming resemble the types of geometries on which the validation study, described in paragraph 1.5, was performed. The optimization progress in steam reforming can be helped by a more fundamental study of the processes taking place in the steam reforming bed, CFD is an optimal tool for providing data where other methods are not available.

1.2.1 Introduction of the steam reformer and its problems

Steam reforming is a widely used method in industry for the production of synthesis gas. Synthesis gas is a mixture of hydrogen gas and carbon monoxide, which is used in many processes. The exact composition of the produced synthesis gas, i.e. the ratio of hydrogen to carbon monoxide and the amount of other species, such as carbon dioxide, water, methane and various side products such as nitrous oxides and other traces, depends on the type of steam reforming process, the ratio of the reagents, the catalyst used and the reactor conditions. Sometimes the creation of synthesis gas is the primary goal, in for example the production of hydrogen. Other times synthesis gas is produced as a precursor for further production, mostly as hydrogen production for Fischer-Tropsch processes, or methanol, or ammonia synthesis.

An industrial size steam reformer generally has a tube and shell design, typically housing up to 400 0.1 m inner diameter, 13 m loaded length tubes. The exact specifications are, of course, process dependent. The highly endothermic reaction is taking place in the catalyst filled tubes. The shell side is used for supply of energy to the tubes, usually in the form of a series of burners. The steam reformer is operated at very high temperatures, approximately 1000 K, and very high reactant throughput. The base reaction in steam reforming is:

$$CH_4 + H_2O \leftrightarrow CO + 3H_2$$
 (1.1)

Simultaneously the water gas shift reaction is taking place:

$$CO + H_2O \leftrightarrow CO_2 + H_2$$
 (1.2)

The overall process is highly endothermic, even though the water gas shift reaction is slightly exothermic. The heat of reaction for reaction (1.1) $\Delta H = +206.1$ kJ/mol, and for reaction (1.2) $\Delta H = -45.15$ kJ/mol (Xu and Froment, 1989).

The main issue in the design of steam reformers is that heat is supplied to the reactant mixture as fast and as efficiently as possible; thus supplying the reaction with the energy it needs. Both the endothermic reaction and the high reactant throughput will put strains on the supply of energy.

Experimentally very little data can be acquired at steam reformer tube conditions. The high temperature and the high flow conditions make measurement of either temperature or flow patterns very difficult. Currently the only experimental data that can be obtained inside industrial tube and shell steam reformers are pyrometer measurements of the external tube temperature. This method only gives a superficial indication of the internal situation but can already identify problems inside the reformer. It can identify problem areas in heat absorption in the tube banks. When, for example, a patch of catalyst has deactivated, or due to non-uniform packing heat consumption in a particular area is reduced, hot spots will show up in the tube banks. These hot spots exert thermal strain on the reformer-tubes, which will lead to reduced operation time. Only problem areas can be identified with the pyrometer measurement. To be able to understand the principles behind the heat transfer problems inside the tubes more data is required.

This is an area where CFD can give a lot more information easily and without disturbance of the temperature and flow field. Using CFD we can identify heat transfer and flow patterns inside the tubes, look at the effects of catalyst degradation and at the effects of particle stacking, orientation or shape.

From numerous studies by reforming catalyst producers it has been shown that catalyst particle shapes influence the heat transfer into the reformer-tube. Certain aspects of the catalyst particle design may positively influence the heat transfer from the shell side to the tube side. The only currently available data in this area has been empirically collected and correlated. The optimal design of the catalyst particle has been established by trial and error in pilot plant scale setups. CFD might give us insight into the changes Introduction

in flow and heat transfer properties related to a catalyst particle shape and its position in the reformer-tube. Proper analysis of the heat transfer and flow processes inside the reformer-tubes are expected to give us a better explanation of the overall heat transfer into the tubes.

1.2.2 CFD in general

Using CFD, to create better understanding in flow processes, is an essential step, as it will provide us with information that cannot be obtained in any other way. It is therefore essential to further explore the concept CFD, what is CFD? And how can we use CFD to provide us with the information we desire?

Computational Fluid Dynamics is a method that is becoming more and more popular in the modeling of flow systems in many fields. CFD codes make it possible to numerically solve flow, mass and energy balances in complicated flow geometries. The results show specific flow and heat transfer patterns that are hard to obtain experimentally or with conventional modeling methods.

CFD numerically solves the Navier-Stokes equations and the energy and species balances. The differential forms of these balances are solved over a large number of control volumes. These control volumes are small volumes within the flow geometry, all control volumes properly combined form the entire flow geometry. The size and number of control volumes (mesh density) is user determined and will influence the accuracy of the solutions, to a certain degree. After boundary conditions have been implemented, the flow and energy balances are solved numerically; an iteration process decreases the error in the solution until a satisfactory result has been reached.

The tremendous growth in computational capabilities over the last decades has made CFD one of the fastest growing fields of research. Areas of research where CFD has taken an important role include the aerospace and automotive industries where CFD has become a relatively cheap alternative to wind tunnel testing. CFD type software, numerically solving problems over a grid of elements, although not specifically focused on flow problems, has been used in the Civil Engineering field for stress type calculations in construction for years.

Commercially available CFD codes use one of three basic spatial discretization methods, finite differences (FD), finite volumes (FV) or finite elements (FE). Earlier CFD codes used FD or FV methods and have been used in stress and flow problems. The major disadvantage of the FD method is that it is limited to structured grids, which are hard to apply to complex geometries and mostly used for stress calculations in beams etc. In a three-dimensional structured grid every node is an intersection of three lines with a respective specific x, y and z-coordinate, resulting in a grid with all rectangular elements. The rectangular elements can undergo limited deformation to fit the geometry but the adaptability of the grid is limited.

The FV and FE methods support both structured and unstructured grids and therefore can be applied to a more complex geometry. An unstructured grid is a two-dimensional structure of triangular cells or a three-dimensional structure of tetrahedral cells, which is interpolated from respectively, user-defined node distributions on the surface edges or, a triangular surface mesh. The interpolation part of the creation process of an unstructured mesh is less directly influenced by the user than in a structured mesh because of the random nature of the unstructured interpolation process. This aspect does, however, allow the mesh to more easily adapt to a complex geometry. The FE method is in general more accurate than the FV method, but the FV method uses a continuity balance per control volume, resulting in a more accurate mass balance. FV methods are more appropriate for flow situation, whereas FE methods are used more in stress and conduction calculations, where satisfying the local continuity is of less importance.

By using CFD and an unstructured model of the fixed bed geometry in the simulation a detailed description of the flow behavior within the bed can be established, which can then be used in more accurate modeling. The simulation requires that a detailed model of the desired geometry be made. The fixed bed geometry is so complex that only unstructured type grids can be used.

1.2.3 Use of CFD in chemical and reaction engineering

Recently the range of applications for CFD has been extended to the field of Chemical Engineering with the introduction of specially tailored fluid mixing programs. The general setup of most CFD programs allows for a wide range of applications, several commercial packages have introduced chemical reactions in the CFD code allowing rapid progress of the use of CFD within the field of Chemical Reaction Engineering (Bode, 1994; Harris et al., 1996; Kuijpers and van Swaaij, 1998; Ranade, 1995). Already CFD can be applied to the more physical aspects of Chemical Engineering, cases in which heat transfer and mass flow are the essentials.

Areas in the field of Chemical Engineering that are just experiencing the influence of CFD are physical modeling of two-phase flow systems such as fluidized beds and bubble columns. These fields require that the traditional CFD codes be adapted to describe the physical situation properly. In general flow problems traditional Navier-Stokes and proven turbulence models are used to create a flow field solution. In the more complicated two-phase flows a new model needs to be introduced and research is done to find which models can be used for which modeling conditions. Different groups propose different types of modeling a two-phase flow (Sokolichin and Eigenberger, 1994; Delnoij et al., 1997).

The first application of CFD specifically tailored for chemical engineering was in mixing. Several commercial CFD packages supply a ready-made code for mixing problems, e.g. the Polyflow package from Fluent. These ready-made codes are very useful in general design of standard applications, but limit the versatility of the specific software package. For a more general application of CFD a more general software package, such as the Fluent UNS package is required.

When in the literature 'CFD in fixed beds' is mentioned this often refers to the application of PDEs as two-dimensional (circumferentially averaged homogenized continuum) bed models, solving for a radially varying axial flow profile, $v_z(r)$. The application of CFD methods in fixed bed type reactors, discussed in this work, differs

from the usual application in the complexity of the fully three-dimensional bed structure, with discrete particles, and corresponding modeling geometry. By using CFD in these fully three-dimensional simulation geometries a detailed description of the flow behavior within the bed can be obtained. The method provides previously unavailable information on the processes taking place inside packed beds, without diminishing the data set through any kind of averaging.

To model low-N packed beds, we have a specific need for better understanding of the flow behavior and its influence on the heat transfer inside the bed, because of its inhomogeneous structure. Considering the limited complexity of the low-N packed bed, it makes sense that CFD simulation would be very useful in these cases.

1.3 Literature

Fixed beds have a wide range of applications in industry; they are used in separation processes and in reactors. The range of physical dimensions of these types of beds is as large as the application range. The versatility of the fixed bed has led to many efforts trying to understand the principles behind the processes taking place inside the fixed bed to better explain and control the applications of these beds.

Descriptions of fixed beds include a model for the mass transfer, or species transport, in the bed and one for the heat transfer. Usually empirical correlations are used for the description of these processes inside fixed beds. The small-scale structure of the packing in the large-scale tube (the bed container) allows for a great deal of stochastic averaging of the flow patterns, which are an essential part of the model, resulting in a successful use of empirical parameters. The empirically determined model parameters use averaged flow and temperature profiles over the diameter of the bed in modeling other functions such as reactions or control aspects of the industrial application. When, however, the tube-to-particle diameter ratio (N) decreases, the void space distribution in the bed can no longer be interpreted as continuous. The flow patterns and heat transfer patterns, which are tremendously influenced by the void distribution in the bed (Daszkowski and Eigenberger, 1992; Haidegger et al., 1989; Eigenberger and Ruppel, 1986; Vortmeyer and Schuster, 1983; Hennecke and Schlünder, 1973), can no longer easily be predicted with the empirical models. The lower N causes a discretization in the bed structure which makes the stochastic averaging invalid. Traditional empirical parameters in fixed bed heat transfer are the effective radial thermal conductivity and the wall heat transfer coefficient. The radial thermal conductivity, k_r, is a fluid conductivity adjusted for flow behavior and solids conductivities so it can be applied as if the bed were uniform. The wall heat transfer coefficient, h_w, is a parameter that needs to be introduced to simulate

r	• .					
	- 11	01	· n 1	haa	r	\sim
			2			-
		~	u	ັບ		ັ

the apparent temperature jump at the wall as is found in traditional experiments because measurements can only be taken at a specific distance from the wall.

The following section reports on several review articles concerning the modeling of heat transfer in low-N fixed beds. As the publications are dealt with chronologically it can be seen how more and more detail is introduced into these models to better describe the processes taking place as more data is becoming available.

1.3.1 Literature overview of low-N fixed bed research

In the literature many groups have tried to update empirical models, by finding alternate ways of defining the k_r and h_w , to improve the description of low-N packed beds. The traditional empirical model consists of a set of two-dimensional equations, one for mass and one for energy with appropriate boundary conditions. These equations use Peclet numbers to dictate the dispersion in the system and radial and axial gradients of the variables, treating the bed as a continuum. The dimensionless model as described by Carberry, 1976:

Continuity equation for mass:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial z} - \frac{a}{Pe_r \cdot N} \left(\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial f}{\partial r} \right) = \frac{\Re \theta}{C_0}$$
(1.3)

continuity equation for energy:

$$\frac{\partial t}{\partial z} - \frac{a}{\overline{P}e_{r} \cdot N} \left(\frac{\partial^{2} t}{\partial r^{2}} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial t}{\partial r} \right) = \frac{Q\theta}{\rho c_{p} T_{0}}$$
(1.4)

where, z = Z/L; $r = R/R_0$; $f = C/C_0$; $t = T/T_0$; $N = d_t/d_p$; $a = L/d_t$; $\theta = L/v$; \Re = the global reaction rate, and Q = the heat generation.

The Péclet numbers are defined as:

$$Pe_{r} = \frac{d_{p}v}{D_{r}}; \quad \overline{P}e_{r} = \frac{d_{p}v\rho c_{p}}{k_{r}}$$
(1.5)

where, D_r = radial mass dispersion coefficient.

The boundary conditions for this model are:

Literature				
z=0:	f = 1, t = 1			
r=0:	$\frac{\partial f}{\partial r} = 0 , \ \frac{\partial t}{\partial r} = 0$			
r=1:	$\frac{\partial f}{\partial r} = 0, \ \frac{\partial t}{\partial r} = \frac{h_w R_0}{k_r} (t - t_w)$			

Paterson and Carberry give an overview of several newly proposed model improvements in their 1983 publication. In this work problems with the used models are identified and discussed together with several model improvements. The key problem with the traditional model is that it underestimates heat removal from the bed and without axial dispersion included it is length dependent; the *a priori* prediction is disappointing caused by the imprecision of the parameters used. When axial dispersion is not included the values of k_r and h_w that are obtained do not predict the behavior in the bed properly. The problem with the axial dispersion term is the definition of boundary conditions at the bed inlet and exit, concerning the mass continuity. Additionally the dispersion models predict an infinitely fast signal propagation and upstream transport of material, which cannot be experimentally verified. In Paterson and Carberry a newly developed approach of updating the most commonly used flat velocity profile over the fixed bed, a plug flow condition, is suggested to better describe the phenomena in the bed. The improvements that are reviewed describe adjustments to the plug flow profile to better interpret the interaction between mass transfer and heat transfer in the fixed bed model. The standard plug flow profile is replaced by a radial profile of axial velocities, $v_z(r)$, taking into account bed voidage. The model described here divides the bed into a central and a nearwall region with different porosities. Adaptations of the suggested varying porosity can be used to describe a continuous radially changing velocity profile.

The most important model improvement suggested in Paterson and Carberry is the porosity-dependent radially varying velocity profile. The model is two-dimensional, treating the bed as an axially uniform porous structure. The velocity profile allows for a better description of the fixed bed heat transfer. The results of the modifications are a more detailed description of the wall heat transfer coefficient and radial conductivity. No improved model has been proposed or applied to show better *a priori* predictions.

In a paper by Vortmeyer and Schuster also from 1983 the concept of a continuous radial profile of axial velocities is made possible by relating the velocity profile to the local bed porosity. This method results in a flow profile with a preferential peripheral flow, where the bed voidage is highest. The flow profile is incorporated in the fixed bed model using the extended Brinkman equation (Brinkman, 1947):

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial z} = -f_1 v - f_2 v^2 + \mu \left(\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} \right)$$
(1.6)

where,

$$f_1 = 1.75 \rho \frac{(1-\epsilon)}{\epsilon^3 d_p}, \quad f_2 = 175 \rho \frac{(1-\epsilon)}{\epsilon^3 d_p}$$
 (1.7)

the porosity, ε , is defined as:

$$\varepsilon = \varepsilon_0 \left[1 + C \exp\left(1 - 2\frac{r_t - r}{d_p}\right) \right]$$
(1.8)

where ε_0 is the mean bed porosity at the center and C a dependent constant to have a porosity of 1 at the wall, $r = r_t$.

The above equations are for an isothermal case. In a publication by Freiwald and Paterson (1992), which is discussed later in this chapter, a boundary condition for the treatment of heat transfer at the wall in a constant wall temperature case is given. In this equation the important modeling parameters, h_w and k_r are introduced.

$$h_w(T_w - T) = k_r \frac{\partial T}{\partial r}, \quad \text{at } r = r_t$$
 (1.9)

In this equation the apparent temperature jump at the wall (T_w-T) is related towards the temperature gradient in the bed, right hand side of the equation, using the wall heat transfer coefficient, h_w . The temperature gradient in the bed dT/dr uses a single radial conductivity, k_r , incorporating both the fluid conductivity and the solid conductivity. Literature

Eigenberger and Ruppel (1986) focus on several aspects of the design process of a fixed bed reactor, including the modeling of the tube side heat transfer and especially the influence of the tube side flow profile on the heat transfer. They compare the traditional plug flow profile with the preferential peripheral flow suggested by Vortmeyer and Schuster and with a flow profile according to Schwartz and Smith (1953), which shows a less prominent peripheral flow. When these three different flow profiles are used in modeling all three can predict a similar final temperature by adjusting h_w. This will result in very similar axial temperature profile but very differing radial temperature profiles. A more peripherally preferential flow will require a lower wall heat transfer coefficient to acquire the same final temperature than a plug flow profile. When these parameters and flow profiles are used to predict reactor conditions the preferential flow model will result in about 10% more conversion and a maximum temperature almost 60°C higher than the plug flow model. In the publication no preference for a specific profile is expressed due to lack of dependable data.

It is clear that a difference in interpretation of the flow behavior of a fixed bed can result in a completely different prediction of bed and reactor behavior. Both the preferential flow and plug flow models are fit to the bed by adjusting the wall heat transfer coefficient, h_w , resulting in completely different values of this parameter, indicating that the value of this modeling parameter is completely dependent on the flow model chosen.

In a subsequent review paper (Freiwald and Paterson, 1992) several then recently developed models are compared to experimental data using the same modeling parameters, the radial conductivity and the wall heat transfer coefficient. It is shown that even though the specific models predict data from the experimental setup they were created on very well, they do not show a mutual agreement. This is a problem that has been apparent for many years in fixed bed modeling; empirical models are limited to the setup they were created on. Freiwald and Paterson identify three modeling approaches identified by their originators. First, the school of Cresswell's approach is based on adding axial dispersion terms. The school of Schlünder separates the development of the

Literature

two parameters, using different pieces of equipment to determine the different parameters. Lastly, the school of Specchia is identified as representing all other approaches by using not just their own data. Many problems with experimental setups are discussed and finally the model by Schlünder is identified as the most reliable approach.

Most obvious from comparing the different modeling approaches is that each approach will result in a usable model but that none of these models are interchangeable between experimental setups or experimental conditions. Values of the model parameters are so much influenced by experimental conditions and the actual setup that is used that they tend to lose their physical meaning and become merely fitting parameters.

Daszkowski and Eigenberger (1992) focus on improving the description of the radial variation in the two-dimensional axial flow profile used when modeling a fixed bed. They quote several other groups' conclusions that this effect is too often neglected (Haidegger et al., 1989; Vortmeyer and Schuster, 1983). A more involved version of the Brinkman equation is used emphasizing the influence of the porosity on the flow profile, the axial momentum balance is given as follows:

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial z} + \varepsilon f_1 v_z + \varepsilon^2 f_2 v_z |v| + \rho_g v_z \left(\frac{\partial v_z}{\partial z}\right) + \rho_g v_r \left(\frac{\partial v_z}{\partial r}\right) - \mu \left(\frac{\partial^2 v_z}{\partial z^2}\right) - \mu \left(\frac{\partial^2 v_z}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial v_z}{\partial r}\right) = 0$$
(1.10)

When a comparison is made of the two-dimensional modeled flow profile with a measured flow profile reasonable agreement is found, however, the measured profile was acquired outside the actual fixed bed. When the more detailed, modeled, flow profile is applied to the heat transfer processes taking place the overall heat flux in the tube is described better. Values for wall heat transfer coefficient and radial conductivity are considerably lower than found with a plug flow model, which was also found by Eigenberger and Ruppel (1986). This is explained by a better description of bypassing flows near the wall and longer residence times in the center of the bed. The new flow profile is then applied to modeling a simple oxidation reactor; resulting in a better overall

prediction. In some cases, however, the plug flow model predicts heat fluxes better when applied to separate sections of the reactor.

The better description of the flow in the fixed bed internals seems to redefine the modeling parameters completely, emphasizing their arbitrary meaning. However the trend in the change of the values seems similar as was described by Freiwald and Paterson (1992) indicating that the more detailed description of the flow profiles may result in a better 'physical meaning' of the modeling parameters. However, the models used in these simulations are still very rudimentary and completely neglect the three-dimensional nature of the fixed bed.

Schouten et al. (1995) investigated the specific process of ethene oxidation in a tubular packed bed. Temperature profiles were determined experimentally and theoretically with two different types of two-dimensional models, one describing the bed as a pseudo-homogeneous entity and one heterogeneous model. Agreement between model and experiment were qualitatively acceptable but quantitatively less satisfactory. In general the overall predicted temperatures are too high and the hot spot temperatures are too low. The heterogeneous model gave better results than the pseudo-homogeneous model. The models used utilize simple plug flow (no radial variation) and neglect axial dispersion, also radial concentration profiles are assumed flat. The simplifications are justified by earlier publications (Borkink and Westerterp, 1994; Khanna and Seinfeld, 1987; Westerink et al., 1990). Problems with the inaccuracies between modeling and experiments are attributed to inaccurate kinetic data.

Although the simplifications applied to the modeling of the fixed bed in this case are being justified by other people's work, they are very strong simplifications. The aspects that are overlooked through these simplifications are indicated to be essential parts in parametric modeling of fixed beds according to other papers that have been discussed. Modeling improvements were attributed to including axial dispersion terms and more detailed flow profile description. Some of the model agreement problems are attributed to wall effects, Schouten et al. suggest that this would be more of an issue at lower tube-toparticle diameter ratios. Overall the simplifications were too drastic to have expected a reasonable quantitative comparison. This is also shown in the fact that overall temperatures were predicted too high and hot spot temperatures too low, there was too much averaging applied to be able to model the extremes.

In an industrial publication by Landon et al. (1996) another attempt is made to determine wall heat transfer coefficients and radial thermal conductivities for a variety of packing shapes, sizes and materials and tube diameters. In the heat transfer model they assume plug flow, no axial dispersion and the internals are treated as a pseudo-homogeneous phase. These assumptions are taken regularly in industrial operating conditions, and were also taken in the publications by Schouten et al. (1995), discussed above. The validation for these simplifications given by Landon et al. is that the more complicated models add several more parameters without significantly improving the description of the flow and heat transfer over the simple models. Comparison of wall heat transfer coefficients dependent on Reynolds numbers show over a range of Reynolds from 500 to 2000 a spread of approximately 40 % between several different models for a single set of data. The final model for this approach describes a wall Nusselt number with a Prandtl and Reynolds number and is only applicable at Reynolds numbers higher than 300-500.

This publication shows, very interestingly, the need for a simple model in industrial application. Many aspects that were shown to improve the modeling of heat transfer in fixed bed in earlier publications have been omitted to simplify the model. As a result the newly established parameters are very limited in their applicability but they describe the data they were based on very well. Most important aspect of this publication is that, though it lacks improved understanding of the phenomena involved in fixed bed heat transfer, it does show that there is a need for simple models for industrial application.

In a publication by Cybulski et al. (1997) the fixed bed is identified as having an extremely random character, resulting in flow maldistribution and resultantly a maldistribution of energy. The random character of the bed and therefore of the flow and temperature patterns result in weak reproducibility; this is often obscured by averaging over entire beds in traditional modeling approaches. In multitubular reactors the

nonuniformities found in the single tube measurements tend to level out due to the large number of tubes present. The first step in including the randomness of fixed beds is introducing a radial variation of flow velocity. Several other works are quoted (Daszkowski and Eigenberger, 1992; Kalthoff and Vortmeyer, 1980; Vortmeyer and Winter, 1984) indicating that a radially varying flow model leads to considerably better agreement between experiment and simulation.

Although the publication does not offer any solutions for the problem identified, it concludes that traditional models apply a large amount of averaging, both in modeling as in determining model parameters. This averaging can be justified in certain applications, but when accurate information concerning flow patterns and heat transfer patterns is required one needs to realize the randomness of the fixed bed structure and the transfer phenomena taking place in these beds.

A later publication by the same group (Bey and Eigenberger, 1997) incorporates the radial variance of the flow profile, obtained in an experimental setup, in the extended Brinkman equation. The obtained model is then used to obtain flow profiles, which are compared to experimental results. Reasonable agreement between experimental results from Vortmeyer's group and the model results are obtained after introduction of an effective viscosity parameter.

A major step in this publication is the agreement of the model with results from another group, this could, however, not be obtained without introducing another modeling parameter. The effective viscosity is a parameter dependent on the flow velocity and describes the state of turbulence, for spheres it is correlated as follows

$$\frac{\mu_{\rm eff}}{\mu} = 1 + \left(7 \cdot 10^{-6} \,\frac{d_{\rm t}}{d_{\rm p}} + 2 \cdot 10^{-5}\right) \left(\frac{\rho_{\rm f} v_0 d_{\rm p}}{\mu_{\rm f}}\right)^2 \tag{1.11}$$

Using the effective viscosity the model predictions can be fitted to the data. The main effect of this parameter is to reduce the flow peak near the wall, which is over-predicted in the laminar modeling of flow in the bed. A later publication in the group of Vortmeyer (Giese et al., 1998) also uses the extended Brinkman equation with an effective viscosity. They measure flow velocities using Laser-Doppler-velocimetry in creeping liquid flow through a bed of spheres. Data was obtained using several other packing materials, such as, deformed spheres, cylinders and Raschig-rings. The effective viscosity, now defined empirically as in (1.12), is found to depend on porosity near the wall, particle shape, Reynolds number and pressure loss relation.

$$\frac{\mu_{\rm eff}}{\mu} = a \cdot \exp\left(b \cdot \frac{\rho_{\rm f} v d_{\rm p}}{\mu_{\rm f}}\right)$$
(1.12)

where, a = 2.0, and $b = 3.5 \cdot 10^{-3}$ for spheres, or $b = 2.0 \cdot 10^{-3}$ for deformed spheres.

The effective viscosity is shown to have an extensive range of dependencies, emphasizing its use as a fitting parameter. Its value is adjusted to create the best agreement between the Brinkman equation and the measurements; affecting the flow profile in the near-wall range only, to a distance of approximately half a particle diameter. Although agreement between model and experiment is getting better, the use of another fitting parameter only further obscures the understanding of the essential processes in the near-wall heat transfer of fixed beds.

Winterberg et al. (2000) reevaluate several sets of experimental data, from different groups, to establish an experiment independent model. The new model does not assume plug flow or a wall heat transfer mechanism. By using a wall heat conduction model, the wall heat transfer coefficient, h_w , can be eliminated, but new parameters are introduced. The approach of this work is promising, as it is based on data sets from different experimental procedures and gives a single set of parameters. It is, however, limited to spherical particle beds, and still obscures many of the physical phenomena in the fixed bed architecture.

In general, the discussed publications recognize the extreme amount of averaging that is used in modeling heat and mass transfer by using the traditional parameters, wall heat transfer coefficient and radial conductivity. A need for better description of near-wall
transport processes to better understand these phenomena is identified. On the other hand there is a strong drive towards simplification of the models for an uncomplicated application in industrial use. Simple models using very general parameters, however, tend to loose their grasp with physical phenomena and are very limited in their applicability. The equipment and the process the parameters are determined on define the limitations of the model. The parameters cannot be adjusted for physical changes since it is not clear how these properties are included. For the sake of fundamental understanding of the processes important in heat transfer in fixed beds, more emphasis has to be put on the randomness of fixed beds and the flow and heat transfer patterns. By identifying the essential features in the flow and heat transfer patterns parameters can be developed more directly related to the physical phenomena. For industrial application it is essential that a fixed bed model stays simple, but with more physical meaning in the parameters, a more generally applicable model may be developed.

Essential in this new method would be to be able to visualize and identify the characteristic phenomena in the fixed bed. The proposed method for this is Computational Fluid Dynamics. CFD is still in a developmental stage for its use in fixed bed modeling but shows much promise in its ability to show details in patterns that have been impossible to measure with traditional techniques.

1.3.2 Earlier work done with CFD in fixed beds

CFD studies towards heat transfer and flow behavior in packed bed reactors have been performed previously, over time CFD packages have become more sophisticated allowing for more detailed simulations. Also the definition of what entails CFD has changed over the years. In the earliest studies the one or two-dimensional pressure drop equation over an averaged (homogenized) randomly packed bed was referred to as computational fluid dynamics, as a dynamic fluid situation is described mathematically. In later approaches, including this one, CFD refers to the use of a numerical method to resolve a set of differential balances over a computational grid. Literature

The earliest fixed bed CFD simulations used two-dimensional models. Contrarily Sørensen and Stewart (1974a, 1974b and 1974c) are one of the first groups to construct a numerical iteration method for resolving flow and heat transfer in a three-dimensional cubic array of spheres. The cubic array creates a predictable and repetitive structure allowing for the full three-dimensional approach. The construction of the computational grid is also discussed, the calculated flow is limited to creeping flow, and no turbulence models were introduced. Dalman et al. (1986) investigated flow behavior in an axisymmetric radial plane with 2 spheres; this limited the packing possibilities severely but gave a first high-detail insight in flow patterns in fixed beds. This study showed that eddies formed in between the spheres which led to a region of poor heat transfer. The effect of Re and Pr numbers on this process were also investigated, and showed an increasing problem with heat transfer as the Reynolds number increased. Lloyd and Boehm (1994) did a very similar two-dimensional study; they used the commercial FE package FIDAP and 8 instead of 2 spheres in line. In this study the influence of the sphere spacing on the drag coefficients was investigated. It was also found that heat transfer from the spheres decreased with decreased sphere spacing.

As computer capabilities are increasing the extent to which CFD can be applied to complicated systems has increased considerably. Earlier studies in our group using a 3-sphere model (Derkx and Dixon, 1996) were performed as one of the first models in 3D simulation of fixed beds. This study focused on using CFD to obtain traditional modeling parameters such as the Nu_w numbers. An 8-sphere model followed (Logtenberg and Dixon, 1998a; 1998b) the packing was modeled as two layers of four spheres, both layers perpendicular to the flow in the tube with a tube-to-particle diameter ratio, N = 2.43. Effective heat transfer parameters obtained from these CFD results matched theoretical model predictions (Dixon and Creswell, 1979) reasonably well, but left room for improvement. These studies were limited by the simplicity of the flow models used to obtain data, e.g. the absence of contact points between the spheres and the wall and amongst the spheres themselves. Another point for improvement in this model was the small number of spheres, which may have resulted in less than realistic flow patterns.

More recently, a 10-sphere model, N = 2.68, incorporating contact points between the particles and between the particles and the wall (Logtenberg et al., 1999) was developed. The 10-sphere model showed flow behavior and heat transfer behavior in such detail that cannot be measured in standard experimental setups or described using conventional packed bed models. By using three-dimensional models for these simulations the packing need not be symmetrical (an implied feature in two-dimensional modeling), this way the true nature of the wall effects are shown, as they would be present in a low-N tube.

Based on the modeling experience in these preliminary studies, and the capability to increase model size as our computational capacity increased, a 44-sphere model was created with N = 2 (Nijemeisland and Dixon, 2001). This specific geometry was used to validate CFD results in fixed beds by comparing radial temperature profiles of the simulations with experimental data in an identical setup. This work showed that with the proper considerations of the limitations of the simulation and experimental setup taken into account, both qualitative and quantitative agreement is established between CFD simulation and experimental. Now that it is shown that CFD produces the same data as is obtained experimentally, we can use the advantage of the CFD; where a lot more information is available than is used for the comparison of CFD data with experimental data, data that cannot be obtained through traditional experimental measurement.

Esterl et al. (1998) and Debus et al. (1998) applied a computational code by Nirschl et al. (1995) to find flow profiles in an adapted chimera grid. This grid consists of a structured grid, based on the flowing medium, which is overlaid by a separate structured grid, based on the packing particles. Calculated pressure drops are compared against predicted pressure drops using amongst others Ergun's relation for a bed with an identical porosity; the simulation data is in the same order of magnitude. Simulations were performed in beds with up to 300 spheres. One of the aspects that may affect the accuracy of the data in these simulations is that even though the bed, for which results were discussed, consisted of 120 particles it is only approximately 5 layers deep, resulting in a bed that mainly consists of inlet and outlet effects.

Recently other research groups have used commercial CFD packages to simulate behavior in fixed beds. Calis et al. (2001) applied the commercial code CFX-5.3 to a structured packing of spheres. They used a model of a single stack of spheres from a cubic structured packing. The simulation is performed over a repetitive section, a single stack of spheres, out of a cubic array of spheres, similar to Sørensen and Stewart's packing. Several different types of structured packings are investigated, all based on structured packing of spheres. The repetitive sections have varying N, from 1 to 4. Values for pressure drop obtained from the simulations are validated against experimental values. The used turbulence models (κ - ε and RSM) show similar results with an average error from the experimental values of about 10%.

The Emig group at Erlangen is using a Lattice Boltzmann technique for simulation of flow and simple reactions in a fixed bed of spheres. A dense packing of spheres in a cylindrical column is created using a raining and compression algorithm. The created packing topology is then divided in a cubic Lattice Boltzmann grid, where individual elements are labeled as solid or fluid regions. A high resolution of the grid makes it possible to obtain accurate flow profiles. Recently (Zeiser et al., 2002, Freund at al., 2003) simple reactions have been added to the simulation, showing in bed species profiles. The limitation of the Lattice Boltzmann technique is that it cannot handle energy balances as of yet.

1.3.3 Other applications of CFD in fixed beds

Numerical modeling is also applied to other aspects of fixed beds. Modeling the flow and heat transfer in a fixed bed may eventually be combined with these other areas to create a full simulation of the fixed bed reactor concept.

Other areas of modeling include for example the research performed by the Bishop group at the University of Kansas; they are simulating the reaction in fixed bed. The flow in these simulations is approximated with a simple plug flow, the reaction, however, is modeled from the distribution of the components in the gas phase and the fluid phase to the reaction on the packing. In a fixed bed reactor, it is also possible to have multiple mobile phases. In our simulations we limit the simulation to a single mobile phase, but in trickle bed or similar reactors, there are two mobile phases in conjunction with a fixed bed.

At the Dudukovic group at the University of St. Louis, CFD is used for simulation of trickle bed applications (Jiang et al., 2002a, 2002b). Two-phase flow is established in a fixed bed topology; the bed in these simulations is modeled as a single porous structure. It is seen as a single entity of which the porosity is defined at all the numerical elements, the bed is not discretized; the solid phase is not explicitly defined. In this case, where an entire, high N, fixed bed is simulated, a full discretization of the bed is still beyond the possibilities of current computational capacities.

1.4 Computational Fluid Dynamics

The application field for CFD as a modeling tool is constantly expanding, as improvements in computer hardware, computational speed and memory size, as well as improvements in software capabilities make CFD a feasible and accessible tool in a large range of applications.

1.4.1 Introduction

The relatively young field of CFD is fast growing due to increasing computer capabilities and a growing field of applications. It is an established research tool in mechanical and civil engineering where it is used for stress calculations in solid structures. It has also been used extensively in the automobile and airplane industry to replace expensive wind tunnel testing of new designs. Recently the use of commercial CFD packages has been introduced in the field of chemical engineering with the introduction of specific fluid mixing programs and the option to solve for chemical reactions (Bode, 1994; Harris et al., 1996; Ranade, 1995). In a review publication by Kuipers and van Swaaij (1998) a very complete overview is given of the different areas of chemical reaction engineering in which different aspects of CFD are applied. The CFD that is discussed here focuses on very specific codes, dealing with the application of different physical models to the CFD code to deal with the specific reaction engineering problems. It is stated in this publication that for a wider use of commercial packages in these problems, more physical models need to be included in the packages, which is something we see today.

1.4.2 Theory

Solutions in finite volume CFD are obtained by numerically solving a number of balances over a large number of control volumes or elements. The numerical solution is

obtained by supplying boundary conditions to the model boundaries and iteration of an initially guessed solution.

The balances, dealing with fluid flow, are based on the Navier Stokes equations for conservation of mass (continuity) and momentum. These equations are modified for each case to solve a specific problem.

The complete collection of control volumes or elements, the mesh, is designed to fill a large-scale geometry, described in a mesh file. The density of this mesh (the size of the control volumes, a high mesh density is obtained by defining small control volumes) in the overall geometry is determined by the user and affects the detail in the final solution. Too coarse a mesh will result in an oversimplified flow profile, possibly obscuring essential flow characteristics, or a non-converging iteration process. Too fine a mesh will require a memory intensive computer system and increase iteration time.

After boundary conditions are set on the large-scale geometry the CFD code will iterate the entire mesh using the balances and the boundary conditions to find a converging numerical solution for the specific case.

1.4.2.1 Mesh topology

One of the most important parts of CFD modeling is the construction of the mesh topology. The mesh establishes the accuracy of the simulation. It has to be chosen with enough detail to describe the processes accurately and with a degree of coarseness that enables solution within an acceptable amount of time. When an optimal density has been found, refining the mesh will increase the model size without displaying more flow detail. When it is coarsened the mesh may obscure, possibly essential, parts of the flow detail. The mesh determines a large part of creating an acceptable simulation.

To determine an appropriate mesh density for the simulations several aspects were taken into account. Previous studies towards CFD simulations of packed beds have been performed within our research group (Derkx and Dixon, 1996; Logtenberg and Dixon, 1998a; 1998b; Logtenberg et al., 1999). In the earlier studies the mesh density was

Computational Fluid Dynamics

investigated extensively. From experience from these previous studies an optimal mesh density was chosen. Additional studies were done to find the optimal mesh density, for the specific simulation geometries used here. This study focused mainly on maintaining a 3D topology that described the physical model accurately and was able to handle the flow specifics of the packed bed geometry. Also, mesh densities were varied within the overall grid to establish the optimal mesh density, describing the flow characteristics and limiting the calculation times. More on the mesh generation application, GAMBIT, can be found in paragraph 1.4.3.1 and specifics about the geometries used in this study can be found in chapter 2 on model development.

1.4.2.2 Fluid flow fundamentals

For iteration CFD solvers use generalized fluid flow and energy balances based on the Navier Stokes equations. The balances are generalized so the user can determine which elements are included in the balance. The number of balances to be solved is also user defined; it can be advantageous to not solve all balances initially.

The generalized balances that are used by the Fluent commercial CFD package are the Navier Stokes equations for conservation of mass and momentum. Additional equations are solved for heat transfer, species mixing or reaction or κ and ε for turbulent cases. The basic equations and background of these balances are also stated in the Fluent UNS user's guide.

1.4.2.2.1 Navier Stokes equations

The general equation used for conservation of mass (the continuity equation) in the Fluent UNS package, is defined as follows:

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial (\rho u_i)}{\partial x_i} = S_m \qquad (1.13)$$

The source term S_m contains the mass added through phase changes or user defined sources. In general, and in the performed simulations, the source term was equal to zero.

The equation for conservation of momentum in direction i and in a non-accelerating reference frame is given by:

$$\frac{\partial(\rho u_i)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial(\rho u_i u_j)}{\partial x_i} = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial \tau_{ij}}{\partial x_j} + \rho g_i + F_i$$
(1.14)

In this balance p is the static pressure, τ_{ij} is the stress tensor, ρg_i is the gravitational body force. F_i is an external body forces component; it can include forces from interaction between phases, centrifugal forces, Coriolis forces and user-defined sources. For the performed simulations it was zero.

The stress tensor τ_{ij} for a Newtonian fluid is defined by:

,

$$\tau_{ij} = \left[\mu \left(\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i}\right)\right] - \frac{2}{3}\mu \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_1}\delta_{ij}$$
(1.15)

Here μ is the molecular viscosity; the second term on the right hand side of the equation is the effect of volume dilation.

1.4.2.2.2 Turbulence models

All simulations were performed using a turbulent flow model. The flow may not be turbulent in all control volumes of the simulation geometry, but if the turbulent parameters are negligible the momentum equation reverts back to the laminar momentum equation. In the cases where the turbulence is significant the parameters are then available. The Renormalization Group (RNG) κ - ϵ model was used for the CFD simulations. The RNG κ - ϵ model is derived from the instantaneous Navier-Stokes equations using the Renormalization Group method as opposed to the standard κ - ϵ model, which is based on Reynolds averaging. The major differences, in application, from the standard κ - ϵ model (see Appendix 1: The standard κ - ϵ turbulence model) are different empirical constants in the κ and ϵ balances and extra terms in the turbulent dissipation balance (ϵ). The turbulence model still assumes that the flow is fully turbulent and the effects of molecular viscosity are negligible. The Renormalization group methods

Computational Fluid Dynamics

are a general methodology of model building based on the stepwise coarsening of a problem. In the case of fluid dynamics, there are several coarsening steps. The initial step goes from the original Newton's equations for each molecule in a gas, to the kinetic theory for dilute systems, using the Boltzmann's equations for velocity and space-time. An additional coarsening would describe a collection of atoms on a relatively large scale, compared to mean free path distances and collision time, the Navier Stokes equations. The main idea is that the RNG theory is applicable to scale-invariant phenomena that do not have externally imposed characteristic length and time scales. In the case of turbulence, the RNG theory is applicable to the small scale eddies, which are independent of the larger scale phenomena that create them.

Several other turbulent models were available, the standard κ - ϵ model and a Reynolds Stress Model, results for these different models were compared and it was shown there were no significant differences in the results. The RNG κ - ϵ model was chosen because it deals better with flow with high streamline curvature and high strain rates.

The RNG theory as applied to turbulence reduces the Reynolds number to an effective Reynolds number (Re_{eff}) by increasing an effective viscosity (μ_{eff}). Through this process the small scale eddies are eliminated, which reduces computational demand considerably. The new equation for the variation of the effective viscosity is

$$\mu_{\rm eff}(\ell) = \mu_{\rm mol} \left[1 + \frac{3A\epsilon}{4\mu_{\rm mol}^3} \left(\ell^4 - \ell_d^4 \right) \right]^{1/3} \qquad (\ell \ge \ell_d) \tag{1.16}$$

where A is a constant derived by the RNG theory, ℓ is the eddy length scale and ℓ_d is the Kolmogorov dissipation scale. So in this case when the eddy length scale is the Kolmogorov scale, the effective viscosity is the molecular viscosity. This equation then gives the interpolation formula for $\mu_{eff}(\ell)$ between the molecular viscosity μ_{mol} valid at dissipation scales and the high Reynolds number limit L>> ℓ_d .

Using the definition for the turbulent viscosity ($\mu_t = \mu_{eff} - \mu_{mol}$), which gives a result similar to the standard κ - ϵ model with only a small difference in the modeling constant,

the effective viscosity is now defined as a function of κ and ϵ in equation (1.16) in algebraic form.

$$\mu_{\rm eff} = \mu_{\rm mol} \left[1 + \sqrt{\frac{C_{\mu}}{\mu_{\rm mol}}} \frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \right]^2$$
(1.17)

The differential form of this equation is used in calculating the effective viscosity in the RNG κ - ϵ model. This method allows varying the effective viscosity with the effective Reynolds number to accurately extend the model to low-Reynolds-number and near-wall flows.

The form of the main balances in the RNG κ - ϵ model are similar to the balances in the standard κ - ϵ model, with the modification from the RNG statistical technique. The momentum equation is now derived to be:

$$\frac{\partial(\rho u_{i})}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial(\rho u_{i} u_{j})}{\partial x_{j}} = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial x_{i}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}} \left[\mu_{eff} \left(\frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{j}} + \frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{i}} \right) \right]$$
(1.18)

The effective viscosity in this balance is computed using the high-Reynolds-number form. This is identical to the turbulent viscosity defined in the standard κ - ϵ model, the only difference lies in the constant C_µ, it is 0.09 in the standard and 0.0845 in the RNG κ - ϵ model.

$$\mu_{t} = \rho C_{\mu} \frac{\kappa^{2}}{\epsilon}$$
 (1.19)

When, however, low-Reynolds number effects need to be included the differential form of equation (1.17) can be employed.

The transport equations for the turbulent kinetic energy, κ , and the turbulence dissipation, ε , in the RNG κ - ε model are again defined similar to the standard κ - ε model, now utilizing the effective viscosity defined through the RNG theory. Alternately a mean strain rate, S, is used instead of the separate turbulence source terms.

$$\frac{\partial(\rho\kappa)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial(\rho u_{i}\kappa)}{\partial x_{i}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} \left[\alpha_{\kappa} \mu_{eff} \frac{\partial\kappa}{\partial x_{i}} \right] + \mu_{\tau} S^{2} - \rho\epsilon$$
(1.20)

and

$$\frac{\partial(\rho\varepsilon)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial(\rho u_i\varepsilon)}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left[\alpha_{\varepsilon} \mu_{eff} \frac{\partial\varepsilon}{\partial x_i} \right] + C_{1\varepsilon} \frac{\varepsilon}{\kappa} \mu_{\tau} S^2 - C_{2\varepsilon} \rho \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\kappa} - R \quad (1.21)$$

where α_{κ} and α_{ϵ} are the inverse effective Prandtl numbers for κ and ϵ , respectively. These variables are determined by the RNG theory through the analytically derived formula,

$$\frac{\alpha - 1.3929}{\alpha_0 - 1.3929} \bigg|^{0.6321} \bigg| \frac{\alpha + 2.3929}{\alpha_0 + 2.3929} \bigg|^{0.3679} = \frac{\mu_{mol}}{\mu_{eff}}$$
(1.22)

where $\alpha_0 = 1.0$. In the high Reynolds number limit ($\mu_{mol}/\mu_{eff} \ll 1$), $\alpha_{\kappa} = \alpha_{\epsilon} \approx 1.393$

S in equation (1.20) is the modulus of the mean rate-of-strain tensor, S_{ij} , which is defined as,

$$S = \sqrt{2S_{ij}S_{ij}} \tag{1.23}$$

and R in equation (1.21) is given by

$$R = \frac{C_{\mu}\rho\eta^{3}(1-\eta/\eta_{0})}{1+\beta\eta^{3}}\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{\kappa}$$
(1.24)

where $\eta \equiv S\kappa/\epsilon$, $\eta_0 \approx 4.38$, $\beta = 0.012$.

The model constants $C_{1\epsilon}$ and $C_{2\epsilon}$ from equation (1.21) are derived analytically through the RNG theory and are respectively 1.42 and 1.68 (in the standard κ - ϵ model these model constants are 1.44 and 1.92 respectively).

The major difference in the RNG κ - ϵ model from the standard κ - ϵ model can be found in the ϵ balance where a new source term appears in the R term as described in (1.24). This term is a function of both the turbulent kinetic energy, κ , and the turbulence dissipation term, ϵ . The effect of the R term can be best illustrated when equation (1.21) is slightly rewritten, so that the last two terms are combined:

30

$$\frac{\partial(\rho\epsilon)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial(\rho u_i\epsilon)}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left[\alpha_{\epsilon} \mu_{eff} \frac{\partial\epsilon}{\partial x_i} \right] + C_{1\epsilon} \frac{\epsilon}{\kappa} \mu_i S^2 - C_{2\epsilon}^* \rho \frac{\epsilon^2}{\kappa}$$
(1.25)

where $C^*_{2\epsilon}$ is given by,

$$C_{2\epsilon}^{*} = C_{2\epsilon} + \frac{C_{\mu}\eta^{3}(1 - \eta/\eta_{0})}{1 + \beta\eta^{3}}$$
(1.26)

We can now see the contribution of this factor as a function of the strain rate, η . In areas where $\eta < \eta_0$ (where $\eta_0 \approx 4.38$, as mentioned before), the R term makes a positive contribution and $C^*_{2\varepsilon}$ becomes larger than 1.68. When we look, for example, in the logarithmic layer, where $\eta \approx 3.0$, it results in a $C^*_{2\varepsilon} \approx 2.0$, which is close to the value of $C_{2\varepsilon}$ for the standard κ - ε model, 1.92. We conclude that for moderately strained flows the RNG model gives results comparable to the standard κ - ε model.

Alternatively, in areas of high strain rate, $\eta > \eta_0$, the R term makes a negative contribution, reducing the value of $C^*_{2\epsilon}$ to less than $C_{2\epsilon}$. This means that compared to the standard κ - ϵ model the RNG model has a smaller reduction of ϵ , augmenting the value of ϵ resulting in a reduced κ and eventually the effective viscosity. The RNG model now yields a lower turbulent viscosity in the high strain flows than the standard κ - ϵ model.

The R term in the RNG κ - ϵ model makes the turbulence in this model sensitive to the mean rate of strain. This results in a model that is responsive to effects of strain and streamline curvature, a feature that is non-existent in the standard κ - ϵ model. The inclusion of this effect makes the RNG κ - ϵ model more suitable for complex flows.

1.4.2.2.3 Energy equations

The RNG model provides its own energy balance, which is based on the energy balance of the standard κ - ϵ model with similar changes as were seen in the κ and ϵ balances. The energy balances for the standard κ - ϵ model are described in Appendix 1: The standard κ - ϵ turbulence model. The RNG κ - ϵ model energy balance is defined as a transport equation for enthalpy,

$$\frac{\partial(\rho h)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial(\rho u_{i}h)}{\partial x_{i}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} \left(\alpha c_{p} \mu_{eff} \frac{\partial T}{\partial x_{i}} \right) + \frac{Dp}{Dt} + \left(\tau_{ik} \right)_{eff} \frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{k}} + S_{h}$$
(1.27)

where h is the sensible enthalpy, τ_{ik} is the deviatoric stress tensor and α is the inverse Prandtl number for temperature. There are four contributions to the total change in enthalpy, the temperature gradient, the total pressure differential, the internal stress and the source term, including contributions from reaction etc. The RNG model gives an implicit formula for α in terms of the ratio of molecular and effective viscosities, μ_{mol}/μ_{eff} , as

$$\left|\frac{\alpha - 1.3929}{\alpha_{mol} - 1.3929}\right|^{0.6321} \left|\frac{\alpha + 2.3929}{\alpha_{mol} + 2.3929}\right|^{0.3679} = \frac{\mu_{mol}}{\mu_{eff}}$$
(1.28)

which is similar to equation (1.22), using α_{mol} instead of α_0 , $\alpha_{mol} \equiv 1/Pr = k_f/\mu c_p$. In the traditional turbulent heat transfer model, the Prandtl number is fixed and user defined, the RNG model treats it as a variable dependent on the turbulent viscosity. It was found experimentally that the turbulent Prandtl number is indeed a function of the molecular Prandtl number and the viscosity (Kays, 1994).

1.4.2.2.4 Wall functions

To be able to resolve the flow solution near solid surfaces with zero flow boundary conditions, there needs to be some special conditions set. Besides the zero flow boundaries, the presence of a solid surface also affects the turbulence; the dissipation of turbulent kinetic energy is much larger near a solid surface since the turbulence is damped. Slightly further away from the solid surface, still in the wall boundary layer, there is a source of turbulent kinetic energy due to the local Reynolds stresses and the large gradient of the mean velocity. Several methods are available to resolve both the zero flow condition at the solid surface and the turbulent conditions near the solid surface.

The simplest solution is also the most computationally intensive one. At the zero flow boundary a strong gradient develops for a number of variables in the simulation, to directly resolve these gradients with a single model one could adapt the mesh appropriately. This would entail greatly increasing the mesh density near all solid surfaces. This solution is undesirable in the proposed study as there are numerous solid surface regions in the fixed bed geometry. Resolving the zero-flow boundary in this way would mean increasing the mesh size to proportions that would not be solvable on the available computational equipment.

The Fluent CFD code does offer alternate solutions to resolving the solid surface boundary, as this is a very common feature. The alternative to resolving the gradients at the solid surface completely is imposing a wall function that models these gradients in the single layer of mesh adjacent to the solid surface boundary. There are several different wall-functions available to deal with this gradient.

The general goal of the wall functions is to replace the direct modeling of the viscous sublayer and the transition region to the fully turbulent layer with one empirical model, in effect linking the solution variables in the cells near the solid surface to the corresponding values on the solid surface. In our simulations we have employed two different wall function models, the standard wall function and the non-equilibrium wall function.

The standard wall function is based on a proposal in a publication by Launder and Spalding (1974) and it has been used widely for industrial flows. The wall function describes the velocities, temperatures and turbulent quantities in the application area.

$$U^{*} = \frac{1}{k} \ln(Ey^{*})$$
 (1.29)

where,

$$U^{*} \equiv \frac{U_{p} C_{\mu}^{0.25} \kappa_{p}^{0.5}}{\tau_{w} / \rho}$$
(1.30)

$$y^* \equiv \frac{\rho C_{\mu}^{0.25} \kappa_P^{0.5} y_P}{\mu}$$
(1.31)

in equation (1.29),

k = von Karman's constant (= 0.42)

E = empirical constant (= 9.81)

in equation (1.30),

 U_P = mean velocity at P

 κ_{P} = turbulent kinetic energy at P

 C_{μ} = model constant used in defining the turbulent viscosity (equation (1.19))

 $\tau_{\rm w}/\rho{=}$ related to friction velocity, $u_{\tau}\equiv\sqrt{\tau_{\rm w}\,/\rho}$

in equation (1.31),

 y_P = distance of point P to the wall

Relation (1.29) is used to determine the mean velocity of a control volume when $y^*>11.225$. When $y^*<11.225$ the laminar stress strain relationship is applied:

$$U^* = y^*$$
 (1.32)

To describe boundary layer flow a commonly used parameter is y^+ , which is defined as $y^+ \equiv \rho u_{\tau} y/\mu$. This parameter is used to subdivide the area near the zero flow boundary based on the actual distance from the wall, modified by the friction velocity, u_{τ} , and the fluid viscosity. Fluent uses y^* , instead of y^+ , in modeling the behavior near the wall, which is a similar parameter.

For the thermal conditions another parameter determines whether a linear or logarithmic relation is used to calculate the profile over the control volumes. The thermal conduction layer is different from the viscous sublayer, it is dependent on the fluid. The method is conditional depending whether a control volume is within the thermal sublayer or not. The cut-off is determined by the parameter y_T^* . The value of this parameter is determined by where the linear and logarithmic areas intersect and is calculated using the fluid molecular Prandtl number.

$$T^{*} = \frac{(T_{w} - T_{p})\rho C_{\mu}^{0.25} k_{p}^{0.5}}{\dot{q}''}$$

$$= \Pr y^{*} + \frac{1}{2}\rho \Pr \frac{C_{\mu}^{0.25} k_{p}^{0.5}}{\dot{q}''} U_{p}^{2} \qquad (y^{*} < y_{T}^{*}) \qquad (1.33)$$

$$= \Pr_{t} \Big[\frac{1}{\kappa} \ln(Ey^{*}) + P \Big] + \frac{1}{2}\rho \Pr \frac{C_{\mu}^{0.25} k_{p}^{0.5}}{\dot{q}''} \Big\{ \Pr_{t} U_{p}^{2} + (\Pr - \Pr_{t}) U_{c}^{2} \Big\} \quad (y^{*} > y_{T}^{*})$$

where P is computed using (Launder and Spalding, 1974),

$$P = \frac{\pi/4}{\sin(\pi/4)} \left(\frac{A}{\kappa}\right)^{0.5} \left(\frac{Pr}{Pr_t} - 1\right) \left(\frac{Pr_t}{Pr}\right)^{0.24}$$
(1.34)

where,

 T_P =temperature at the cell adjacent to the wall

- T_w = temperature at the wall
- Pr_t = turbulent Prandtl number (0.85 at the wall)
- A = Van Driest constant (=26)
- κ = von Karman's constant (=0.42)
- E =wall function constant (=9.793)
- U_c = mean velocity magnitude at $y^* = y_T^*$

Now the thermal sublayer thickness, y_T^* , is determined when the fluid conditions are known from the molecular Prandtl number and the intersection of the linear and logarithmic regions.

From the relations in equation (1.33), depending on the y^* value of the cell adjacent to the wall, the wall temperature, T_w , and the heat flux, \dot{q}'' , are related; so one can be calculated from the other depending on whether a constant heat flux or constant wall temperature has been set on the wall.

The standard wall function works well for a broad range of near wall flow conditions. However, when the modeling conditions deviate too much from the assumptions, the model becomes less reliable. Especially the constant shear stress and the local equilibrium assumptions restrict the universality of the standard wall functions. The local equilibrium assumption states that the turbulence kinetic energy production and dissipation are equal in the wall bounded control volumes. In cases where there is a strong pressure gradient near the wall (increased shear stress) or the flow does not satisfy the local equilibrium condition an alternate model, the non-equilibrium model, is recommended.

The non-equilibrium wall function is more suitable for complex flows involving separation, reattachment, and impingement where the mean flow and turbulence are subjected to severe pressure gradients and change rapidly. In such flows, improvements can be obtained, particularly in the prediction of wall shear and heat transfer.

The higher sensitivity to pressure gradient in the non-equilibrium wall model is expressed in the definition of the velocity in equation (1.29) effectively replacing the definition in equation (1.30) with:

$$U^* \equiv \frac{C_{\mu}^{0.25} k^{0.5}}{\tau_w / \rho} \cdot \widetilde{U}$$
(1.35)

$$\widetilde{U} = U - \frac{1}{2} \frac{dp}{dx} \left[\frac{y_v}{\rho \kappa^* k^{0.5}} \ln \left(\frac{y}{y_v} \right) + \frac{y - y_v}{\rho \kappa^* k^{0.5}} + \frac{y_v^2}{\mu} \right]$$
(1.36)

where,

$$y_v \equiv \frac{\mu}{\rho C_{\mu}^{0.25} k_p^{0.5}} \cdot y_v^* \text{ and } y_v^* = 11.225$$

In the non-equilibrium wall function the heat transfer procedure remains exactly the same. The mean velocity is made more sensitive to pressure gradient effects. This does not seem to be much of an issue in our simulations.

1.4.2.3 Numerical solutions

The governing partial differential equations for the conservation of momentum and scalars such as mass, energy and turbulence are solved in the integral form. Fluent UNS uses a control-volume based technique, which consists of three basic steps.

- Division of the domain into discrete control volumes using the computational grid.
- Integration of the governing equations on the control volumes to create an algebraic equation for unknowns such as pressure, velocity and scalars.
- Solution of the discretized equations.

The governing equations are solved sequentially. The fact that these equations are coupled makes it necessary to perform several iterations of the solution loop before convergence can be reached. The solution loop consists of 7 steps that are performed in order.

- 1. The momentum equation for each direction is solved using the current pressure values (initially the boundary condition is used), in order to update the velocity field.
- The obtained velocities may not satisfy the continuity equation locally. Using the continuity equation and the linearized momentum equation a 'Poisson-type' equation for pressure correction is derived. Using this pressure correction the pressure and velocities are corrected to satisfy continuity.
- 3. and ε equations are solved with corrected velocity field.
- 4. All other equations (e.g. energy, species conservation etc.) are solved using the corrected values of the variables.
- 5. Fluid properties are updated
- 6. Any additional inter-phase source terms are updated.
- 7. A check for convergence is performed. If convergence is not reached to within the specified tolerance, the process is repeated from step 1.

1.4.3 CFD solver and additional programs

To be able to conduct our CFD simulations we used a commercially available code, Fluent UNS. This code is written by Fluent Inc. and uses unstructured meshes. The CFD package consists of two different modules in which different parts of the process take place.



Figure 1.1. typical examples of a) the surface mesh on a number of spheres and a section of the cylinder and b) a section of the interior mesh in a plane indicated in part a.

The first step, mesh creation, is done with GAMBIT. The GAMBIT meshing program is multifunctional; it can create both 2 dimensional and 3 dimensional simulation geometries. The entire mesh creation is performed in GAMBIT, from the initial geometric design to labeling all volumes and surfaces that build up the final simulation model. The 3D meshes used in this work (see Figure 1.1b) are imported in Fluent UNS to impose boundary conditions, iterate and post-process the data.

1.4.3.1 Mesh creation with GAMBIT

As was stated before, the creation of the mesh is the most important step in CFD. The mesh density, or inversely, the size of the control volumes, determines the accuracy of the simulation. The mesh consists of four major concepts, volumes, surfaces, edges and nodes. These concepts are hierarchical, a volume is bounded by surfaces, a surface is bounded by edges and an edge consists of nodes.

The mesh creation in GAMBIT consists of three major steps. In the first step the geometry of the model is established, a CAD program is used to determine dimensions. The second step is the creation of the surface mesh, which is placed on the surfaces created in the CAD geometry modeling phase. The final step is the interpolation of the surface mesh to the final fully three-dimensional volume mesh.

1.4.3.1.1 CAD geometry design

The topology of the simulation model is established in the initial CAD geometry design phase. In this initial phase the major solid and fluid region interfaces are established. The GAMBIT program uses a top-down design system. This means that the overall geometry is defined starting with the major volumetric parts; interfaces and specific points are created in a later stage. This method is relatively fast, especially when the simulation geometry consists of simple geometric features. When, however, more detail is required in the mesh of the model the top-down design has no specific advantages over the bottom-up method. The bottom-up method starts with defining nodes and building up to edges, surfaces and eventually volumes. In the bottom-up method more advance planning on the construction of the model and the mesh is required.

When a model is created with a top-down, or constructive solid geometry (CSG) method the interstitial space, such as our fluid region, has to be specifically defined. First, an overall geometry is defined, e.g. the tube containing the particles. Second, the particles are placed in the tube at their appropriate locations. To create the proper fluid region the particle volumes need to be subtracted from the tube volume. After creating the appropriate fluid and solid regions, the region interfaces need to be linked. When the fluid region is created it is a separate region from the solid particles, and the surfaces bounding the particles need to be linked to the surfaces bounding the fluid region. The linking of these interface surfaces is essential in creating an appropriate energy solution in the model.

When all regions and their interfaces have been properly defined the simulation model can be meshed.

1.4.3.1.2 Mesh creation

Depending on the detail of mesh refinement required the simulation geometry can be meshed at three different levels, edges, surfaces and volumes. When a global uniform mesh suffices the meshing can take place on the volume level. At this point one uniform control volume size is determined and the entire simulation geometry is meshed with this standard control volume size, creating a uniform mesh density.



Figure 1.2, edge mesh, showing the graded node spacing, and the resultant surface mesh in a selection of the ws-995 geometry.

When local refinement is required, i.e. in sensitive regions of the geometry, different mesh densities can be defined to surfaces or edges specifically. For example near contact point areas, between particles in the geometry, or between particles and the externally bounding column wall, the mesh needs to be finer than in larger void areas in the geometry to be able to get a converging flow solution. To be able to adjust the mesh locally mesh densities have to be defined on edges along these contact points, see Figure 1.2 through Figure 1.4.

When defining a refinement in the mesh along a single edge several schemes are available. Node distribution on the edge can be uniform or graded with a higher node density in the appropriate areas.

When a mesh with the proper mesh densities has been created it is exported to a format that can be imported in Fluent UNS, the CFD solver. Before export the surfaces and solid regions are labeled so they can be easily identified in the solver. At this point it is also possible to group a series of surfaces, or volumes, together so as to more easily define similar boundary conditions for a group of entities.



Figure 1.3, selected control volumes in the ws-995 mesh interpolated from the node spacing and surface mesh shown in Figure 1.2.



Figure 1.4, two-dimensional display and a detail of the control volumes in the fluid region of the ws-995 mesh showing the size grading.

1.4.3.1.3 Mesh specifics in fixed bed modeling

For all types of geometries the creation of the mesh has different obstacles. In the meshing of a fixed bed geometry the major issue is resolving the areas where two solid surfaces touch, the contact points. As was discussed in the validation study (Nijemeisland and Dixon, 2001) it is not possible to incorporate actual contact points of the spheres with

Computational Fluid Dynamics

each other or the wall when turbulent flow needs to be resolved. By having two solid faces touch in a flow geometry, certain control volumes in the fluid zone that are located near the contact point are created with infinitely small edges, resulting in an irresolvable simulation condition. In the validation geometry this problem was resolved by reducing the size of the spheres to 99% of their original size, small gaps were created between the contact points. It was shown that with the simulation condition in the validation model the no-flow zone near the contact points was retained and the influence of the small gaps was negligible to the flow solution.

A similar process was used in the creation of the N = 4 wall-segment model. To accommodate the more extreme steam reforming simulation conditions, higher pressure and higher flow rates, it was necessary to reevaluate the appropriate amount of particle size reduction. To create a mesh that would give a flow solution similar to a geometry with touching particles an investigation was performed to find the influence of the gap size at high Reynolds numbers ($Re_p = 35000$). It was found that at these high flow rates a 99% reduction of the spheres would result in considerable amounts of flow in the gaps, creating an unrealistic flow field. The gap size was reduced until the no-flow area around the contact point was reestablished. The resulting particle size reduction was 99.5% and the meshing results can be seen in Figure 1.2 through Figure 1.4.

1.4.3.2 Solving the CFD problem with Fluent UNS

When a mesh is completed with its grid density and all other complications resolved, the actual computational part of the CFD can be started. At this point the completed geometry can be imported into the solver and the CFD simulation is started.

Again a series of steps are to be performed; first, the boundary conditions on the system need to be set, next the process iteration parameters need to be set. With the boundary conditions defined the simulation can be performed. The final step in obtaining the desired data is the post-processing of the data in which the desired data sets are taken from the simulation data.

1.4.3.2.1 Imposing boundary conditions

The boundary conditions determine the flow and thermal variables on the boundaries of the physical model. There are a number of classifications of boundary conditions:

- Flow inlet and exit boundaries: pressure inlet, velocity inlet, inlet vent, intake fan, pressure outlet, outflow, outlet fan, exhaust fan.
- Wall, repeating, and pole boundaries: wall, symmetry, periodic, axis
- Internal cell zones: fluid, solid
- Internal face boundaries: fan, radiator, porous jump, wall, interior

In our model we use either translational periodic flow boundaries at both the flow inlet and flow outlet of the column, or a velocity inlet at the flow inlet of the column and a pressure outlet at the flow exit boundary. To create a flow solution the translational periodic boundaries are used, and the overall flow is defined as a total mass flux through the boundary. By imposing the translational periodic boundaries a generic developed flow solution is obtained. Since the translational periodic boundary defines the column inlet to be identical to the column outlet, there is no flow development in the bed; a steady state flow situation is obtained. The periodic boundaries remove the effects of an entrance or exit effect in the bed. It is generally accepted that when flow enters a structured or randomly packed fixed bed, an area near the entrance of the bed has an undeveloped flow profile as the fluid is adjusting from one flow environment to another. A similar situation is found near the exit of the bed, where a sudden change in pressure drop is experienced and the flow 'relaxes' before it actually exits the bed. By imposing the periodic boundaries, the effects of the inlet and exit are completely negated. The overall size of the model can now be greatly reduced. In a full model in which an actual bed inlet and outlet are modeled a large portion of bed needs to be modeled just to eliminate entrance and exit effects in a central portion of the bed.

When the energy balances are solved the flow inlet and exit boundaries are set as a velocity inlet and a pressure outlet, but the flow parameters in these boundary conditions are not used at this point. To solve a steady state temperature profile in a generic section

Computational Fluid Dynamics

of the bed it is necessary to remove the periodic boundary conditions on the inlet and outlet. With periodic boundary conditions on the column and a heat flux through the column wall, the steady state solution will be a uniform temperature in the bed at the wall temperature. Since we want to investigate the energy penetration into the bed from the wall, it is necessary to reinstate the generic bed section as a section in a larger bed, defining different inlet and outlet conditions. To calculate the development of the temperature profile into the bed a series of simulations have to be performed. In the series of simulations the generic sections are virtually stacked by imposing the outlet temperature conditions of one section as the inlet conditions of the downstream section.

The column and packing exterior are defined as wall boundaries. The wall boundaries separate the fluid zone, in between the particles, from the solid zones, inside the particles; they also constrain the fluid zone to within the column. Internal face boundaries are not used in our model.

Additional to the boundary conditions at the physical boundaries a beginning condition, or initial guess has to be established. This initial guess can be seen as similar to a time initial condition. To create a fast steady state solution it helps to have an initial guess relatively close to the final solution. In our simulations the initial guess for the flow conditions was set to a constant axial flow of the superficial velocity based on the simulations Reynolds number. The initial guess for the temperature solution was a uniform temperature at the inlet gas temperature, both in the fluid and solid regions. For staged solutions, the solution set of the upstream solution is used as an initial guess for the next downstream section, as it is expected that the change through the column is gradual and small between subsequent steps.

With the determination of the boundary conditions the physical model has been defined and a numerical solution can be provided. It is now necessary to determine how the solution will be established by setting the iteration parameters.

1.4.3.2.2 Setting iteration parameters

There are two main iteration parameters to be set before commencing with the simulation. The underrelaxation factor determines the solution adjustment after each iteration step; the residual cut off value determines when the iteration process can be terminated.

The relaxation factor is the factor with which the iteration step change is multiplied before it is applied to the result for the next iteration step. When this factor is larger than 1 (one) the process is called over-relaxed. In an over-relaxed process the step change is large and convergence should be reached faster. It is, however, not recommended to over relax a process unless it is very stable. In a less stable or particularly nonlinear system, for example in some turbulent flow or high-Rayleigh-number natural-convection cases, over-relaxation may lead to divergence of the process. When the relaxation factor is less than 1 (one) the process is called under-relaxed. When under-relaxed the iteration process is slower, since the step change is small, but less likely to diverge.

The second parameter, the residual value, determines when a solution is converged. The residual value (a difference between the current and the former iteration value) is taken as a measure for convergence. In an infinite precision process the residuals will go to zero as the process converges. On practical computers the residuals decay to a certain small value ('round-off') and then stop changing. This decay may be up to six orders of magnitude for single precision computations. By setting the upper limit of the residual values the 'cut-off' value for convergence is set. When the set value is reached the process is considered to have reached its 'round-off' value and the iteration process is stopped.

Besides adjusting these two major parameters there are other tricks to have a simulation converge. When convergence of, for example, turbulence elements in the flow balances or energy balances is problematic, using the flow solution as an initial guess can be helpful. To do this, first only the velocity elements in the balances are iterated, the result of this initial run is then used as a starting point for the iteration of the complete

balances. In this way the initial guess for the final solution is better and will help in getting a simulation to converge.

1.4.3.2.3 Post-processing the simulation data

When the simulation has converged the last data set is stored as a final solution. This data set has a record of the status of all elements in the model, temperature, densities, pressures, flow aspects etc. To be able to interpret the data it needs to be ordered and reduced to comprehensible sizes. This displaying of the data is called post-processing and makes it possible to compare the different simulations with each other and with external data.

There are as many ways of displaying the data as there are data points so it is important to select the data representation that is required for the desired data comparison. Some of the standard visualization options available are contour plots and velocity vector plots.

Contour plots will give a plot in a defined collection of control volumes, which can be a plane or a volume, of contours of another variable. For example a plane can be defined as a constant x-coordinate plane (y-z plane), we can then make a contour plot showing temperature contours in this plane. In the same plane a velocity contour plot can be made showing absolute velocities of the fluid in the defined plane. Other variables that can be used for contour plots are, magnitude of velocity components, turbulence components, local pressure etc.

Velocity vector plots can be made to get an insight into the flow patterns in the overall geometry or detailed at specific locations. The density and magnification of the velocity vectors in the specified field can be manually changed to get a most optimal picture. The field density has a maximum limitation, the amount of elements in the model. Figure 1.5 shows the velocity vector plot that corresponds with the mesh shown in Figure 1.1b.

Besides these qualitative data export methods it is also possible to export the numerical data in many different forms. Direct export of selected data sets is facilitated

for a number of external applications; also it is possible to export data in ASCII format for further manipulation.

Another method for exporting the numerical data is the two-dimensional plot function in which two data sets can be plotted against each other. This function is useful when for example radial velocity or temperature profiles need to be compared. From different simulations identical plots can be created and a direct comparison of the numerical data is possible.



Figure 1.5. velocity vector plot as obtained from Fluent UNS, vectors colored by axial velocity component [m/s].

1.5 Validation

Since the CFD methodology is not specifically designed for application in constrained geometries, such as particle packed beds, it is necessary to verify if the simulated results are valid. Although the CFD code is based on fundamental principles of flow and heat transfer some of the boundary issues are modeled using empirical data not necessarily appropriate for the fixed bed application. In this chapter a previously performed validation study is presented to validate the used CFD code and the used boundary models for use in particle packed fixed beds.

In this paragraph our intent is to show that a validation of CFD in fixed bed geometries was performed. A short description of the experimental and simulation methods will be given as well as a description of the setup and models used. Additionally some of the results and discussion will be presented. For a concise description of the study please refer to the publication of the work, Nijemeisland and Dixon, 2001. For a more complete description of the work refer to the thesis work, Nijemeisland, 2000.

1.5.1 Experimental method and data

The experimental setup used is a single tube, heated wall, packed bed setup as is shown in Figure 1.6. The packed bed consisted of 44 spheres with a diameter of one inch. The column (single tube) in which they were packed has an inner diameter of two inches. The column consists of two main parts. The bottom part is a nylon tube, 6 inches in length that was not directly heated; this part is the calming section, where a steady flow profile in the bed was established. The second part of the column is the heated section. This section is heated with steam flowing in between its double wall and was maintained at a constant temperature. The 44-sphere packed bed fills the entire calming section and part of the heated section leaving room after the packing for installation of the thermocouple cross for measuring gas temperatures above the bed. The temperature of the air is measured at the column inlet. After the bed a radial temperature profile is measured using a thermocouple-cross, depicted in Figure 1.6. The thermocouple-cross is inserted in the column approximately 5 mm above the top layer of the bed to measure the radial temperature profile in the gas flow. All thermocouples were K type thermocouples.



Figure 1.6, experimental setup and detail of the thermocouple cross, with the radial positions of the thermocouples indicated, used for temperature data collection.

A radial temperature measurement consists of establishing and recording a steady state temperature profile for a combination of a specific bed length, Reynolds number and angle of thermocouple cross. A total of four thermocouple-cross positions are used for a measurement by rotating the cross 15, 30 and 45-degrees from the initial orientation. By rotating the thermocouple cross a good spread of data points covering the entire radial plane is ensured, giving a full picture of the angular spread of the radial temperature profile. Particle Reynolds numbers were varied from 373 to 1922 at approximately 100 units intervals, bed lengths were varied from 0.132 through 0.42 m by two packing layers increments.

Validation

Radial temperature profiles in the bed are established by plotting the collected data dimensionless temperature vs. dimensionless radial position. Figure 1.7 shows some of the temperature profiles acquired with the experimental method.



Figure 1.7, a) measured and fitted radial temperature profile at z = 0.42 and $Re_p = 879$, and b) averaged radial temperature profiles at a series of bed lengths at $Re_p = 986$.

Figure 1.7a shows the complete dataset from the measurement at a full bed length and $\text{Re}_{p} = 879$, included is a fit to the data. It can be seen that as we move further towards the wall the spread in the temperature increases. The large spread is due to the different conditions at which measurements were taken as the thermocouple is rotated. The data is reduced from a two-dimensional temperature field (radial and angular coordinates) to a one-dimensional field by angular averaging. Figure 1.7b shows the development of the radial temperature profile in the bed, as a series of temperature profiles at different bed lengths are displayed. The figure is a composite of as many different measurements as there are profiles shown. To be able to take a radial temperature profile measurement at an alternate bed length in the experimental setup, the system has to be shut down, the bed has to be adjusted and the thermocouple cross has to be repositioned.

1.5.2 CFD model and data

For the validation study a CFD geometry was created with the same dimensions as the experimental setup. The CFD geometry was limited to the tube containing the packed bed of spheres. The bed of spheres in the CFD geometry consisted of 22 layers of 2 spheres, identical to the longest bed (z = 0.42 m) used in the experimental setup. The layout of the geometry is shown in Figure 1.8.



Figure 1.8, the layout of the CFD geometry of an N = 2 bed, used for the validation study; a) shows the bottom section of the bed, b) shows the top view of the bed.

Simulations were performed using the same boundary conditions as were encountered in the experimental measurement, to be able to directly compare the acquired temperature profiles. Data extraction from the CFD simulation is relatively easy. A plane is defined at the axial position where in the experimental measurement the thermocouple cross was located, this way similar temperature profiles are collected. In the CFD data set the exact locations of the temperature 'measurements' are known, but to create a comparable representation, the data is also reduced to a one-dimensional radial temperature profile.



Figure 1.9, radial temperature profiles acquired by CFD simulation for a) z = 0.42 and $Re_p = 1922$, and b) a series of axial positions at $Re_p = 986$.

Figure 1.9a shows the radial temperature profile at z = 0.42 for the run at $Re_p = 1922$. Similarly to the temperature profile shown in Figure 1.7a we see that there is a considerable spread in the temperature data along the radius of the column. The CFD temperature profile does give a more continuous profile, as data is available at many more radial positions than was the case for the experimental measurement. Figure 1.9b shows, similarly to Figure 1.7b, a series of temperature profiles at $Re_p = 986$ for different axial positions. The difference being that the CFD profiles were acquired by simply collecting the data at a different axial position in the same geometry. From Figure 1.9b we see that the shape of the profiles at lower axial positions is noticeably different from the profile at the bed end. Since in the CFD data set the bed was not removed to measure at a shorter bed, the measurements at lower axial position are actual temperature profiles in the bed. The fact that the profiles at the end of the bed differ qualitatively from the profiles in the bed is caused by the fact that temperature data is collected only in the fluid region. In the bed the fluid region is smaller, due to the presence of the packing particles. The different domain from which data is collected combined with the different flow profiles in and outside the bed, see Figure 1.10, results in the different temperature profiles.



Figure 1.10, radial velocity profiles at different axial locations, Re = 1922.

The different profiles in different regions of the bed have two consequences. First, comparisons between CFD data and experimental data could not be made at different axial positions as the temperature profiles differ qualitatively. Secondly, the general assumption that a measured radial temperature profile just downstream of the bed will be the same as a temperature profile in the bed will have to be reconsidered. At most a profile measured downstream of the bed will have similarities to the temperature profiles in the bed.

It does need to be pointed out that differences, in velocity and temperature profiles, in and downstream of the bed are exacerbated due to the N = 2 geometry. The sizes of the particles and the voids are relatively large for the lower N cases, resulting in an increased difference between the profiles. For larger N the differences may be less.

1.5.3 Comparing experimental and CFD data

The radial temperature profiles obtained in the experimental setup and in the CFD simulations were directly compared. The comparisons showed that experimental temperature profiles and CFD produced profiles are qualitatively and quantitatively

comparable. Although several adjustments to the final temperature profiles of both the experimental results and the CFD simulation were needed to compensate for deviations in the measurements and simulations. Factors that were adjusted include thermal conduction through the thermocouple cross for the experimental results and radiation effects and solid-solid conduction that were neglected in the CFD simulations.



Figure 1.11, direct comparisons of experimental and CFD temperature profiles at z = 0.42 for a) Re_p = 986, and b) Re_p = 1922.

The direct comparison of the temperature profiles acquired experimentally and from the CFD simulation, shown in Figure 1.11, illustrates the favorable qualitative and quantitative comparison between the two different methods. We have shown, through comparison of radial temperature profiles, that when CFD is applied to fixed bed analysis it gives identical, though more detailed, results compared to experimental data acquisition. CFD can be considered a new method of data acquisition in fixed beds. This new method requires a considerable amount of work to set up, but once operational provides a wealth of data unavailable through any other method.
2. Simulation Geometry Development

Industrial application of low tube-to-particle diameter ratio beds starts at about N = 4 to 8, depending on the application. After the validation study of a structurally very predictable N = 2 bed (Nijemeisland and Dixon, 2001) the geometry had to be adjusted to a bed with a more practical tube-to-particle diameter ratio. When, however, the tube-to-particle ratio is increased, other problems in the design of the simulation model appear. As a representation of a larger N bed, a bed with N = 4 was chosen, because geometric data for this case was already available and it was of interest as one of the standard values for N in steam reforming.

2.1 Deciding on geometry size

The extreme conditions inside steam reformer tubes, high pressure and temperature, are not ideal for experimentation or direct measurement. Inside the reformer tubes temperatures are commonly around 1000 K and gas flow velocities are high, $\text{Re}_{p} > 35000$. Not only are these hostile conditions for measurement equipment, but also the introduction of measurement devices will influence the temperature conditions and especially the flow conditions. CFD is a method through which data can be obtained completely unobtrusively, giving a wealth of information that is extremely difficult to obtain experimentally, even in more lenient experimental circumstances.

Even though it would in theory be possible to simulate the entire steam reformer in a detailed CFD simulation, it is not the most efficient way to analyze the problems encountered. A simulation of an entire steam reformer would result in an extremely detailed version of an overall mass balance, which may be obtained with more appropriate methods. The added value of simulating the entire process would be

extremely limited. Computational capacity limits us to models up to approximately 6 million computational units or control volumes. The larger the overall geometry, the less accuracy can be obtained. To be able to get results as accurate as possible the boundaries of the geometry have to be chosen carefully. The smaller the geometry chosen, the more accurate the simulation of the specific area that can be performed, but the harder it is to specify the boundary conditions for the geometry.

To create a useful simulation the right geometry size needs to be defined with the proper boundary conditions. Since the problem encountered is mainly concentrated on the tube side of the steam reformer, and the boundary conditions for a tube geometry are fairly simple to set, the logical geometry would be a single tube from the reformer, analogous to what is done in pilot plant research. Experience in CFD modeling in fixed beds in tubes has taught us that the number of control volumes increases fast with the increasing size of the geometry. To be able to solve for certain details in the model, such as areas where particles in the packing touch each other, or the tube wall, a high level of detail is necessary for the required accuracy of the simulation. More particles in a geometry will lead to more high-detail areas increasing the computational size of the geometry.

This leads to the conclusion that we need a model focusing on a small number of catalyst particles near the wall of the tube, and their direct neighbors, for an accurate description of the heat transfer and flow processes taking place on a local scale. The near-wall region is the most interesting area since this is where the largest heat transfer gradient occurs. This region is also where the largest empirical 'fudge factor' is applied in traditional modeling. A wall-segment geometry, consisting of a third of the tube circumference (120 degree segment) and two axial layers of particles, allows for appropriate detail throughout the simulation geometry. Within the wall-segment geometry an appropriately large buffer zone around the area of interest is created to limit the effects of the boundary conditions on the flow properties in the area of interest. A larger geometry, consisting of a six axial layers, full circumference section of the tube, can then be used to relate behavior in the wall segment geometry to behavior in the entire

bed. In the smaller wall-segment geometry we now have the freedom to adjust the mesh density to the requirements of the different packing situations. In the considerably larger 6-layer tube-section geometry further refinement of the mesh is not possible within the available computational domain.

For investigation of different packing materials, we can now use the wall-segment geometry to find flow and heat transfer properties etc., relating them to a full bed situation using the original comparison between the wall-segment and the bed section geometries.

2.1.1 Comparative study approach

Application to steam reforming of this investigation necessitates the use of high flow rates and high temperatures. The extreme conditions under which steam reforming takes place will have an effect on the outcome of the simulations and the comparisons of the model simulations with different particle shapes and their orientations.

The high velocity flows will influence the behavior of the fluid as well as the heat transfer; not only will it lead to a large amount of convective heat transfer and an ample continuous supply of new fluid, it will also influence the film behavior. High throughput of the fluid will result in excellent mixing in the bed, making the heat transfer from the tube-wall the limiting heat transfer resistance. Another aspect to be taken into account for easy applicability of CFD to steam reforming is the use of a fluid representing the steam reforming reaction mixture when performing simulations. Although the introduction of an accurate chemical mixture is an option, for these studies a fluid with the appropriate density, conductivity and, viscosity is adequate, since chemical reactions are not included.

When particle types and orientations are investigated it is necessary to create separate simulation models for all the different physical situations. The most effective use of the simulation models will be to make minor changes to already created models. This will keep model creation time limited as well as utilize the bounding geometry in creating a consistent environment for subsequent simulations. By making minor adjustments to the simulation models different behavior due to the model or boundary condition adjustments can be easily identified. Parametric investigations, changing flow throughput or temperature differences are relatively easy as no 'physical' model changes are required.

Relations are then made between the heat transfer and flow features of the different models. With these results both the geometry simulations with different physical orientations as the ones with different boundary conditions can be compared. The comparative study will be able to identify the distinctive features of the geometries, the advantages of certain particle shapes, and orientations over others. All of this performed at steam reforming conditions with both a detailed flow field and a detailed temperature field available for all the simulations.

2.2 N = 4 sphere geometries

When the creation of larger tube-to-particle diameter ratio beds was discussed, the need for creating model geometries of packed beds with a number of different particle shapes was recognized. Commercially available catalyst designs are rarely spherical. However, the simplicity of spherical particles, where there is no concept of particle orientation, leads to initial studies using these relatively simple particles and relatively easy to define packings. Therefore the first N = 4 model created uses spherical particles. Besides the fact that the spherical particle is the simplest particle shape for the creation of a packed bed this N = 4 study can also be seen as a first step up from the N = 2 validation geometry (Nijemeisland and Dixon, 2001), which also used spherical particles.

The initial problems in the design of higher tube-to-particle diameter ratio beds were found in the definition of the particle locations in the bed. For the definition of the packed particle bed in the CAD geometry design software, accurate positioning information of the particles inside the bed is essential. For proper positioning of the particles mathematical accuracy is required when sphere positions are acquired from an experimental setup. Several different approaches were used to determine sphere positions with varying degrees of success.

The full bed N = 4 geometries described, and all related simulation models use 1 inch diameter spheres.

2.2.1 Identifying characteristics of an N = 4 geometry

The N = 2 geometry was created for direct comparison with a laboratory setup. The laboratory setup was of limited size and the computational geometry could be created of the entire laboratory setup. Increasing the tube-to-particle diameter ratio from 2 to 4 increases the number of particles in each layer of particles from 2 to approximately 12. The larger geometry increases the computational demand considerably, especially when

an entire N = 4 bed needs to be considered, since the bed length for industrial N = 4 beds is considerably larger than a laboratory setup.

With this consideration the need for limiting the simulation geometry is identified. It is obviously impossible, with the available computational power, to create a model of the entire N = 4 bed. The largest simulation geometry reduction can be obtained by imposing axially translational periodic boundaries. By using these periodic boundaries only a section of the entire bed needs to be modeled, additionally the effects of inlet and outlet conditions are removed. In a periodic boundary model the inlet and outlet boundary layouts are identical and the conditions are set to be identical as well. This effectively imposes the outlet conditions of the bed on the inlet and therewith establishes the translational periodic condition and creates a model of a generic section in the bed.

The difficulty of creating periodic boundaries is found in the fact that the inlet and outlet boundary layout must be identical to be able to impose the periodic conditions. A packing needs to be created that has identical axial cross-sections at two locations. The required condition can be obtained experimentally by manually placing the first layer in the column on an axial cross-sectional plane. This condition would be similar to an industrial or laboratory setup where the packing rests on the bottom of the reactor tube. It limits the positions of the spheres and when the spheres have created a stable packing the spheres in the boundary layer can be fixed in their positions and the same fixed layout can then be imposed on the top boundary insuring identical situations at both boundaries. This method does, however, reduce the randomness of the packed bed, as a manually defined packing structure is imposed on two ends of the bed. The loss of randomness is not necessarily an insurmountable loss considering that when a simulation model is created the particle positions are fixed within the bed for all simulations performed within the geometry (repacking a simulation geometry is presently endlessly more complicated than repacking an experimental bed). Additionally, the randomness of an N = 4 geometry is already very limited.

In packed beds with regular particles, such as spheres, several packing structures can be identified. First, a wall-induced structure is regularly found, the spheres arrange themselves along the wall in staggered rings (Mueller, 1997). A dense sphere packing can be identified in the center of the bed, when this is located far enough from the wall. The third structure is a more random transition between the very regular wall and center structures. In the literature, the majority of research is directed towards determining where the transition from the wall-induced structure to the central structure takes place. This is important when tangentially averaged values of porosities are used, in order to determine when to use the bed average porosity value. Generally, it is concluded that the effect of the wall has dissipated at about 4 particle diameters from the wall (Benenati and Brosilov, 1962) with only minimal contribution at about 2 particle diameters from the wall (Schuster and Vortmeyer, 1980).

In beds with very high tube-to-particle diameter ratios the central structure will dominate throughout the bed. In low tube-to-particle diameter ratio beds the wall-induced structure will dominate as the influence of the wall on the structure penetrates relatively deep into the bed. Consider a tube with a diameter of 100, in arbitrary units, and two different spherical packing materials with diameters of 10 (N = 10) and 1 (N = 100); further assume that the wall-induced structure is recognizable as such for four layers of spheres along the wall. In the low tube-to-particle diameter ratio bed (N = 10) four layers along the wall occupy 96 volume % of the bed. In the high tube-to-particle diameter ratio bed (N = 100), the wall-induced region only makes up 15.6 volume % of the bed.

In the N = 4 geometry discussed the wall-induced structure is present throughout the entire bed because N is so low. It is generally assumed that as N decreases the bed becomes more structured. Since the wall-induced structure is present in the bed with this low N there is no need to avoid reducing randomness.

2.2.2 Physical model, wax

The first attempt in determining sphere positions in an N = 4 geometry was performed using an earlier created physical model of an N = 4 bed that was used for porosity measurements (Welch, 1986). A packing of polystyrene-foam spheres in an acrylic tube was fixed by carefully pouring hot wax in the bed. After solidification of the wax the model was sliced in several layers perpendicular to the axis. The layers were of approximately 1-sphere-diameter thickness so all spheres could be individually identified.

The original goal for this model was to determine radial porosity profiles of low-N beds; models were constructed and analyzed of tube-to-particle diameter ratios ranging from 3 through 8. From the sliced wax model and photographs of the slices the point porosities of the beds were determined.

This data was used to obtain the positions of the spheres in the geometry for creating a model for CFD simulation. The acquisition of sphere positions however was not accurate enough for the mathematical accuracy necessary for creating a computer model of the packing. To be able to determine the positions of the spheres, visual interpretations of photographs of the slices were used. In the earlier performed porosity study the positions of the sphere-intersections with the cut were noted on polar coordinate graphing paper. The angular and radial positions of the center of the sphere intersections were determined with respective accuracies of 0.5° and 0.007 dt. The axial position of the sphere in the tube had to be determined using the radius of the sphere intersection with the cut. Besides the inaccurate method of obtaining these coordinates, other problems with the creation of the physical model prevented the data from being used for creating an accurate simulation model. When the hot wax was poured on the polystyrene particles the packing was compacted, the heavier wax compressed the soft particles. Even though care was taken in adding the wax by pouring in thin layers each time, leaving time in between for the separate layers to congeal, compression of the particles was unavoidable. Besides altering the packing structure by compression through adding the wax, the particles were also deformed individually when they were packed, adding to their already non-uniform sphericity and diameters.

Additionally when the completed wax model was sawn in layers some particles may have been moved or damaged. In sawing also the wax may have melted locally and obscured parts of the sphere intersection, making it very hard to interpret accurate position of the sphere intersection and its actual radius. The problems encountered in this physical model, although acceptable for general determination of porosities, became too problematic for the creation of a CFD simulation model.

2.2.3 Physical model, acrylic

To be able to get a more accurate description of the particle positions in the N = 4 geometry an alternative physical setup to the polystyrene-wax setup was created. The new setup allowed ample visual access as well as limited deformation of particles. A Plexiglas tube with an outer diameter of 4.45 inch and an inner diameter of 3.95 inch was used, together with McMaster-Carr 1-inch diameter acrylic spheres (bearing balls) with a diameter tolerance of 0.002% and a sphericity of 0.001%. The actual tube-to-particle diameter ratio was 3.95.

2.2.3.1 Experimental method

To fix sphere positions for periodic boundary conditions, first the stable positions of the spheres on a planar boundary were established. This required constructing several layers of spheres in order to find the stable positions of the spheres on the bottom planar layer. Using digital photography the positions of the spheres were determined, for this initial planar layer it was relatively easy since only two coordinates needed to be determined, see Figure 2.1.



Figure 2.1, photograph (a) of the bottom layer of spheres and (b) the modified version with the locations of the spheres specified.

Digital photography proved to be a method to determine positions of the spheres relatively easily, however the accuracy of the coordinates was not adequate. When the obtained coordinates were used to create a 3D CFD model the spheres were found to be overlapping. This required the mathematical repositioning of the spheres to fit the observed organization of the spheres in the digital model and in a physically machined fixed template, used for repacking the physical model with the same planar boundary condition. With mathematical repositioning the original sphere positions are entered in a table in which all relative distances between the spheres are calculated using:

$$d_{r} = \frac{d_{12}}{2 \cdot r_{p}} = \frac{\sqrt{(x_{1} - x_{2})^{2} + (y_{1} - y_{2})^{2} + (z_{1} - z_{2})^{2}}}{d_{p}}$$
(2.1)

When the relative distance between two particles is less than 1 the particles occupy the same space, i.e. overlap physically. These cases needed to be eliminated. When the coordinates are being changed mathematically it is important to imply other boundary conditions. Other than making sure the particles don't interfere with each other they have to be limited within the tube:

$$r_{r} = \frac{r_{p} + rp_{p}}{r_{t}} = \frac{r_{p} + \sqrt{x_{p}^{2} + y_{p}^{2}}}{r_{t}}$$
(2.2)

The maximum distance of the particle extremity from the tube center, its radial position plus its radius, r_p+rp_p , has to be within the tube radius, r_t . In other words, r_r has to be smaller than 1. When the particle coordinates were entered in the table they could be slightly adjusted to comply with the set boundary conditions, making sure none of the particles interfere with either each other or the tube wall, and without altering the original organization of particles. The small adjustments are merely necessary to cancel out the measurement error in obtaining the particle positions.

With a bottom boundary created, the same layout of spheres, but mirrored, is applied to the top of the column. Now an appropriate three-dimensional structure has to be created in which the fixed bottom and top conditions will fit. The bottom layout is not difficult to incorporate in the packing as it is used for packing the bed; the positioning of the top layout is more complicated. The layout has to line up with that of the bottom plate for the periodic boundary condition and will need to be incorporated in the packing as much as possible. Ideally, several layers from the bottom layer up, not just the spheres in the bottom boundary layer, can be applied to the top incorporating both packing boundary conditions equally well. Several experiments were performed imposing the identical planar inlet and outlet boundaries on a packed bed. No satisfactory results could be obtained. The forced regular boundary conditions on two ends of the bed always created unrealistic packing conditions. Large voids were created in several areas of the packing resulting in unsupported particles in the bed.

2.2.3.2 Identifying a regular structure

Experimentally, it is extremely hard to determine three-dimensional sphere positions with enough accuracy to create a computational geometry; therefore a different method of acquiring particle positions had to be used. Also mentioned earlier a combination of visual location and mathematical repositioning was utilized. The mathematical repositioning method worked very well for a small number of particles. When, however, a larger number of particles was used, as was the case in the N = 4 packing, resolving problems in one area of the geometry by repositioning certain particles caused problems in other areas of the geometry. The locate-and-reposition method therefore also had to be abandoned.

During the visual localization methods the column was packed and repacked numerous times. The many repackings lead to the identification of regular ordering in the packing. To accurately record this, the column was packed and then carefully deconstructed sphere by sphere. To make sure removal of one sphere would not influence the positions of the other spheres, due to bridging for example, a gel was added to the packed column, which was removed along with spheres to deconstruct the bed gradually. By packing and repacking the column many times it was found that the packing did not only display reoccurring packing structures but actually consisted of two very regular substructures. The packed spheres would in each repacking of the bed revert to these regular substructures approximately 5 layers from the planar bottom boundary condition. This newly discovered three-dimensional regular structure could be transcribed mathematically. Care was taken that the individual spheres were placed in stable positions, i.e. with three resting points.

The regular three-dimensional structure consists of two intertwined regular substructures, a wall structure consisting of layers of 9 spheres and a central structure consisting of layers of 3 spheres. In the N = 4 geometry 9 spheres will fit along the wall with little room to spare, this is a very stable structure. On the inside the 9-sphere ring there is only enough room, in the same axial plane, for two spheres, this is the organization of spheres we find in the bottom with the planar boundary condition, see Figure 2.1. When we look in between two wall layers of 9 spheres axially, we can fit three spheres in a planar structure. In the overall three-dimensional regular structure we see the 9-sphere wall layers and the 3-sphere central layers repeating.

2.2.4 Computational geometry creation

After determining the structure of the bed it had to be implemented as a computational geometry. For this the exact positions of the spheres had to be established so they could be placed in the CFD simulation geometry. The low tube-to-particle diameter ratio of the model assured that we had a regular structure in the bed and made it possible to rewrite the experimentally obtained sphere locations using geometric relations. The geometry layout was divided, using the earlier defined sub-structures, into a 9-sphere wall induced structure and a 3-sphere central structure.

2.2.4.1 Wall structure

The 9-sphere wall layer was redistributed regularly along the wall. The specific tubeto-particle diameter ratio allowed for an almost exact fit of 9 spheres along the tube wall. The structure was repetitive and the layers were stackable when alternated, see Figure 2.2.



Figure 2.2, two axially adjacent 9-sphere wall layers.

The spheres were positioned to accommodate easily reproducible coordinates, starting with the first sphere at a zero x-coordinate and a y-coordinate of 1.475 inch, the tube radius (1.975 inch) minus the sphere radius (0.5 inch). Spheres were positioned using the sphere center for placement. The additional spheres could then be evenly spaced along the wall with identical radial coordinates. Unfortunately, the CAD simulation model design software uses Cartesian coordinates. The wall layer sphere coordinates, numbered clockwise from the first sphere on the y-axis, are given in Table 2.1.

Sphere	1-1	1-2	1-3	1-4	1-5	1-6	1-7	1-8	1-9
Х	0	0.9481	1.4526	1.2774	0.5045	-0.5045	-1.2774	-1.4526	-0.9481
у	1.475	1.1299	0.2561	-0.7375	-1.386	-1.386	-0.7375	0.2651	1.1299
Z	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tabl	e 2.2, coo	ordinates	for the wa	all layer s	pheres in	the second	nd layer, i	n inches	
Sphere	2-1	2-2	2-3	2-4	2-5	2-6	2-7	2-8	2-9
Х	0.5045	1.2774	1.4526	0.9481	0	-0.9481	1.4526	-1.2774	-0.5045
у	1.386	0.7375	-0.2561	-1.1299	-1.475	-1.1299	-0.2561	0.7375	1.386
Z	0 8614	0 8614	0 8614	0 8614	0 8614	0 8614	0 8614	0 8614	0 8614

Table 2.1, coordinates for the wall layer spheres in the first layer, in inches

In Table 2.2 the coordinates for the spheres in the second wall layer are given. The layers alternate, so the spheres in layer three will have identical x and y-coordinates as the spheres in layer one and spheres in layer four have the same x and y-coordinates as spheres in layer two, and so on. The axial, or z-coordinates can be calculated from the stable positions of the spheres using geometric relations. All spheres in layer 2 are supported by two spheres of layer 1 and the column wall, creating the stable packing structure. Figure 2.3 shows the axial spacing of two wall layers. Shown is the y-z plane, the top sphere has its center in the y-z plane, the lower sphere represents two spheres in the wall layer below, one on either side of the y-z plane. The distances between the centers of the three spheres are known, they are all touching, and are therefore one sphere diameter apart. The angle between the plane in which the three sphere centers are located and the z axis can be found using the y-coordinates of the spheres. The distance between the sphere centers of the spheres from the different layers projected on the y-z plane can be found using standard geometric relations, resulting in a layer spacing of 0.8614 d_p.



Figure 2.3, axial spacing between adjacent wall layers.

2.2.4.2 Central structure

As the tube-to-particle diameter ratio of the discussed geometry is only 4, the entire packing structure is controlled by the influence of the wall. Nevertheless, in this discussion we have divided the packing into an immediate wall layer and a central section, but this does not imply that the central structure is not wall influenced.

Although a three-sphere planar structure would almost fit within the 9-sphere wall layer there is just not enough room at the same axial coordinate. When, however, the axial coordinate of this 3-sphere structure is located between two 9-sphere wall layers, there is enough room. The resultant structure is, however, not an independent stacking.

When a 3-sphere structure is stacked independently in an N = 2.15 bed a wall induced structure, very similar to the 9-sphere wall structure, results. The x and y-coordinates of the spheres in these layers are alternating between the odd and even layers, where all even and odd layer spheres have identical x and y-coordinates. All spheres are resting on two spheres of the layer below and against the wall for a stable position. The layers in this 3-sphere wall structure are spaced differently in the axial direction. Due to the stronger curvature of the tube wall the stable position of a sphere on a higher layer is further towards the wall from the line connecting the centers of the two spheres on the supporting layer than it was in the 9-sphere wall-layer. This results in a denser packing of the layers and a 0.8165 d_p layer spacing.

If the 9-sphere wall layer and the 3-sphere central layer structure were present independently, the layers in the three-sphere stacking in the center of the bed would not remain at the same relative axial position, and therefore not remain in between two 9-sphere wall layers, which is the only place they will fit.

Since it was experimentally observed that both the 9-sphere wall layer and the 3sphere central layer were stable and repeated throughout the packing it had to be concluded that these structures were somehow influencing each other. It was eventually found that the 3-sphere central stacking is spaced differently from its independent structure, as the 9-sphere wall layers support it. The additional spacing in the central structure results in identical layer spacing of both the 9-sphere wall layers and the 3sphere center layers, creating a stable overall structure.



Figure 2.4, the spiraling center structure simulated in an N = 2.15 bed.

The 3-sphere central structure becomes a spiraling repetitive structure in which spheres are supported by only one sphere from the central structure and two spheres from the wall structure. This structure causes additional interstitial space from the independent 3-sphere structure, since it increases the layer spacing, but is by far denser than the less structured transitional stacking from the planar boundary layer to the three-dimensional structure.

The spiral nature of the structure necessitates a larger overall bed to accommodate periodic boundaries. The spiral needs six layers for the positions of the central layer spheres to return to their original positions; therefore the full-bed model had to be made over six layers, 72 spheres total.

2.2.5 Meshing the geometry

Based on research done in a 44-sphere N = 2 CFD geometry all spheres in the N = 4 geometry were reduced to 99% of their original size after placement. This method creates

small gaps at the contact points of the spheres with each other and the wall. This adaptation is necessary to create a mesh that can resolve the flow equations under turbulent model conditions. The additional fluid regions that are created were shown to have no influence on the flow solution and a small and correctable influence on the heat transfer (Nijemeisland and Dixon, 2001).

For a more accurate solution a finer mesh is required. The finer the mesh the more details of the flow can be identified. When a full view of the turbulence field needs to be resolved the mesh has to be at least as fine as the smallest turbulence vortices, which would lead to an insurmountable number of computational nodes, therefore turbulence is resolved using a turbulence model, the RNG κ - ϵ model in our case, see paragraph 1.4.2.2.2 for a detailed description of the turbulence model. Several turbulence models are available in the Fluent CFD software package, the RNG κ - ϵ model was chosen because it deals better with flow with high streamline curvature and high strain rates. In earlier studies several different turbulence models were tested in a low-N fixed bed setup, showing no significant difference between the different models' solutions. By using a model for turbulence we can coarsen the mesh, resulting in a more acceptable mesh size. Still, we need a maximum control volume size to resolve the macroscopic flow behavior. For example, in the gaps created between the spheres the control volumes need to be the same size as the gap, in the larger void areas, the control volumes may be bigger. When a full-bed model is created using control volumes with the size of the gaps we run into another limitation. The workstation used for creating the geometry has 2 GB of RAM limiting the size of the simulation geometry to approximately 6 million control volumes. When meshing the full bed, including 6 layers of spheres for heat transfer, with the gapsize control volumes the total number of control volumes would end up to be approximately 47 million.

Since we do need the fine mesh density in the bed voids, a graded mesh was created, using a fine mesh near the contact areas and a coarser mesh in the larger voids of the bed and inside the spheres. The disadvantage of a graded mesh is that more user control over the meshing process is required. This leads to the need for a more detailed CAD geometry, creating additional handles in the base geometry with which one can define local mesh refinements.

2.2.5.1 Grid density validity

The density of the graded mesh had to be verified for accuracy; whether or not the simulations performed would be mesh independent. To be able to relate the graded mesh to an entirely refined mesh a smaller overall geometry was necessary. The eventual need for a segment model, due to size limitations, led to a preliminary creation of this to be able to check grid independence.

In a segment of the overall model two meshes were created, one with a graded mesh and one with a dense mesh, keeping the control volume size equal to the gap size throughout the entire geometry. The segment is an 80-degree wedge shaped section with a two-sphere layer height; the geometry layout is shown in Figure 2.5. The meshes are described in more detail in section 2.3.1. Initial qualitative comparisons of flow simulations with identical boundary conditions in the segment meshes showed identical results, therefore the solution was determined to be mesh independent and the grading of the mesh does not influence the final flow results.

The segment model used for this comparison of grid density simulation results also was the first iteration in the design of a wall-segment model. A more complete mesh dependency check was done in a later study with the final wall-segment model design; this study is described in paragraph 2.3.3. The preliminary check described in this paragraph was a visual, qualitative study to eliminate obvious gross errors.

2.2.6 Concluding the full bed N = 4 geometries

The full bed geometry was originally used as a design tool for creating larger tube-toparticle diameter ratio beds, and was intended to be used as the main geometry for all simulations involving sphere packings. It was later abandoned as the main simulation geometry and replaced with a smaller more detailed wall-segment model for size and simulation speed issues. Other uses of the full-bed N = 4 model are as a model for comparison of full-bed data with wall-segment data. It was decided that for actual data acquisition only a wall segment of the geometry would be created where the features at the wall could be readily obtained. To relate this data to more overall views of behavior in a full bed, the full-bed N = 4 model was utilized.

2.3 Near-wall segment geometries

As was mentioned before, computational modeling is constrained by the available computational power and the required accuracy. In all modeling cases an appropriate balance between the two has to be found. For more accurate modeling a more detailed computational model has to be used, which increases the strain on the simulations. To be able to get more detailed views of certain areas in the simulation model, or to be able to simulate a specific region faster, a geometry can be created of a segment of the overall simulation model. Since our primary concern in this project is to find the influence of flow patterns on the wall heat transfer, the near-wall region is the logical section on which to focus. The segment geometry can be used to generate simulation results more quickly, due to its limited size. The major added difficulty of this approach is the addition of several new boundaries on which appropriate boundary conditions have to be implemented.

Considering the difficulties encountered in creating a full bed, 72 sphere, simulation model and the advantages of a segment model, several different segment models for the N = 4 geometry were attempted. Both the influence of the mesh density and the effect of the added boundary conditions on the wall-segment model were investigated. Eventually, the full-bed geometry was used to relate the segment results to the full-bed results.

Concluding, a segment geometry is needed with a representative particle and periodic boundaries so it can be compared with any near-wall position in the full-bed geometry. The cylindrical shape of the geometry points to the use of a wedge shaped segment. In this segment we can apply symmetry boundaries on the tangential planes, therewith mimicking the continuation of the bed tangentially, and translational periodic boundaries on the axial planes as was done in the full-bed model.

2.3.1 First wall-segment geometry

The initial effort in creating a wall-segment model was to test for mesh density independence. Since the full-bed model did not allow for the use of several mesh densities, due to limitations in simulation-model size, the smaller wall-segment model was used to investigate the influence of mesh density. Two different mesh density geometries were created, a uniform mesh with a node spacing of 0.02 inch (resulting in 157 nodes on a 1 inch sphere circumference) and a graded mesh with a grading as described in section 2.3.2.1. The uniform node spacing resulted in a 3,557,026 control volume mesh, the graded mesh resulted in a 559,719 control volume mesh. In this first iteration of the wall-segment model, other important aspects of creating a segment model were studied, concerning boundary conditions and periodicity.



Figure 2.5, the initial wall-segment geometry, top view and isometric view.

Figure 2.5 shows a projection of the first wall-segment geometry that was created. The projection is on the x-y plane, looking in the direction of the flow. The geometry consists of the full radius of the column with an angular segment of 80 degrees, facilitating 2 wall-layer spheres. The height of the segment is slightly more than two layers. This first geometry was created without the possibility of periodic boundaries to resolve some meshing conflicts. Spheres from three axial layers were included in the wall layers, with

one wall-layer sphere, both tangentially and axially, centered in the geometry. The walllayers above and below the layer with the central sphere are only partly present in the segment geometry, intersecting the top and bottom boundaries of the segment. The spheres from the 3-sphere center layers are in the original spiral pattern and therefore do not coincide with the repetitiveness of the 9-sphere wall layers. To be able to make a meshable geometry the segment size had to be adjusted axially to allow for the spheres of the 3-sphere central layers.

The coordinates of the spheres in the initial wall-segment model are identical to certain spheres in the full-bed model, which explains the odd orientation of the segment with respect to the origin. On all sphere walls as well as on the column wall, a wall boundary condition was implemented. The wall boundary condition defines a no-slip condition on the wall for the bounding fluid region. The bottom (lower z-coordinate) fluid boundary was defined as a velocity-inlet boundary and the top fluid boundary was defined as a pressure-outlet boundary. The tangential walls were defined as symmetry boundaries. A symmetry boundary treats a contacting control volume as if an identical control volume would be present on the other side of the boundary, in effect mirroring the geometry over the boundary. There is no fluid flow or heat flux through a symmetry wall and there is no no-slip condition.

As can be seen from Figure 2.5 symmetry conditions would not create an ideal overall model. Besides the non-symmetrical nature, the height of the initial wall-segment model was adjusted so that some meshing conflicts could be resolved leading to a geometry over which periodic boundary conditions could not be implemented.

This specific model could not be used for comparing flow and heat transfer results with the full-bed model, but it was a learning experience in defining symmetry boundary conditions. Also initial mesh independence checks were performed showing that a fully refined mesh did not show any extra flow detail over a locally refined mesh.

2.3.2 Final wall-segment geometry

From the first wall-segment model it was learned that the most important parts of creating a segment model are the application of the physical boundary conditions and the positioning of the internals to allow for the symmetry and periodic boundary conditions. Without properly applying boundary conditions the simulation results cannot be compared to full-bed results, both as a concept and as a validation, since the segment now is not really a part of a continuous geometry.

For a multi-purpose wall-segment model, periodic boundary conditions on the top and bottom boundaries are necessary, and a layout that allows for these conditions as well as the symmetry conditions is needed. As is discussed in paragraph 2.2.4, the full-bed model has 9-sphere wall layers and 3-sphere center layers. A more useful approach than the 80degree segment is a 120-degree segment as it contains a more angular symmetric layout of particles. The 120-degree section would contain 3 wall-layer spheres and 1 centerlayer sphere, for a fully symmetrical layout.



Figure 2.6, the final 120-degree wall-segment model with mesh of top boundary.

Since in the full-bed model 6 layers were necessary to create periodic conditions, because of the spiral structure in the 3-sphere center layers, 6 layers would be necessary

to create appropriate periodic boundary conditions in the segment model. Using 6 layers in the segment model however would defeat the purpose of creating a segment model (reduction of size) so it was chosen to slightly adjust the central layer positions to allow for periodic boundary conditions over two layers of spheres. Table 2.3 shows the sizes of the different full-bed (fb) and wall-segment (ws) meshes. The 99% wall-segment geometry (used in 'laboratory condition' simulations) is based on the full bed 'all' mesh, which was never created as it was too large to be exported from GAMBIT. The virtual size reported is the size of the mesh that a full-bed 6-layer geometry would have, using the mesh density of the corresponding wall-segment, indicated above it. A 6-layer wall segment geometry at the 99.5% mesh density (used in 'reforming condition' simulations) would create a $4.611 \cdot 10^6$ cv geometry. This would be so close to the maximum capability of the software that no modification would be possible anymore, and simulation times would be unmanageable.

Table 2.3, sizes of the different simulation geometries in million control volumes.

Geometry	fb coarse	fb fluid	fb all	ws 99%	ws 99.5%
Size $(\cdot 10^6 \text{ cv})$	1.972	2.635	5.983	0.757	1.537
Virtual size				5.983	12.148

The adjustments made to the spiral structure can be seen in Figure 2.6. There are several sphere segments from the 3-sphere central layer that can be seen in the top view. One of the spheres from the central layer structure is completely enclosed in the segment model. The two other spheres, towards the symmetry walls of the segment, are truncated both by the symmetry wall and the top and bottom of the model. The smaller dashed semi-circles within the sphere-outlines depict the intersection of the spheres with the top and bottom planes. It is apparent that both intersected spheres are positioned at different axial locations, from the different size of the intersecting semi-circle. The spiraling structure places all central-layer spheres at different axial coordinates. Also it can be seen that there is only one intersecting plane per intersected sphere. The intersection semi-circle represents both the intersecting plane in the top and bottom boundary condition. If the spheres would have been positioned at the regular coordinates for a spiraling

structure, the top-plane intersection would not have lined up with the bottom-plane intersection and periodic conditions could not have been imposed.

The effect of intersecting planes that do not line up can be seen in Figure 2.5, where several circular patterns can be seen in the truncated wall-spheres. The largest circles represent the sphere outlines; the smaller circles represent the intersecting planes. The truncated spheres do not line up and periodic conditions could not be used in the 80-degree wall-segment model.

2.3.2.1 Meshing the wall-segment geometry

With the preliminary segment model it was already shown that there were no differences between the flow solutions whether a completely fine mesh was used or a locally refined mesh. The final wall-segment model was therefore created with a similar locally refined mesh. This mesh has a node spacing equal to the size of the gap at the sphere contact points at these locations, gradually grading towards a 4 times coarser node spacing near the voids in the geometry. The node distribution on the sphere surface is also graded from fine near the contact point to coarser away from the contact points, resulting in a graded mesh both in the fluid region as well as in the solid region, the inside of the particles. The results of the graded meshing can be seen in Figure 2.6, where the resultant surface mesh on the top boundary is displayed. The mesh on the bottom boundary is exactly the same; this is required to be able to apply periodic boundary conditions.

Mesh gradation is defined using a first-last-ratio principle. In this method a changing node density on an edge in the geometry is created by two user specified parameters, an average node spacing and the size ratio between the first and the last node on the edge. The average node spacing in the graded mesh was set to 0.03 inch, the first-last-ratio was set to 0.25, resulting in a factor 4 difference in node spacing between the fine and coarse regions. The indicated settings create a mesh where the node spacing is 0.015 inch near the contact points (the finely meshed regions) and 0.06 inch at the coarsely meshed

regions. The final wall-segment model with the indicated mesh density has a total number of 756,702 control volumes.

2.3.3 Validating wall-segment results

When simulations are done in a wall-segment model the results need to be validated against a full-bed model. The main reason for this is to see if the wall-segment model results are representative for a full bed, but also to check that the boundaries, which are relatively close to all parts of the segment model, do not influence the solution.

2.3.3.1 Relating segment results to full bed results

When a suitable mesh density, described in section 2.3.2.1, for the wall segment was established, one that allowed for relatively fast simulation (not too fine) and gave a mesh independent result (not too coarse), it was applied to the full-bed model. Although the mesh for the full-bed model could be created, it was impossible, due to memory restrictions, to export the completed mesh to the simulation program. It was, however, possible to create a mesh with the specified density when all solid parts (the particle internals) were excluded from the mesh. This mesh is referred to here as the "no-sphere" mesh. Also, several attempts were made to mesh all internals at a slightly coarser mesh density. The finest possible mesh that was created <u>including all bed internals</u> will be referred to as the "re-mesh".

Table 2.4, size comparison of the meshes used for flow profile comparisons.

Mesh name	Mesh size (cv)	Description
No-sphere mesh	2,634,751	0.25 ratio, 0.03 spacing, graded full mesh, fluid region only
Re-mesh	1,971,952	0.4 ratio, 0.05 spacing, graded full mesh, all regions
Wall-segment	756,702	0.25 ratio, 0.03 spacing, graded wall-segment mesh, all regions

In the initial comparisons velocity profiles were compared for both the full-bed meshes mentioned above (the no-sphere mesh and the re-mesh) as well as the wallsegment model. Only velocity profile comparisons were eligible, since the no-sphere mesh could not give comparable energy solutions.



Figure 2.7, comparison section with seven tangential planes and radial profile lines indicated.

A section in the full-bed models was isolated that was comparable with the wallsegment model for the direct comparisons. The layout of these different sections was identical except for the fact that the wall-segment model has a 2-layer periodicity and the full-bed models have a 6-layer periodicity. To be able to do direct comparisons of velocity profiles several 'sample-points' needed to be defined. In the three different models seven tangential planes were defined and on each plane three axial positions were defined. This reduced the data to single radial velocity profiles at corresponding positions in all three models, see Figure 2.7 and Figure 2.8.

In Figure 2.7 the wall-segment model is shown with the sample planes and lines indicated. Identical planes were defined in the full-bed models. In the figure several spheres are not displayed to improve the visibility of the sample planes and lines in the geometry. Sample planes 4 and 5 are not shown for the same reason. The planes are at regular intervals, plane 4 runs straight through the center of the bottom sphere (the number 4 is indicated below) and plane 5 runs in between the two bottom spheres (the number 5 is located in between).

In Figure 2.8 plane 4 is shown with the axial positions at which data was taken and compared. The figure shows the plane with the center of the column on the left hand side

of the picture. Velocity profile comparisons are made using the radial velocity profiles. Data is also available for the same axial coordinates on the other sample planes.



Figure 2.8, plane 4 with the axial data lines.

As is indicated in Figure 2.8 radial profiles were taken at three different axial positions. The axial position of the sample points was chosen to give an indication of the flow structure in the entire geometry. The axial coordinates of the sample lines are at incremental steps of $\frac{1}{4}$ th the height of the plane, resulting in z2 to be exactly in the middle of the plane and z1 and z3 to be exactly one layer height apart, as the total height of the plane (or the wall-segment geometry) is two layers. The total height of the plane is 0.0438 m, which results in z1 = 0.0109 m, z2 = 0.0219 m, and z3 = 0.0328 m.

Data was collected and plotted from the three simulation models, to make a direct comparison between the flow behavior found in the different models. Flow magnitudes are plotted separately for the three different components of the flow, the axial velocity, v_z , the radial velocity, v_r , and the tangential velocity, v_{θ} . In each comparison plot three sets of data are plotted for the three different models. For each data-line there are three plots, one for each velocity component. There are a total of 7 planes with 3 data-lines each, resulting in a total of 63 comparison plots. It would be unnecessary to show all comparisons; therefore only a selection of the results is shown in Figure 2.9 through Figure 2.12. The complete collection of comparison plots, similar to the plots shown in Figure 2.9 through Figure 2.12, are in Appendix 4: Full-bed wall-segment flow comparisons.

Figure 2.9 shows the data as it is extracted from Fluent. The three different radial profile lines on plane 4 are indicated, z1, z2 and z3. The velocity vectors indicated are projections of the three-dimensional vectors on plane 4.

In the subsequent Figure 2.10 through Figure 2.12 the axial, radial and tangential components of the velocity at the three different axial coordinates are compared, respectively.

All simulations were performed at a particle Reynolds number of 1000, at atmospheric conditions with no temperature gradients. The superficial velocity in the simulations with a Reynolds number of 1000 is 0.58 m/s, this superficial velocity is used to normalize the different velocity components.



Figure 2.9, velocity vectors in plane 4 for the three different models a) no-spheremesh b) re-mesh c) wall-segment model.



Figure 2.10, axial velocity components in lines z3, z2, and z1.



Figure 2.11, radial velocity components in lines z3, z2, and z1.



Figure 2.12, tangential velocity components in lines z3, z2 and, z1.

Some of the velocity profile plots show interruptions in the velocity profile, this is where parts of the packings are located and no velocity data was available. In general, the data of the three different geometries agrees very well qualitatively, velocity highs and lows are shown in the same points in the bed. Quantitatively, the data of the two full-bed models are practically identical, indicating that the solutions are completely mesh independent. The data from the wall-segment model in some cases deviates slightly from the full-bed models. This can be explained by the slightly different layout of the wallsegment model. Some spheres had to be relocated in the wall-segment model to create a 2-layer periodicity from the 6-layer periodicity in the full-bed models. The differences in velocity magnitudes are mainly found in the transition area between the wall layers and the center layers. The effect of slightly larger gaps between spheres from the 9-sphere wall layers and the 3-sphere central layers, due to the sphere relocations, has a noticeable effect on the velocity profile. Differences are also found in the central layer area where the sphere positions are not identical.



Figure 2.13, axial velocity profiles in z1 of plane 3 and plane 5 respectively.

Other similar comparisons, between e.g. planes 3 and 5 out of the geometry showed similar velocity profiles for different planes with an identical layout, see Figure 2.13. A counter-flow effect can be seen when directly comparing the results from plane 3 and plane 5. Tangential components in some areas have opposite signs, indicating a diverging

or converging flow around particles centered on plane 4 and bounded by planes 3 and 5, see Figure 2.14.



Figure 2.14, tangential velocity profiles in z1 of plane 3 and plane 5 respectively.



Figure 2.15, radial and tangential velocities in z1 of plane 1.

The largest discrepancies between the wall-segment and full-bed models are found in symmetry boundary planes, plane 1 and plane 7. The symmetry boundary condition in the wall-segment model creates two center layer spheres at exactly the same axial position. These are the completely included internal spheres; see Figure 2.6. This peculiar layout forces flow in the wall-segment model to go into a radial direction where in the full-bed model allows for tangential flow where in the wall-segment model the symmetry boundary makes this impossible.

The symmetry boundary planes will, in general, be avoided for direct comparison, as the focus will be on the center of the model layout (plane 4). The bounding planes however still show a satisfactory overall agreement between the different models when flow behavior induced by layout oddities is disregarded.

2.3.3.2 Wall-segment mesh independence

When wall-segment results were compared to full bed results, a mesh independence study was performed on the full bed models. A similar study was performed on the wall segment model. Two separate meshes were created, the graded mesh, of which the results are also reported in the comparisons to the full bed mesh, and a coarse mesh with an identical mesh density as the full bed coarse mesh, the mesh density specifics are given in Table 2.4. In the following series of velocity plots, similar to the ones shown in Figure 2.9 through Figure 2.12, flow profiles of the graded mesh wall-segment (labeled wallsegment) are compared to the results from the coarse mesh. Also included in this comparison are the results from a simulation performed in a scaled down version of the graded mesh model. A simulation geometry was created at 1/8th the size of the original model, to see if the absolute size of the model had a significant influence on the solution of the physical models, including the wall functions, which resolve the wall boundary layers. To scale down the simulation geometry a linear factor of 0.128 was used, creating a wall-segment of 1/512th the volume of the original model, it was reasoned that if the physical models were resolved properly there should be minimal difference between the flow profiles. The only regions in which some differences are expected are near surfaces, where the effect of the no slip boundary may be more pronounced in the smaller geometry.

The results in Figure 2.16 through Figure 2.18 show complete mesh independence of the wall-segment geometry, and proper resolution of the wall functions.


Figure 2.16, axial velocity components in lines z3, z2, and z1.



Figure 2.17, radial velocity components in lines z3, z2, and z1.



Figure 2.18, tangential velocity components in lines z3, z2, and z1.

3. CFD Data Analysis – Sphere Geometry

As was stated before, the amount of data acquired by using CFD simulation is impressive. The large amounts of data force the user to reduction to comprehensible data sets. Data reduction is necessary both in supplying new insight in flow and heat transfer processes in complex geometries, and in displaying traditional data sets. It is still possible to reduce the CFD data to traditional data sets so comparisons between the simulation data and traditional methods can be performed. This is desirable, both to provide a link between traditional experimental data collection and the CFD methodology as well as to show that the same basic relations can be found from either method.

In paragraph 1.5 we have shown, by comparison of temperature profiles from simulation and experimental data, that CFD produces data that is both qualitatively as well as quantitatively comparable to experimentation. It was also apparent from the comparisons that the CFD simulation produced a considerably larger quantity of data. These larger data sets provide us both with a more complete overall view of the flow features as well as a more detailed picture of the flow components and the temperature field.

In this chapter we will show that the traditional profiles and distributions associated with fixed bed analysis can still be produced from the simulation data. Additionally we will show that the CFD simulation data shows us a lot more than can be accomplished through experimental data acquisition. The chapter is split into three sections. The first section deals with the thermal analysis of fixed beds, the data acquisition methods and the analysis of temperature profiles in the bed. The second section deals with the flow analysis, the data acquisition and analysis of flow features in the bed. In the final section a method is developed for relating the flow features to the thermal properties in the nearwall region of the packed bed.

3.1 Thermal analysis

In traditional fixed bed analysis there are several methods of data acquisition, each method tailored to the type of analysis that is required. In thermal analysis of fixed beds temperature profiles in the bed are established, both axially and radially, using arrays of thermocouples. The problem with experimental measurement of these profiles is most often the placement of the thermocouples. The position of the thermocouples needs to be chosen so as to give good coverage over the length, or radius, of the bed. Extreme care must be taken, during the placement of the thermocouples, that their presence has no or minimal effect on the physical processes, such as the fluid flow and heat transfer, taking place in the bed (Dixon, 1985; Freiwald and Paterson, 1992; Lopez-Isunza and Kershenbaum, 1992). When working with arrays of thermocouples to measure temperature profiles in fixed beds all measurements are intrusive. Often the amount of intrusion of the thermocouples can be held to a minimum. Radial profiles are often measured just downstream of the bed. In this way the thermocouples do not have to be inserted into the bed, which would disturb the packing structure of the bed and influence the flow field, and therewith the convective heat transfer. It has been shown that when a radial profile is measured just downstream of the bed that the temperature profile is comparable to the temperature profile found in the bed (Paterson, 1975). When data of the temperature measurements is collected they are only available at discrete locations throughout the bed, where the thermocouples were located. To create a continuous temperature profile for the bed a model has to be applied. Naturally the model determines the accuracy of the resultant temperature profile equally as much as the original location of the thermocouples does. Many of the traditional models and their shortcomings are also discussed in paragraph 1.3.

Alternatively when a CFD simulation, including the energy balances, is performed temperature data is available at each of the control volumes, giving a virtually continuous picture of the temperature field in the geometry. Data extraction from the CFD data set gives us contour maps, or temperature profiles at any desired point in the bed.

3.1.1 Comparing experimental and CFD methods

In an earlier study (Nijemeisland and Dixon, 2001) we have shown that radial temperature profiles acquired experimentally compare favorably to temperature profiles as simulated by the CFD code. The method of acquisition in these techniques does pose some limitations on the comparisons that can be made as both methods have some limitations. The validation study is discussed in more detail in paragraph 1.5, at this point only the specifics related to the thermal analysis of the CFD data will be discussed, and how this relates to the traditional experimental methods. The examples used are taken from the validation study in a packed bed of spheres with N = 2.

3.1.1.1 Experimental data acquisition

To determine a complete temperature profile of a fixed bed setup a common method is the collection of radial temperature profiles at a series of axial positions in the bed. This method was also employed in the acquisition of the temperature profiles as they were used in the validation study (paragraph 1.5). In a laboratory setup of a fixed bed with a heated wall at constant temperature, a steady airflow is passed through the bed. Temperatures are measured at the bed inlet and just downstream of the bed. In paragraph 1.5 it was shown that measuring the temperature outside the packed bed is not ideal. Qualitative differences are obtained in the temperature profiles measured outside and inside the bed. The temperature profile downstream of the bed is acquired after a period of equilibrating after the boundary conditions are set and a steady state profile can be obtained. A typical result from an experimental measurement is shown in Figure 1.7a. To normalize the temperatures, the measured inlet and wall temperatures are used.

Advantages of experimental measurement are that it is relatively fast, reaching a steady state in a column takes only a few minutes, and repacking the bed is fast and easy.

Disadvantages of the experimental method are the limited amount of data obtained with each measurement and limitations of operating conditions.

3.1.1.2 Data extraction from CFD

After a CFD simulation of an appropriate geometry is performed an extremely large set of temperatures is available. The simulation calculates the temperature profile throughout the entire bed, so no series of measurements have to be performed to acquire data sets at different positions in the bed. For new boundary conditions a new simulation will have to be run, analogous to performing a new experiment in an experimental setup. Figure 3.1 shows the contour maps in a cross section of the geometry for the temperature field in three separate simulations, the boundary conditions for these simulations were an inlet temperature of 298 K and a wall temperature of 383 K.



Figure 3.1, contour maps of the complete temperature field in the x = 0 plane of the n = 2 geometry at varying fluid velocities, Re_p is respectively 373, 986 and 1922.

Thermal analysis

The temperature maps shown in Figure 3.1 give an indication of the temperature throughout the entire validation simulation geometry (N = 2). An overview of the temperature field in the entire geometry is obtained. When we work with stacked bed sections, as described in paragraph 1.4.3.2.1, we can similarly stack the results of the, N = 4, simulations to create a picture of the axial development of the temperature profile. One of the meshes of the full bed N = 4 geometry, described in paragraph 2.2, was created at lower mesh density, but with all zones, fluid and solid, meshed. This geometry is of a generic, periodic bed section. To simulate a developing temperature profile, similar to what was shown in the N = 2 bed in Figure 3.1, the geometry has to be stacked. Figure 3.2 shows temperature maps of separate stages in the stacking at different cross sections of the geometry.



Figure 3.2, temperature contour maps of respectively the x = 0 plane of the first stage in the N = 4 geometry stacking, and the y = 0 plane of the fourth stage. Main axial flow direction moves from left to right in these pictures.

To create a complete picture of the developing profile the resulting temperature contour maps of the different stages can be placed together. Figure 3.3 shows the result of placing the temperature maps of consecutive simulations together. The resultant temperature map is very similar to the maps shown in Figure 3.1, where the entire bed was simulated in a single geometry.



Figure 3.3, composite of temperature contour maps of the x = 0 plane from four separate simulations in the N = 4 geometry. Main axial flow direction moves from left to right in these pictures, $Re_p = 1000$.

Temperatures can also be plotted in profiles, similarly to the data acquired from the experimental method. This method of representation was used for the direct comparison of experimental and CFD results in the validation, as discussed in paragraph 1.5. A typical temperature profile from CFD shows a higher density of data, and better continuity than a temperature profile acquired experimentally. An example of a CFD temperature profile is shown in Figure 1.9a.

Many analogies can be made between the experimental and CFD approach in acquiring temperature data in fixed beds. The design of the CFD simulation geometry can be compared to the construction of the experimental setup. This emphasizes the disadvantage of the CFD approach where repacking the column, an easy task in experimentation, is now equivalent to building a new experimental setup. When a CFD simulation is set up, the iteration procedure can be compared to the waiting for steady state in the experimental measurement. The iteration process usually takes longer than reaching steady state in the experimental setup. But when the iteration is finished a complete temperature field of the simulation geometry is available. This is where the big advantage of the CFD approach is, as it would be impossible to obtain a similar temperature field experimentally, due to both time and physical limitations.

3.1.2 Classical parameters

As was mentioned when the fixed bed literature was discussed in paragraph 1.3, traditionally the heat transfer in packed beds is described by two parameters, an averaged radial conductivity, k_r , and a wall heat transfer coefficient, Nu_w . Several different modeling approaches exist to convert experimentally acquired data to the characteristic fixed bed heat transfer parameters. The final results of these parameters are dependent on the method used to obtain the parameters, but also on the radial positions of the thermocouples used to determine the temperature profile. Both k_r and Nu_w are dependent on the radial positions of the thermocouples, but the wall heat transfer coefficient is especially sensitive to the placement of the thermocouple closest to the tube wall.

General consensus was reached, however, on approximate values of these parameters. It is not entirely surprising that agreement was reached between different groups on the values of the Nusselt wall number. In the average experimental setup thermocouples are placed above the bed using a thermocouple cross, all groups try to establish a good spread over the radius of the column, and everybody tries to get at least one thermocouple as close to the wall as the experimental setup will allow, because it is generally accepted that the largest part of the heat transfer resistance is found at the wall. A major problem is that the temperature gradient at the wall is very strong and outside of the reach of the average setup. When measurements are taken within the near-wall increase, it will give false estimates of the k_r and Nu_w parameters.

Most groups do, however, measure radial temperature profiles similarly, with thermocouples at radial positions that do not differ very much. By measuring at almost identical locations, the predicted values of the Nusselt wall numbers are in reasonable agreement, but may not be very meaningful.

Since the gradient of the temperature profile at the wall is the strongest, the measurement at the wall (or closest to the wall) will have the greatest influence on the temperature profile parameters, and especially the Nusselt wall number. When looking at Figure 1.7a, it is apparent that the curvature of the radial temperature profile is

determined mostly by the measurement closest to the tube wall. With data collected closer to the wall, for example taken from the CFD data set, the modeled profile will look distinctly different, and so will the k_r and Nu_w parameters. In the CFD data shown in Figure 1.9, the steep profile increase near the wall is captured.



Figure 3.4, thermal conductivities, k_r/k_f , and wall heat transfer coefficients, Nu_w, determined from different samplings of the CFD data for the N = 2 geometry simulations.

Figure 3.4 shows the non-dimensionalized radial conductivities (k_r/k_f) and wall heat transfer coefficients (Nu_w) for CFD simulations at Re_p is 373, 968, and 1922 in the N = 2 geometry. The parameters are determined using a cross-section, just above the bed, in the CFD geometry, similar to positioning of the thermocouple cross in experimental measurement. The different data series are determined by taking different samples of data in the cross section to determine the heat transfer parameters. The sampled data, with the radial sampling location is given in Table 3.1. Data was sampled at the same radial locations as was done in the experimental measurements. In the alternate sampling of the CFD data only the location of the sampling point closest to the tube wall was changed. The sampling locations, and data were kept identical at the remaining radial locations. From Figure 3.4 we see that by only changing the location of the sample point closest to the wall we get different results for both the radial conductivities and the wall heat transfer coefficient. Naturally the effect on the wall heat transfer coefficient is larger than on the radial conductivity, since the effect is mostly seen in the curvature of the radial

Thermal analysis

temperature profile near the wall of the tube. By sampling closer to the wall of the tube the outermost sampling will indicate higher temperatures, forcing the modeled temperature profile up. The stiffness of the functions used to model this profile forces the entire profile up, predicting a wall temperature closer to the actual wall temperature (reducing Nu_w, and the temperature jump at the wall) but overestimating the temperatures in the center of the bed and the radial conductivity, k_r .

e		1	e						
Re					r/r _t				
									Alternate
	0	0.11	0.30	0.46	0.56	0.700	0.800	0.91	0.89
373	298.0	373.032	373.13	376.291	376.887	376.65	377.575	380.509	378.255
	دد	372.984	374.135	373.831	376.597	377.877	379.895	380.591	381.016
	"	373.13	374.19	375.828	375.51	379.317	376.774	380.569	379.298
	"	373.076	373.535	374.643	375.67	377.627	380.94	380.651	379.368
	"	373.001	372.828	376.052	376.532	378.278	376.715	380.06	382.361
986	298.0	358.237	357.901	364.658	365.701	363.213	364.379	369.772	364.448
	"	358.098	360.743	358.534	365.489	366.285	368.6	369.66	369.744
	"	358.451	361.399	364.145	361.686	368.504	361.91	369.967	369.191
	"	358.19	358.644	360.49	361.937	365.132	370.865	369.856	368.882
	"	357.996	357.216	364.79	365.656	366.673	361.67	368.807	371.702
1922	298.0	341.785	341.031	349.624	350.773	347.252	348.165	355.132	347.747
	"	341.429	344.934	342.092	350.816	351.124	352.946	355.079	353.584
	دد	341.823	346.104	348.215	345.734	352.738	345.214	355.491	353.043
	دد	341.803	342.462	344.053	346.356	349.19	355.155	355.439	352.438
	دد	341.227	340.37	349.51	351.102	350.86	344.994	354.234	355.029

Table 3.1, temperature data in [K] used to determine heat transfer parameters for the original and alternate sampling of the CFD data.

Since in the CFD simulation we have data for the full radial temperature profile it was possible to look at the effect of the sampling location on the determination of the classic heat transfer parameters. By slightly changing the sampling location of only one of the sampling points in a single dataset we find different values for both parameters. The differences in the parameters are minimal, but so is the difference in sampling location. By moving the outermost sampling location even closer to the wall, the discrepancies between the values of the calculated k_r and Nu_w are expected to only increase.

3.1.3 Thermal analysis of the wall-segment geometry

For the wall segment geometries the same thermal data is available after simulation. In the different types of analyses discussed, different parts of the data are examined. For the near-wall heat transfer analysis we focus on the flow and heat transfer behavior in the area approximately $\frac{1}{2} d_p$ from the tube wall. The heat transfer that is most important in the described system is the entry of energy into the simulated system through the tube wall. For the study relating the near-wall flow conditions and the heat transfer properties the tube wall was used as an indication of the heat transfer efficiency.

Two distinctly different thermal conditions are used in the simulations. Initially all simulations were performed at 'laboratory conditions', the thermal boundary conditions for these simulations are mild, they describe an experiment as it might be performed in the laboratory setup used for the validation study. The second thermal condition is the 'reforming conditions' where the pressure, temperatures and materials simulate a steam reforming process. The conditions and their differences are summarized in Table 3.2.

Table 3.2, differences in the boundary conditions between the laboratory and reforming conditions.

Boundary condition	Laboratory	Reforming
Fluid zone	Air	Reaction mixture
Solid zone	Alumina	Alumina
Inlet temp	300 K	~800 K
Wall condition	Constant temperature	Constant heat flux
Pressure	Atmospheric	~20 atm

Besides the initial temperatures being distinctly different, the most important difference between the different simulation conditions is the method in which energy is supplied to the system. In the 'laboratory conditions' a steam-heated wall is simulated and the column wall is kept at a constant temperature of 400 K. The energy uptake into the system is determined by the heat transfer properties of the bed, and the amount of energy absorbed into the bed is determined locally by the local conditions. In steam reforming the energy transport is different, a steady amount of energy is supplied to the system through the burners in steam reformer, the energy is then absorbed into the

packed bed. Again the local heat transfer properties of the bed determine the effectiveness of the energy absorption into the bed. In the 'reforming conditions' the resultant of the energy fluxes is a temperature profile on the tube wall.

Both methods have been properly handled in the CFD simulations. In the 'laboratory conditions' simulations a constant wall temperature is defined, accordingly in the 'reforming conditions' simulations a constant energy flux through the wall is defined. The results from the methods are different, but both allow for the analysis of the effectiveness of the near-wall heat transfer through similar methods.

In the CFD program, both the wall temperature and the defined flux boundary condition can be applied to the tube wall. These two conditions are directly related to each other, so only one or the other can be defined, and the other is a result of the simulation. The heat transfer effectiveness from the wall into the bed is then defined by the wall heat transfer parameter that is not defined initially.

In the 'laboratory conditions' the definition of the heat transfer effectiveness is relatively simple. With the wall temperature defined the result, of the heat transfer processes in the bed, is a map of the wall heat flux on the tube wall; see Figure 3.15. The local effectiveness of the system to transport the energy into the bed is now directly related to the wall heat flux on the map. We can now try to relate the local flow field to the heat flux map to explain the relation between the two.

In the 'reforming conditions' the definitions are inversed, and so the results will be as well. With the heat flux on the exterior, tube wall defined the result from the simulation is a wall temperature map, much the same as is found in the industrial process. To analyze the effectiveness of the heat transfer into the bed we now have to relate the temperature profile to the flow field. Although the relation might seem not as straightforward as in the 'laboratory conditions' case it is a very intuitive one. The heat transfer effectiveness related to the wall temperature is much the same as in an everyday experience. When ones hand is placed on an either a conductive or insulating surface the experienced temperature is much different. In both cases a certain 'heat flux' is applied to the surface, the hand at body temperature and the surface at room temperature establish

an initially equal heat flux. In the case a conductive surface is touched the energy is very effectively drawn into the object and the temperature experienced is cold, because of the high energy flux. When, however, an insulating surface is touched the experienced temperature is warm, since the energy is not drawn into the object effectively the total energy flux is much less, resulting in a local small temperature gradient and a warmer sensation.

Similarly in relating the local wall temperature to the heat transfer effectiveness, when the wall temperature is high, the heat transfer effectiveness is low, when the wall temperature is low, the effectiveness of energy transport into the system is high.

3.1.4 Concluding the thermal analysis

We have seen that the larger available data set from the CFD simulation shows a complete temperature field, which eliminates the necessity to use an external model to determine continuous temperature profiles. From the temperature profiles in Figure 3.1 and Figure 3.3 it is clear that there is no axial uniformity of the temperature profile, and from Figure 3.2 and Figure 3.3 we see that there is no circumferential uniformity either. The non-uniformity is caused by the discreteness of the packing. In the classical modeling of the thermal conditions of a packed bed circumferential uniformity of the temperature profile is assumed. Although this condition will predict the thermal condition of a high-N bed appropriately (its packing discreteness is less pronounced, allowing for circumferential averaging) it is not ideal for low-N beds.

When the determination of the classical parameters is further investigated, using the available CFD data, it is shown that the resultant values of the parameters are dependent on the location of the measurement, making the final results arbitrary.

To determine the near-wall heat transfer effectiveness of a geometry the thermal conditions at the external wall through which energy is added to the system, can be used. In a defined wall temperature case the magnitude of the heat flux is directly proportional to the heat transfer effectiveness, in the case of a defined wall heat flux the resultant wall temperature is indirectly proportional to the heat transfer effectiveness.

3.2 Flow analysis

Flow measurement in fixed beds has been greatly improved over the last years. Traditional methods applied dyes in liquids to visualize the flow patterns, more recent methods include Laser Doppler Anemometry (LDA) and Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI). Of the more recent methods LDA can handle a larger range of (clear) fluids and Reynolds numbers (physical constants) but is limited to a small part of the fixed bed, since a direct line of sight is required to perform the measurement. A method to measure inside a fixed bed is to acquire a solid and liquid phase with identical refractive indices, but this limits the versatility of the method. MRI can handle completely opaque systems, but is limited to a smaller number of fluids and to low Reynolds numbers. Both LDA and MRI have a large advantage over the traditional dye method, as they produce quantitative data.

Computational Fluid Dynamics supplies, after a converged simulation, a complete data set with velocity magnitude and direction at every fluid control volume. There are several ways to visualize the comprehensive flow field, amongst which is the earlier shown velocity vector plot. A full three-dimensional representation of the flow field is most closely achieved by displaying flow-pathlines in the perspective presentation of the geometry. It is important to include the flow geometry in which the pathlines belong, when pathlines are displayed, to emphasize the three dimensional structure of the flow path. Other, usually less comprehensive, methods for displaying the flow field are available, such as contour plots, and chart representations.

3.2.1 Velocity vectors

The velocity vector plot places a vector (or the projection of a vector on the display plane) representing the fluid velocity magnitude and direction at the center of each control volume. The full velocity vector plot shows all vectors at all control volumes,

giving a complete overview of the flow structure. The problem with displaying the full flow structure two-dimensionally is that most velocity vectors will be obscured by other areas of the flow structure. To fully comprehend the three-dimensional nature of the flow structure it is best evaluated with a full three-dimensional model, or the moveable display of the three-dimensional computer model. Since it is impossible to display threedimensional structures in print other methods of reporting have to be used. One of the possibilities is to focus on a detail of the flow structure so it can be shown twodimensionally, e.g. the planar velocity vector plots. Another possibility is to use a perspective presentation of the geometry in which a selection of velocity vectors are displayed, again limiting the data set that can be shown, but allowing to place it in its three-dimensional environment.



Figure 3.5, velocity vector plot of the flow field in plane 4, vectors colored by axial velocity component [m/s].

To show the differences between the different ways of displaying the flow field a number of examples will be given for each method. To be able to make some comparisons between the different methods the flow field of plane 4 in the wall segment geometry, the plane used for the comparative study in paragraph 2.3.3, will be used for all the different methods. The velocity vector plot for the flow field in plane 4 is shown in Figure 3.5, it is identical to the example vector plot, Figure 1.5, given in paragraph 1.4. The scale on the figure is in axial flow, this was done to easily identify reverse axial flow in the system.

The simple planar representation of the flow field shows regions of reversed flow in the wake of the particles, as well as recirculating wake flow near the contact points of particles. Near the bottom of the particle in the top right of the plot a fairly strong radial flow can be identified.

3.2.1.1 Velocity vectors in three dimensions

The vectors in a velocity vector plot are plotted with the appropriate direction and magnitude of the local flow field. It is, however, hard to properly show these features in a two-dimensional plot, such as the one presented in Figure 3.5. The flow field, as it is calculated by the CFD code, is fully three-dimensional, and all this data is available to the user. A better way to use the features of a velocity vector plot is to use a perspective representation.

Figure 3.6 shows velocity vectors in a number of planes in the wall-segment geometry. The selected planes are two axial planes, the bottom plane in the geometry, and the plane at z = 0.0218, the axial center plane. Also part of plane 3 is used to display velocity vectors. In this figure the velocity vectors are limited to pre-defined planes, so as to create a clear picture of the flow field in these locations. It is also possible to display the velocity vectors for all the control volumes in the geometry, but this creates a very unclear picture. By displaying the three-dimensional geometry along with the velocity vectors, the three dimensional structure of the spheres with each other, or the wall, it can be seen that the flow is considerably slower, also it can be seen that there is a tangential component to the flow near the contact points. The velocity vectors of a small section of

plane 3 are also shown; this is to show the area in which most of the reverse axial flow is found, the wake flow downstream of the particles.

Also repetitiveness in the flow structure can be identified, which is directly linked to the repetitive structure of the bed.



Figure 3.6, velocity vectors in the wall-segment geometry, vectors are colored by axial velocity [m/s].

To create a better view of the velocity vectors, in the perspective picture of Figure 3.6, some of the particles in the bed were removed. By removing two particles from the top wall layer and one particle from the center wall layer, the velocity vectors near the center of the bed can be seen.

3.2.2 Pathlines

Another visual method of displaying the flow field conceptually is by using pathlines. Pathlines are created by following a tracer as it moves within the flow geometry. To create a pathline plot a surface has to be selected from which virtual tracers will be

released. Using the available flow field the tracer is then traced as it moves through the flow field. Both the number of tracers and the length of the traced path are user determined. As is the case with the velocity vector plots a careful selection of the display density of the data has to be made, to create a comprehensible picture. Varying the pathline density creates different views of the flow structure. A single feature can be emphasized by showing just a few pathlines, or bulk flow features can be shown by increasing the pathline density in the flow geometry. Also similar to the velocity vector plots, pathlines give a complete view of the three dimensional structure of the flow field, possibly even more so than the velocity vectors, as a flow path is mapped out, not just a local direction of the flow.



Figure 3.7, flow pathlines of the flow field in plane 4, pathlines are colored by axial velocity [m/s].

In Figure 3.7 the pathlines are shown for the flow field in plane 4 of the wall-segment geometry. The pathline plot was made by releasing the pathlines in plane 4, the resulting pathlines are not necessarily limited to the flow in the plane. As the tracer follows the

flow field it may have been taken out of the plane. Figure 3.8 shows a top view of the same plot shown in Figure 3.7; from this picture it is clear that not all the tracers remained in the plane they started, emphasizing the three-dimensional structure of the flow. In Figure 3.8 the right hand side of the plane is at the column-wall, similar to Figure 3.7. The fact that some of the pathlines come out of the plane explains why the color distribution in the velocity vector and the pathline plots is not identical.



Figure 3.8, top view of the flow pathlines in plane 4, showing the three-dimensional quality of the pathlines, pathlines are colored by axial velocity [m/s].

Some flow features, such as the radial flow below the near-wall particle on the top right, are more prominent in a pathline plot than they are in a velocity vector plot. Also the circulating flow near the particle contact point in the top left of Figure 3.7 is more prominent in the pathline plot.

3.2.2.1 Pathlines in three dimensions

As was the case with velocity vectors, pathlines are best viewed in a perspective view of the three-dimensional flow geometry. By displaying the pathlines in a perspective view, the three-dimensional structure of the field, and of the pathlines, becomes more apparent. In Figure 3.9 the pathlines in the wall segment geometry are shown in the same

way as was done with the velocity vectors in Figure 3.6. To create a better view of the flow field, some particles were removed.



Figure 3.9, pathlines in the wall-segment geometry, pathlines are colored by axial velocity [m/s].

The pathlines as displayed in Figure 3.9 give a more complete view of the flow field, but it is harder to control where the field is displayed. For Figure 3.9 the pathlines were released in the bottom plane of the geometry, the flow paths are calculated from the release point and the release point is the only area where the user controls the pathline density. In the resulting pathline plot we see very few pathlines near the contact points, because this is an extremely low flow area it is unlikely that many (or any) of the selected elements released in the bottom plane end up in these areas. From the pathline plot we see that the diverging flow around the particle-wall contact points, that was identified in the velocity vector plot, Figure 3.6, is part of a larger undulating flow through the pores in the near-wall bed structure. Another flow feature captured in the pathline plot is the

wake flow behind the middle particle in the bottom near-wall layer. It can also be seen that the fluid is transported radially towards the wall in this wake flow.



Figure 3.10, pathlines in the wall-segment geometry, focusing on the wake flow, pathlines are colored by axial velocity [m/s].

The wake flow structure becomes even more apparent when we release pathlines from the particle surface. The pathlines are now started in the fluid elements directly adjacent to the particle surface and a complete picture of the wake flow is formed, as is shown in Figure 3.10.

3.2.3 Other methods

As was mentioned before there are other methods besides the velocity vector plots and the pathlines to illustrate the flow field. For a comprehensive qualitative view of the velocity field, the velocity vectors and pathlines are preferable, but when a more quantitative approach is required these methods do not present an easily interpretable picture. Especially when a quantitative comparison between different simulation

geometries is made, as was done in paragraph 2.3.3, a simple two-dimensional plot is the preferred way of displaying the flow results. Another more quantifiable way of displaying a flow field is by using a contour plot. In a contour plot it is possible to comprehensibly display a larger part of the flow field than can be done with a graph.

3.2.3.1 Graphing flow data

As mentioned before the major advantage of displaying flow data in a twodimensional graph is the quantitative accuracy that can be achieved. The disadvantage that is associated with the two-dimensional graph is that it can only be used for a very limited amount of data. More data can be added to a graph but it will reduce the comprehensibility of the graph. In the comparisons presented in paragraph 2.3.3 the data set that is displayed was reduced to velocity components on a single line in the geometry. The graphs shown show a very clear picture of the velocity profiles on these lines, but to create a picture of the actual flow structure on this single line, three graphs have to be combined, one for each of the three-dimensional components. To create a complete picture of the flow field in the entire geometry many, many more of these graphs are required; the complete series of graphs is collected in Appendix 4: Full-bed wall-segment flow comparisons. This large collection of graphs makes it possible to make accurate comparisons between the different geometries, but it is not an ideal method for giving a comprehensible picture of the overall flow field.

3.2.3.1.1 Statistical flow analysis

Besides displaying the flow field by sampling point and local profiles, the flow field can be graphed after statistical analysis. This method is often used in the characterization of the flow field as it is obtained from MRI techniques. Instead of giving detailed local profiles of the flow field this method shows the distribution of flow throughout the investigated geometry. Data obtained from a simulation in the N = 2 validation geometry of water at room temperature with a $Re_p = 1000$ was used to do a statistical analysis of the flow field. Water was used as a fluid in these simulations, since that is the most

commonly used fluid in MRI flow analysis. Figure 3.11 shows the histograms of the flow distribution in the investigated dataset. The first histogram shows the amount of flow in mm³/s that moves through the geometry with a certain axial velocity. The second histogram shows the same data divided by the frequency of the occurrence of the specific axial velocity, showing the average flux of the areas with a certain axial velocity.



Figure 3.11, histograms of the volumetric flux distributions in the N = 2 simulation geometry flow field.

To obtain the local fluxes as they are displayed in the histograms of Figure 3.11 the data obtained from the CFD had to be manipulated. The CFD code will give local velocities, and overall fluxes, but it is not possible to obtain local volumetric flux directly. Additionally it is not possible to obtain the projected areas of the control volumes (cv) on which the local velocities are reported, to easily determine local fluxes. It is possible however to get the volumes of the cv's. To obtain the local fluxes the cv area, through which the linear velocity of the flow travels, was related to the volume of the cv, dimensionalized with the total area of the cross section. Naturally the actual projected area of the cv is not directly related to its volume, cv's will have different areas of intersection with the large quantity of cv's handled this discrepancy will even out. To see if this assumption held a comparison was made between calculating the porosity in a

plane in the bed using this method of relating the projected area of a cv to its volume and an algebraic method using geometric relations.

A plane in the N = 2 geometry was chosen for which the porosity could be calculated relatively easily using geometric relations. The plane intersecting at the sphere-wall contact points will have a relatively easily calculable porosity. Data taken from CFD was organized in radial bands over which the porosity was calculated both per band and cumulative, shown in Figure 3.12. As expected the CFD approach has considerably more fluctuation than the algebraic approach, but profiles are comparable. The porosity, using the CFD method, is slightly overpredicted which would lead to the conclusion that fluxes as calculated from the CFD data are slightly lower than the actual fluxes in the simulation. The offset between the algebraic and CFD obtained cumulative porosities is fairly constant, promising a qualitatively accurate picture.



Figure 3.12, local and cumulative porosities in the depicted planar geometry determined algebraically and with CFD data.

3.2.3.2 Contour plots

Displaying a flow field by using a contour plot somewhat combines the interpretability of a velocity vector, or a pathline plot with the quantitative features of the graphs, not capturing the full advantages of either of the methods. A contour plot is usually associated with a scalar quantity, such as the temperature, but it is also possible to display a vector quantity on a contour plot. A contour plot will give a more precisely determinable display of the quantities of the flow features, than can be done in a velocity vector or pathline plot. Also it will make a more comprehensible picture of the overall flow field than the two-dimensional graphs can.



Figure 3.13, velocity contour plots of respectively the velocity magnitude and the axial component of the velocity in plane 4, velocities in [m/s].



Figure 3.14, velocity contour plots of respectively the radial and tangential velocity components in plane 4, velocities in [m/s].

The main limitation of the contour plot is that they have to be displayed in a single plane. Also the three dimensional structure of the flow field cannot be captured in a single contour plot, but has to be distributed among several plots as was done with the two-dimensional graphs of the flow components. In Figure 3.13 the velocity magnitude and the axial component of velocity are displayed in the form of a contour plot. The velocity-magnitude plot shows that the flow velocities near the surfaces are zero, as defined by the no-slip condition on all the solid surfaces in the geometry. The dark blue rims around the particle outline show the area where the flow is slow, near the solid surface. The two dark blue spots indicate a contact point between two particles on either side of plane 4. The contour plot with the axial components of the flow is comparable to the previously shown velocity vector plot, in Figure 3.5, and the pathline plot in Figure 3.7. The axial velocity component contour plot does not show as complete a picture of the flow directions as either the velocity vector plot or the pathline plot show, but the color scheme of all these three plots is the same. The contour plot shows a continuous picture of the velocity component value in the displayed plane, but to see the full effects of the flow multiple plots are required. Figure 3.14 shows the contour plots of the radial and tangential velocity components of the flow field in plane 4. The combination of the plots in Figure 3.13 and Figure 3.14 gives a complete and detailed picture of the flow field. When combining the separate velocity component plots it is vital to consider the separate legends on the figures. The legends on the figures were chosen so as to show the full range of the specific component, but not all components are equally strong in the flow field. As is expected, since the flow enters the geometry in the bottom and leaves in the top, the axial flow component is by far the most dominant. This is also apparent from the similarity between the plots for the velocity magnitude and the axial component of the velocity, both in Figure 3.13.

Some of the features that were identified earlier in the velocity vector and pathline plots are found in the contour plots as well. The reversed axial flows at the particle wake and near the particle contact points are seen again. In the velocity vector and pathline plots it was already apparent that the reverse axial flow is of considerably lower magnitude than the forward axial flow. The contour plots now again emphasize this point. When we compare the axial component and velocity magnitude contour plots we see that the areas that show reverse axial flow correspond with the areas with low velocity magnitude.

Also the radial flow area at the bottom of the top wall-layer particle can be seen again, although now it seems overshadowed by more extreme radial flow areas. This is partially due to the fact that this specific area shows mostly radial flow, when we look at the same area in the axial and tangential contour plots it can be seen that that area has no tangential or axial flow. When we then compare the axial flow plot with the velocity magnitude plot, we see in the velocity magnitude plot that this area does have a significant flow velocity. It is necessary to compare to the axial component plot, since the axial component makes up most of the flow, so when there is a discrepancy between these to contour plots, it must mean another component of flow is more dominant than the axial component, in this case it is the radial component.

3.2.4 Concluding the flow analysis

From the different methods of flow analysis discussed we can conclude that a combination of methods is required to illustrate all the facets of the flow field. To show all the features of the flow field either a series of velocity vector plots, or a comprehensive pathline plot is favored. When a more quantitatively interpretable description of the flow field is required, contour plots and classic velocity profile plots are preferred. The combination of both methods gives an intuitive insight in the three dimensional features of a complex flow field and quantitative precision in the areas where this is required.

Another one of the advantages of using CFD for data collection in complex flow fields is the availability of the complete data set once the simulation is completed. If after careful visual analysis of the flow field, e.g. using vector plots, a more quantitative picture is needed; the data, for the features shown in the visual interpretation, is available, for precise quantitative analysis, in the simulation data set.

3.3 Relating flow and energy analyses

One of the advantages of the CFD method is that it provides flow and energy solutions simultaneously. For a single flow simulation, both the flow and energy solution are available. In experimental methods, two separate experiments have to be performed to get flow and energy data. In the experimental case, the separate experiments have to be created with identical (boundary) conditions to be able to create a relation between the separate experiments, leaving a questionable relation between the independent measurements. In the CFD both datasets are obtained from a single simulation and are indisputably linked.

We can use this strong link between the two datasets to investigate their interrelation and the effect of the flow situation on the energy solution. In fixed bed modeling it has long been accepted that flow condition in the bed and the temperature profile in the bed are strongly related (see paragraph 1.3.1). As was described before, the traditional models incorporate the variation of the bed porosity in describing the flow profile in the bed, which is then used to find temperature distribution. The application of this relation is usually seen in simple two-dimensional models, eliminating the circumferential variance. Using CFD simulation results, we now have the opportunity to look at a detailed flow picture in the near-wall area and see how this is influenced by the local packing, and how this influences the local heat transfer behavior.

3.3.1 Heat transfer properties in the simulation

Traditional experimental equipment for the determination of heat transfer into a packed bed uses a constant temperature wall heated packed bed (e.g. steam jacket) with an atmospheric flow of air through the packed bed. The boundary conditions for the N = 4 packed bed of spheres simulations were based on these types of experiments, using a constant wall temperature and an airflow at atmospheric pressure.

To make a relation between the flow features and the energy profile at the wall we have to define the both aspects in a way that makes comparison between the profiles possible. Since the energy boundary condition on the wall is specified as a constant temperature, it is useless looking at a temperature profiles, we can however find a profile of global heat transfer coefficient. In Fluent the global wall heat transfer coefficient, h_0 , is defined by the heat flux, a global reference temperature, and an arbitrarily defined wall temperature, as is shown in equation (3.1). A local heat transfer coefficient is used during the iteration process to find the heat flux profile from the wall into the fluid, i.e. the temperature in the wall-adjacent control volume is used to define the local heat flux. When, however, in post-processing the wall heat transfer coefficient is displayed it is calculated according to equation (3.1) using a user defined reference temperature, and not the local fluid temperature, making the wall heat transfer coefficient an adjusted wall heat flux.

$$h_{o} = \frac{q}{T_{wall} - T_{ref}}$$
(3.1)

in which,

q = heat flux

T_{wall}= wall temperature

 T_{ref} = user defined reference temperature (usually inlet temperature)

In the comparative study the wall heat flux on the tube-wall is used as a relative measure of the local heat transfer rate, not the adjusted wall heat transfer coefficient. Contour maps of the wall heat flux and the wall heat transfer coefficient are qualitatively identical, as is expected from the definition of the wall heat transfer coefficient.

3.3.2 Reducing the comparison domain

Another aspect of the CFD simulation data that had to be taken into account was the large amount of data that was available, and whether it was necessary to take all data into account. When we look at the heat flux map of the wall-segment cylindrical wall, as

shown in Figure 3.15, we can clearly identify a repetitive structure in the heat flux pattern. The broken lines indicate the unique elements in the repetitive structure. When we look at a similar picture of the geometric structure of the bed, as shown in Figure 3.16, we see that the repetitive structure of the heat flux map and the wall packing structure are related.

A small difference in aspect ratios of the same cylinder-wall plane can be noted in Figure 3.15 and Figure 3.16. This is due to the fact that Figure 3.15 was created using numerical data from the CFD simulation for which the three-dimensional coordinate data was transcribed to two-dimensional data in order to facilitate a two-dimensional plot, with the arc-length of the wall along the x-axis and the height of the wall along the y-axis. Contrarily Figure 3.16 displays an isometric parallel projection normal to the cylinder-wall in the center of the arc-length of the wall, resulting in a seemingly smaller arc-length of the wall.

It is obvious from Figure 3.16 that the N = 4 sphere bed geometry shows a structured packing in the near wall region. The packing of the spheres is very strongly influenced by the presence of the column wall, organizing the packing in the rings of 9 spheres along the wall, as was described in paragraph 2.2.3. When we look at the flow solution obtained in the wall segment model we can identify repetitiveness in the flow structure, directly related to the repetitive structure of the packing. This repetitive structure allows us to concentrate on a smaller section of the geometry for the comparative study.

With the repetitive structure of the flow directly related to the wall packing structure and a similar apparent structure found in the wall heat flux map, we can try to relate the flow structure to the wall heat flux in a repetitive element. The element chosen is indicated in Figure 3.15 by the solid line box and in Figure 3.16 by the gray box.



Figure 3.15, wall heat flux map on the cylinder wall of the wall segment model, in $[kW/m^2]$. The repetitive structure is indicated by the gridlines.



Figure 3.16, parallel projection of the wall segment model, indicating the regularity of the wall structure.

3.3.3 Decomposing the flow structure

To determine a numerical representation of the flow field for making a direct quantitative comparison is a much more difficult task, as it is often not the local flow behavior at the wall that defines the conditions locally but a larger scale flow feature. It is often important to know the history of the local fluid to know what its participation in the local heat transfer is.

3.3.3.1 Velocity components

When local heat fluxes are directly related to numeric aspects of the local velocities, it is impossible to identify any clear connections. Shown in Figure 3.17 and Figure 3.18 are the direct numerical comparisons of the local wall heat flux with the components of flow, axial flow in Figure 3.17, radial and tangential flow in Figure 3.18. The flow components are normalized using the local flow magnitude.



Figure 3.17, direct numerical comparison of the local axial velocity component to the local wall heat flux.



Figure 3.18, direct numerical comparison of the local radial and tangential velocity components to the local wall heat flux.

The data set for the creation of Figure 3.17 and Figure 3.18 is created out of different data sets from the same simulation. The wall heat flux data is related to the components of the local flow through the coordinate tags supplied by Fluent. An algorithm was developed to relate the local wall heat flux to the immediate neighboring fluid flow. The fluid flow in a small region close to the wall (up to approximately 5mm away from the wall, 9.96% of the tube radius) was categorized by axial, radial and tangential velocity component and averaged for each wall location. By organizing the data in this way, the fluid flow components could be directly related to the wall heat flux in the same region of the tube.

Figure 3.17 and Figure 3.18 show no obvious relation between wall heat flux and the immediate neighboring flow components. There is considerable spread in the data. It can be concluded, however, that backflow (a negative v_z component) only occurs in the lower wall heat flux areas. Similarly, it can be concluded that in high heat flux areas radial flow tends to be positive (towards the wall) and in low heat flux areas it is mostly negative (away from the wall). Nothing can be said about the angular component. The indicated trends are tentative at best. It appears that there is no statistical connection between the wall heat flux and the local velocity components. The disadvantage of the comparison as

presented in Figure 3.17 and Figure 3.18 is that the concept of the flow-path is lost; the environment, or context, of the flow components is missing.

3.3.3.2 Derivative flow properties

As a large part of the heat transfer into the bed from the heated wall is established through the convective heat transfer properties of the flowing fluid, it was expected that the strongest relation between the heat flux through the wall and the flow behavior might be found in the local flow components, especially the radial component. It would, of course, be possible for the heat flux to be related to some other aspect of the flow behavior besides the axial, radial, and tangential flow components. Another aspect of the flow that was investigated was the vorticity of the flow, ξ . The vorticity of the flow is a measure of the rotation of a fluid element as it moves in the flow field, and it is defined as the curl of the velocity vector, as stated in equation (3.2).

$$\boldsymbol{\xi} = \nabla \times \boldsymbol{\bar{v}} \tag{3.2}$$

The relation between the local vorticity and the wall heat flux is established in the same way as was done for the velocity components. Figure 3.19 shows the relation between the x and y components of vorticity and the wall heat flux; Figure 3.20 shows the relation between the axial component of vorticity and the wall heat flux as well as between the overall vorticity magnitude and the wall heat flux.



Figure 3.19, direct numerical comparison of the normalized local x and y vorticity components to the local wall heat flux.


Figure 3.20, direct numerical comparison of the normalized local z vorticity component and the vorticity magnitude to the local wall heat flux.

From Figure 3.19 and Figure 3.20 it is clear that there is no structure in the relation between local vorticity and local wall heat flux.

Another aspect of flow that was investigated was the flow helicity, H. The flow helicity is defined as the dot product of vorticity and the velocity vector, as stated in equation (3.3).

$$\mathbf{H} = (\nabla \times \vec{\mathbf{v}}) \cdot \vec{\mathbf{v}} \tag{3.3}$$

The helicity of the flow combines the vorticity and the flow field; it provides insight into the vorticity aligned with the fluid stream. In the previous comparisons the relation between the velocity components and the heat flux was, although minimal, more prominent than the relation between the vorticity and the heat flux. By combining the velocity with the vorticity we might get a better idea whether the vorticity plays a part in the local heat transfer process.

Figure 3.21 shows the direct comparison between the fluid helicity and the wall heat flux. From the figure we, again, see a considerable spread in the data. As was expected from the definition of helicity, the data is more structured than was the case with the vorticity. There still is too much spread in the data, however, to compose any strict relation between the local helicity and the local wall heat flux. Similar to the velocity component comparisons we can make some more general conclusions from the

comparison. Areas with high helicity seem to be exclusive to the low wall heat fluxes; low helicity is prevalent throughout the wall heat flux range. At high wall heat fluxes, however, there is only very low helicity.



Figure 3.21, direct numerical comparison of the local fluid helicity to the local wall heat flux.

Besides the twisting of the flow, described with the vorticity and the helicity, we can inspect velocity component derivatives, and do a similar quantitative comparison. In the simulation geometry the axial flow component is by far the dominant flow direction, in this comparison we look at the derivative of the axial velocity component with respect to the three coordinates, r, θ , and z. The graphs in Figure 3.22 and Figure 3.23 show the direct relation between the axial velocity component derivatives and the local wall heat flux. Similar to the previous comparisons there, again, is not an easily identifiable relation. The comparisons of the velocity component derivatives show less spread than in the comparisons against the vorticity or helicity.



Figure 3.22, the axial derivative of the axial velocity component related to the local wall heat flux.

In the comparison of the axial derivative of the axial velocity component against the wall heat flux, Figure 3.22, most of the data shows a derivative value close to 0, meaning that there is not much speed up or slow down of the flow. From the comparison we can draw some general conclusions, similar to the relations between the wall heat flux and the velocity components. dv_z/dz is positive, the flow is accelerating, or turning around from reverse flow to the main flow direction, only in the low wall heat flux areas. dv_z/dz is negative in the medium flux area, most likely the area just downstream of the sphere-wall contact point where the axial component of the flow becomes less and less, as it is diverted tangentially around the contact point.

Figure 3.23 shows the radial and tangential derivatives of the axial velocity component. The spread of the data in these comparisons, especially the radial derivative case, is considerable, and comparable to the amount encountered with the vorticity and helicity comparisons. The asymmetric picture seen in the tangential derivative

comparison graph is due to the choice of the repetitive area. Tangentially the repetitive area is mirrored on either side of the tangential boundaries. The tangential derivative of the flow component therefore shows in this case data with mostly negative values; in the mirrored section on either side the data would be inversed, showing mostly positive values. With the tangential derivative of the axial component the more extreme values are found in the low flux areas, in the high flux area the derivative values are close to zero, similar to the axial derivative case.



Figure 3.23, the radial and tangential derivatives of the axial velocity components related to the local wall heat flux.

From the numerical comparisons of local flow features to the local wall heat fluxes it can be concluded, as was mentioned before, that the trends that can be indicated are tentative at best. There appears to be no statistical relation between the local flow features and the wall heat flux.

3.3.3.3 Conceptual approach

For a better understanding of the influence of the local flow field on the wall heat flux a more conceptual comparison is required. The flow field that was used to gather the data for the comparative plots in Figure 3.17 through Figure 3.21 was reduced to a cartoon showing only the main flow features. This could then be related to a plot of the wall heat flux by conceptual comparison. In this way a simplified quantitative comparison is combined with an overall view of the situation allowing for a relation of the flow situation to the wall heat flux.

In Figure 3.24b the flow has been divided into several main features, simplifying the flow field shown in Figure 3.24a. The main through-flow in the center of the section is indicated by a darker surface on the bottom of the section, flowing around the spherical particle, to the top of the section. In the wake-area downstream of the sphere, there is a recirculating flow displaying both backflow (negative z velocities) and radial flow towards the wall. The third main feature is a radial flow in the left lower corner, which is part of the recirculating wake flow of a spherical particle located below the indicated section.



Figure 3.24, the unit-cell section used for comparing fluid flow to wall heat flux, a) the flow field expressed in pathlines, b) the simplified expression of the flow field in the fluid section, c) relative wall heat flux in ten gradations. All three are displayed from the viewpoint of an observer looking at the tube wall from outside the bed.

Figure 3.24c shows the wall heat flux in the part of the wall for which the outline is displayed in Figure 3.24a and that comprises the front surface in Figure 3.24b. As can be seen when the heat flux map is related to the basic flow features, there is no dominating

flow direction that causes a higher or lower heat flux through the wall. The lower heat flux is located in the areas where recirculating flow and the main through-flow meet. These transition, or mixing regions are not identified by a particular flow direction, therefore the plots in Figure 3.17 and Figure 3.18 did not show a particular trend.

When the plots from Figure 3.17 and Figure 3.18 are related to the conceptual comparison from Figure 3.24 it can be seen that the fact that backflow was only seen in low wall heat flux areas is related to the fact that backflow only occurs in the sphere wakes which are an essential part of the flow mixing regions. Similarly the negative radial velocities are limited to low flux areas, and are specifically located in the wake flow, near the mixing area in the top right hand corner of the segment.

3.3.4 Concluding

We have seen that data collection from CFD gives a more complete picture of the flow field and heat transfer than can be acquired experimentally. We have also seen that the flood of quantitative data needs to be reduced to comprehensible sizes, and that although it is possible to link this quantitative data of the flow and heat transfer directly it does not necessarily provide more insight in the near-wall heat transfer processes. When we focus on a more conceptual analysis of the flow field and heat transfer data it is possible to indicate relations between the two. The sphere packed bed, showing a repetitive packing structure, facilitated the comparison of heat transfer data and flow field on a unit cell level. The meso-scale comparison combines the high detail of the CFD data with the concept of flow history, and structure to make a sensible relation between local near-wall heat transfer and the near-wall flow situation. To be able to make more generic conclusions about the effects the local flow field has on the near-wall heat transfer it is necessary to compare a range of flow fields and bed structures. The sphere packed beds will be limited in their types of near wall packing features. Logical expansion of the project would be in different catalyst particle geometries, to allow the investigation of the influence of the particle geometry on the near-wall heat transfer.

4. Effects of Particle Geometry

In steam reforming, one of the major aspects of competition between the major producers of catalyst particles is the effective use of the particle design. The number and size of the holes in a cylindrical catalyst particle influence the accessible catalyst surface area, the pressure drop, and the heat transfer effectiveness of the bed. To investigate the influence of the particle geometry on the flow situation in a low-N packed bed, and the effect this has on the near wall heat transfer, several different simulation models were developed. These models had to represent different designs of catalyst particles in different packing situations. By 'particle geometry' in this study, we refer to both the internal and external features of the particle, and the particle situation in the packing.

4.1 Model designs

Several effects of the particle geometry were investigated. To be able to see the effects of these aspects separately a number of simulation models were constructed. The main aspects of the particle geometry that are investigated are the particle orientation in the flow situation, the number of holes that are present in the particle, the size of the holes and the addition of external geometry to the particle. The base particle for these investigations was a cylinder with a length to diameter ratio of 1:1. The cylinders have a length of 1 inch. Additional to the physical particle geometry the effects of the reactor conditions were compared. Boundary condition data for the steam reforming reactor conditions were available at several points in the reactor.

In Table 4.1 all runs are listed in their respective series. In each series there is the base run, which is a simulation with the standard conditions, ws-4hole-1b. This base run uses the cylindrical particles with four longitudinal holes of the standard diameter; the dimensions were taken from the commercially available Synetix steam reforming catalyst. The orientation of the particles in this base run was identical to the orientation in all series, with the exception of the series in which the effects of the particle orientations were investigated. The base run is performed at the physical conditions (temperature, pressure, and composition) corresponding to the reactor inlet.

Table 4.1, overview of simulation series for the investigation of the effects of the particle geometry.

Series	Run	Mesh	Description
Orientation	1	ws-4hole-1b	Base run, original orientation
	2	ws-4hole-2	2 nd orientation
	3	ws-4hole-3	3 rd orientation
Holes	1	ws-4hole-1b	Base run, 4 hole particles
	2	ws-3hole-1b	Three hole particles
	3	ws-1hole-1b	Single hole particles
	4	ws-cyl-1b	Solid cylinders
Hole size	1	ws-4hole-1b	Base run, standard size holes
	2	ws-4smhole-1b	Smaller holes, half flow surface area
External features	1	ws-4hole-1b	Base run, smooth external surface
	2	ws-grooves-1b	External features
Reactor conditions	1	ws-4hole-1b	Base run, at reactor inlet
	2	ws-4hole-1b	At middle of reactor
	3	ws-4hole-1b	At reactor end
Porosity	1	ws-4hole-1b	Base run, 4 holes
	2	ws-1bighole-1b	Same bed porosity, single hole particle

4.1.1 The cylindrical catalyst particle

The particle in the fixed bed that is to be simulated is to resemble the types of particles used in industrial steam reforming reactors. The design of the particle varies from manufacturer to manufacturer, but most design differences are found in the details of the particle. Most steam reforming catalyst is on cylindrical particles with several longitudinal holes. There are design differences in the number and size of the holes and the size of the particle. Particle sizes in steam reforming applications range from 0.4 to 1 inch (~10 to 25 mm). For ease of simulation purposes in our simulations we have chosen a standard cylinder in which the design details vary. As was stated before the base design of the cylindrical particle is a 1 inch high by 1 inch diameter cylinder.

The standard particle in the base run is the Synetix steam-reforming particle with four longitudinal holes. The actual Synetix particle does not have a 1 inch diameter, so for the simulation purposes the size of the holes in the 1 inch particle were based on the particle outer diameter to hole inner diameter ratio. This way the amount of flow blocked by the particle and the amount of flow facilitated through the holes is at an identical ratio.

To be able to make comparisons between different types of particles, and the effects of the design changes, a number of different types of geometric particle design were constructed. A cylindrical particle with three holes was created, a particle with a single hole, and a four-hole particle with narrower holes, allowing for half the flow surface area.

4.1.1.1 Particle design specifics

The base run particle, the four-hole cylindrical particle, was based on the commercially available Synetix steam reforming catalyst. The specifications for the Synetix catalyst, and the modeled catalyst particle are given in Table 4.2. The higher geometric surface area (GSA) on the modeled particle is due to the lower aspect ratio of the particle. The different aspect ratio between the commercial and modeled particles is due to the limited availability of types of cylindrical particles for experimentally determining the packing geometry, which is discussed in paragraph 4.1.2. It was reasoned that keeping the ratio of the hole diameter to the particle diameter was more important in finding the influence of the effect on the flow situation than the geometric surface area.

Table 4.2, 4-hole	e catalyst	particle of	limensions.
-------------------	------------	-------------	-------------

Туре	Outer diameter [m]	Inner diameter [m]	Length [m]	$GSA [m^2/m^3]$
Synetix	0.01395	0.004	0.017	406.8
4-hole	0.0254	0.00728	0.0254	523.9

Creating the 4-hole particle in the CFD model was established by starting with a 1 by 1 (inch) cylinder and placing holes, of 0.1434 inch radius at 0.26 inches off the center on the x and y axes. After placing the cylinder volume, four separate cylindrical volumes are created at 0.1434 inch radius, two of these are then moved along the x-axis, one over +0.26 inches, the other over -0.26 inches. Similarly two hole-volumes are relocated over

the y-axis and all hole-volumes are then subtracted from the cylinder volume to create a 4-hole particle, see Figure 4.1.



Figure 4.1, isometric views of the 4-hole particle.

One of the aspects looked at in the comparisons of the particle geometry is the number of holes in a particle. The other variants in this series are a three-hole cylinder, a single-hole cylinder and a solid cylinder, or zero-hole cylinder. The zero-hole cylinder has no specific design characteristics; it is simply a 1:1 solid cylinder. The 3-hole particle is created with holes of the same size as the holes in the 4-hole particle, a 0.1434 inch radius. The holes are positioned equally distributed around the circumference of the particle, at the same radial position. The first hole is moved along the y-axis at the same distance from the center as was used in the 4-hole particle, 0.26 inch. The other two holes are then positioned by rotating the original hole around the axis of the cylinder by +120 and -120 degrees. The resultant 3-hole particle is shown in Figure 4.2.



Figure 4.2, isometric views of the 3-hole particle.

The single-hole particle is a simple construction; the $r_h = 0.1434$ hole is located at the center of the standard size particle, as shown in Figure 4.3.



Figure 4.3, isometric views of the 1-hole particle.

To investigate the effect of the actual size of the holes another particle design was developed with smaller holes. The size of the holes was based on creating a flow accessible area through the holes that was half of the area available in the standard 4-hole particle. The radius of the smaller hole, r_s is then defined as, $r_s = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}r_h^2}$. This results in a hole-radius for the 4-smholes-particles of 0.1014. The holes are then positioned identically as in the standard 4-hole particle, at 0.26 inches from the center on the x and y-axes. The resultant particle is shown in Figure 4.4.



Figure 4.4, isometric views of the 4smholes particle.

One more feature in the particle design is investigated, the addition of external features. It was chosen to add grooves to the outside geometry of the particle for this investigation, both because Synetix had performed these experiments, and because this

Model designs

would not influence the particle organization in the bed geometry, whereas protuberant features might cause interference in the particles and the need for reorganization. The grooves are located in the outer surface of the cylinder, parallel to the axis of the cylinder. The standard 4-hole particle is the base for this design. The grooves are added by subtracting small radius cylindrical volumes from the standard 4-hole particle. The 1/8th inch diameter (0.0625 inch) groove-volumes are created at the center of the particle, and then moved so the center of the groove-volume is located on the external surface of the particle; a translation on the y-axis of 0.5 inch. The groove-volume is then rotated 45 degrees along the particle axis, so it ends up in between the holes, the volume is then copied three times after an additional 90 degree rotation along the particle axis, resulting in the grooves particle shown in Figure 4.5.



Figure 4.5, isometric views of the grooves particle.

After some of the flow results were obtained, it became fairly obvious that average flow velocities in the geometry were largely determined by the overall porosity of the bed. Naturally a bed consisting of 4-hole particles will have a higher porosity than an identical bed consisting of solid particles. To see the actual effect of the 4-hole particle design, one additional particle design was added to the collection, a singe hole particle with identical bed porosity as the 4-hole particle. To determine the size of the hole in the single large hole particle design a simple mathematical relation can be used. The volume of the single hole will have to be four times larger than the volume of one of the holes in the 4-hole design. The particle length, and therewith the hole-length, remains the same, so to get a four times larger hole-volume the surface area on the flat end needs to be four times as large, which can be accomplished by doubling the hole radius. The resultant particle design is shown in Figure 4.6.



Figure 4.6, isometric views of the 1bighole particle.

4.1.2 The bed geometry

After a particle design geometry is established, the particles need to be packed in the fixed bed. The simulation model size was based on the simulation model created for the N = 4 spheres wall segment model. A 120 degree segment with two axial layers of particles and translational periodic boundaries on the column inlet and outlet was used for the cylindrical particle beds. An experimental orientation study was performed on packing cylindrical particles with a 1:1 ratio in a bed with a tube to cylinder diameter ratio of 4. Dense particle packing structures were created using Synetix' Unidense method. From a large selection of packing structures, see Figure 4.7, several recurring situations were chosen for the different representative bed geometries. Each packing situation, incorporated in a simulation geometry, had to have some common features to be representative, but also needed to be distinctly different from the alternate situations. From Figure 4.7 it can be seen that there are distinct common particle situations near the tube wall; e.g. the 45 degree angled particle, or axially aligned particles. The most common situation was used as a base bed geometry and two alternate orientations were used to perform a comparative study on the influence of the bed geometry. For the comparative study it was of importance that the packing situations were distinctly different.



Figure 4.7, experimental results of random dense packing of 1:1 cylindrical particles in an N = 4 bed.

	1	1	•	
Mod	el	de	2S1	gns

A description will be given for the construction of the base bed geometry, shown in Figure 4.8, using generic cylindrical particles; the bed structure was kept identical for all the different particle designs. The principle of constructing the bed geometry remains the same when the particle orientations are changed (for a complete set of step by step instructions for creating any of the bed geometries see Appendix 2: Geometric design in GAMBIT).



Figure 4.8, the base bed geometry with the standard 4-hole particles, ws-4hole-1b.

The single particle of any of the designs described in paragraph 4.1.1.1 is copied and placed in the wall segment geometry to create the bed geometry. The geometry is so designed as to create a packed bed environment for a single central particle in the geometry. The particle in the middle row of the three axial rows of particles, and located tangentially near the center of the segment model is the main particle. Most of the other particles in the geometry are not entirely in the wall segment geometry. The particles in the row of the three axial segment geometry.

restricted in their placement, as they have to have identical locations to satisfy the translational periodic boundary conditions.

The most common orientation of cylindrical particles near the wall of the column in a randomly packed bed of particles is an orientation with the round surface of the cylinder against the wall of the column with the particle axis at an angle with the axis of the column, see Figure 4.7. To represent this most common orientation the base geometry was created with the main particle axis at a 45 degree angle with the column axis, as is shown in Figure 4.8. The peripheral particles were then placed in the geometry, based on situations encountered in the physical examples, allowing for the periodic boundary conditions.

Two additional bed geometries were created with the same design idea, a random bed packing around a centrally located particle. The orientation of the central particle in the geometries was designed to be distinctly different from the other orientations, creating a unique packing structure. The resultant bed structures are shown in Figure 4.9.



Figure 4.9, additional bed geometries a) ws-4hole-2 and b) ws-4hole-3.

4.1.3 Standard boundary conditions

As was mentioned before, one of the bed geometries, orientation 1b represented in Figure 4.8, with one of the particle designs, the four-hole particle, was chosen as a

37 11	1 .
Model	dectone
widuci	ucorgno

standard case for the comparative studies. Additionally a set of boundary conditions had to be defined for the standard case, i.e. temperature, pressure and gas phase properties.

In each case a series of boundary conditions has to be applied before simulation. The reactor condition determines the set of simulation boundary conditions; as was stated in Table 4.1, the reactor condition is one of the points of investigation. As a standard set of boundary conditions reactor condition 1 was chosen. These are the conditions at the reactor inlet. This specific reactor condition is chosen, as this is the section in the reactor where the most improvement in heat transfer can be achieved. At the reactor inlet the temperature gradients are largest, so the effects of the changing conditions can be best seen.

The specified conditions at the reactor inlet are obtained from Synetix, as typical conditions found in a steam reforming system. Reactor conditions at different positions in the reactor were also supplied, two additional ones were chosen as conditions 2 and 3 for the simulations. The reactor conditions, and the applied boundary conditions in the simulations are shown in Table 4.3.

Cond.	Reactor	Т	q_{wall}	Р	ρ	Cp	$\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{f}}$	μ	Vin
	position	[K]	$[kW/m^2]$	[kPa]	$[kg/m^3]$	[J/kg·K]	$[W/m \cdot K]$	[Pa·s]	[m/s]
1	Inlet	824.15	113.3	2,159	6.1616	2395.38	0.0876	3.10-5	3.86
2	40%	1019.05	117.3	2,110	3.8615	2658.56	0.1685	$3.78 \cdot 10^{-5}$	6.16
3	Outlet	1153.15	26.4	2,000	2.8413	2761.11	0.2156	$4.3 \cdot 10^{-5}$	8.38

There are four boundary conditions applied to the system under reactor condition 1, corresponding to conditions at the inlet. First, there is the heat flux at the wall, q_{wall} , the total amount of energy added to the system through the tube wall. It is known from pyrometer-type observations of industrial reformers that temperatures on the external tube walls are not constant. The energy supply from the shell side is mainly radiative, this suggests that constant flux boundary conditions are more likely to be realistic than constant temperature. Second is the pressure of the system, steam reforming happens at high pressures, at the inlet it is defined as 2,159 kPa, approximately 20 atmospheres. The

Model designs

other two boundary conditions, the temperature, T, and the superficial velocity, v_{in} , are better defined as initial conditions, as they will not remain constant during the iteration. Both the initial conditions are applied to the fluid phase; the temperature condition is also applied to the solid phase, the particles in the bed. The other variables noted in Table 4.3, the fluid properties, ρ , c_p , k_f , and μ , are applied to a user created fluid. Instead of simulating all the species in the steam reforming process a single fluid is defined with the properties of the reaction mixture. The method of simulation, i.e. a steady state periodic section of the bed, allows for a single mixture fluid approach. As the simulated situation represents a steady state and differential part of the bed it is not necessary to simulate all the species separately. By defining a single mixture fluid the number of balances is drastically reduced and simulation times are improved tremendously. The fluid properties are adjusted for the different reactor conditions that are simulated, as is stated in Table 4.3.

Fluid properties, and physical conditions in the reactor tube change over the length of the reactor as the steam reforming process is progressing. At the reactor inlet the reaction mixture is composed of mostly reactants, the temperatures are relatively mild as the entering gases are at lower temperatures, and the heat flux through the tube wall is high, since there is a large temperature difference between the inside and outside of the packed tube. Further downstream in the reactor, the reaction mixture is composed of less reactants and more products as the steam reforming process is progressing, this alters the gas mixture properties. Temperatures rise throughout the reactor as more energy is added to the system. Superficial gas velocities increase considerably through the reactor because the products are less dense gases than the reactants.

The energy balance includes conduction and convection. From trial simulations, which included the Fluent Discrete Transfer Radiation Model, it was shown that the contribution of radiation is insignificant when compared to the conductive and especially the convective heat transfer processes. Thus, radiation was neglected in the simulations.

4.2 Conceptual analysis

Resulting from the data analysis done in chapter 3, a new conceptual way of evaluating the data had to be established to find the effects of particle geometry on the near-wall heat transfer. A conceptual method of relating near-wall flow features to the wall heat transfer was developed. With this method the particle geometry (its orientation and shape) can be related to the near wall heat transfer through the local flow situation. The geometry of the particle determines the local flow situation, which determines the convective and conductive properties of the bed locally.

For the simulations in the packed beds of cylinders steam reforming boundary conditions were applied and the analysis method had to be adapted to the new situation. When simulations are performed in 'reforming conditions' energy supply is defined by a constant energy flux through the tube wall, instead of a constant tube wall temperature, as was the case in the 'laboratory conditions' used in the sphere packed beds. A contour map of heat flux through the wall, as was shown in Figure 3.15, cannot be used in these comparisons because in this case the heat flux through the tube wall is defined. The result from the constant heat flux boundary condition is a temperature map on the tube wall.

We can, however, find an effectiveness of the heat transfer into the bed in the nearwall region, from the defined constant flux through the wall, and the resultant temperature on the wall. Simply put, wherever the temperature on the wall is high the local heat transfer effectiveness is low, and where the wall temperature is low the local heat transfer effectiveness is high, which is desirable. Since the total flux through the wall is defined as constant, in energy flow per surface area, W/m^2 , the local heat transfer effectiveness has to be a function of the situation in the packed bed. The thermal situation in the bed, in industrial practice, is defined by the bed geometry (i.e. the flow situation), the catalyst activity, and the gas conditions. Since the prime focus of this work is the effect of the bed geometry, the other aspects that may influence the thermal situation had to be eliminated, therefore the gas properties and total throughput are kept constant and the reaction has been eliminated. We can now link the temperature profile on the wall of the column directly to the flow situation in the near-wall region. Since the cylinder simulation geometries do not have a repetitive structure, the entire wall area is used to look for relations between flow features and local wall temperatures, as opposed to the case of spheres.

To find the influence of the particle geometry, and the particle geometry alone, on the resultant temperature profile, the comparative simulations were set up as pure heat transfer simulations. A packed bed is heated through a flux through the tube wall, the pressures and temperatures, as well as the physical conditions of the fluid phase were kept identical to those found in steam reforming. Now the comparison between the effectiveness of the different particle orientations on the heat transfer into the bed is directly related to the final temperature in the bed, as the energy penetration into the bed is only influenced by the particle geometry and the flow field associated with that.

4.2.1 Particle orientations

When looking at the influence of the particle orientations on the near-wall heat transfer it is necessary to take a step back when comparing temperature profiles on the wall. In the comparative study performed on the fixed bed of spheres, in paragraph 3.3, a small recurring element of the bed was focused on. The packed bed of cylinders does not allow for focusing on a subpart of the geometry since it does not have a similar repetitive structure. Especially when comparisons are made between flow geometries with different packing structures it is necessary to take a broader view. The bed structure has a large influence on the flow patterns. It is, therefore, necessary to look at overall trends in these comparisons. It is not useful to look at details of the geometry, since they will not be comparable in the different geometries. This comparison does, however, give us the best opportunity to look how the packing structure influences the flow profiles, as we will encounter many different packing situations.

Summarizing, the comparison between the different bed geometries will give us the best insight in the relation between the packing structure and the flow features, but will give us only indications on which geometries are most advantageous for good heat transfer. It needs to also be taken into account that the packing structure is the aspect of randomly packed beds that is hardest to control.

For each of the three different orientations a number of standard plots are given in the figures below, a velocity contour plot, two pathline plots at different distances from the wall and a temperature map of the wall. To more easily identify areas, which are discussed in the comparison descriptions, coordinates of axial position and arc length will be used when referring to the temperature contour map. Since the pathline pictures show the flow situation in the bed it was more appropriate to leave the three-dimensional structure intact. A similar display was applied when the comparisons were done in the sphere geometry. To indicate flow structures in the pathline plots they will be identified by the particles that they are near to or caused by. To easily identify the particles the numbering of the original model creation was used, it is given in Table A. 1 in the appendices on page 252, as well as in Figure 4.10 for the wall adjacent particles.



Figure 4.10, wall adjacent particle numbering in the three different bed geometries.

4.2.1.1 The ws-4hole-1b geometry

The first geometry discussed is the base case, the 1b orientation of the 4-hole particles, shown in Figure 4.8. A general description of the plots used for all three orientations will be given together with an analysis of the specific case.

Figure 4.11 and Figure 4.12 show a contour plot of and a selection of pathlines released in the r = 0.045 plane. The radius of the column is 2 inches (0.0508 m), making the r = 0.045 plane at about 89 % of the total radius, the boundary of the near-wall region. The contour plot will show the axial velocities in all the elements in the r = 0.045 plane, for the pathline plot only a selection of elements was used from which to release pathlines. If pathlines were released from all elements in the plane, an unclear picture results, with many pathlines obscuring the flow field. A pathline usually does not stay in the plane it is released from, so a collection of pathlines will give a picture of the flow in a certain volume near the release surface. In Figure 4.12 we can see that some of the pathlines move in front of the center particle (between the particle and the column wall) comparing this with the contour map it can be seen that the r = 0.045 plane is not in that area. The axial velocity contour map will show the entire plane and the velocities at each position, but it does not show the three-dimensional structure of the flow.

A feature that can be identified in both Figure 4.11 and Figure 4.12 is the region of relatively fast axial flow near the left hand side of the figures, between the axially aligned particles 4 and 5 at the periodic boundary. The r = 0.045 plane intersects with one of the four holes in these particles. The holes in both particles line up, due to the periodic boundary condition constraints, and therefore create a region of bypassing flow through the holes in the particles. Another point where similarities between the contour map and the pathline plot can be found is near the center particle on the right hand side of the flow from the plane, causing similar displays in the color map of the local flow features.



Figure 4.11, contour plot of axial fluid velocities in the r=0.045 plane of the ws-4hole-1b geometry, colored by axial velocity in [m/s].



Figure 4.12, selected pathlines released in the r=0.045 plane in the ws-4hole-1b geometry, colored by axial velocity in [m/s].



Figure 4.13, selected pathlines released in the r=0.05 plane in the ws-4hole-1b geometry, colored by axial velocity in [m/s].



Figure 4.14, column wall temperature map for the base 4-hole cylinder packing, ws-4hole-1b, scale in [K].

In Figure 4.13 a pathline plot is shown with pathlines released from the r = 0.05 plane, at approximately 98 % of the total radius. Together with Figure 4.12 this pathline plot gives a fairly complete view of the flow behavior in the near wall region.

When we look at Figure 4.13 we see a flow situation where most of the flow has a strong axial component, whereas Figure 4.12 shows a number of areas with circulating and reverse flow, the wake flow. We find more wake flow in Figure 4.12 because the r = 0.045 plane is located further into the bed where the presence of the particles is more pronounced, i.e. the bed porosity decreases away from the wall. When we look at particle 8 we see, in Figure 4.12, that the flow in the holes is very slow and circulates in the hole. Figure 4.13 shows that the flow between the particle and the wall is not disturbed, indicating that the flow inside the holes of this particle has no influence on the exterior flow near the particle, and is probably caused by the fluid movement along the ends of the holes.

Inspecting the temperature contour map in Figure 4.14 we find a couple of extreme areas, one hot spot and a number of colder spots in a further fairly moderate temperature distribution. The cold spot just left of the middle in the center of the map (S-coordinate 0.04-0.05, Z-coordinate 0.02-0.03) corresponds with the position of the curved section of the particle 2. In this area the flow is of average velocity, but has a uniform direction and a reasonable radial component. As it moves around the particle, away from the wall, a large portion is directed into one of the holes of the downstream particle 3. The strong flow along and then away from the wall would create a considerable convective energy flow into the bed, creating the cooler spot on the wall.

When we look at the hot spot near the left side of the column wall (S-coordinate 0.01, Z-coordinate 0.02-0.03) and look at the corresponding flow geometry, again a strong uniform flow structure can be found, but the radial component of this flow is minimal, as the fluid moves around particle 9 in between the column wall and particle 5 it is combined with fluid from the bed internals (coming from the holes of particle 4 and being redirected by the bottom wall of particle 9). The energy being put into the system can now not be taken into the bed, as the flow is parallel to the column wall and a hot

spot results. A similar feature can be found near the right hand side of the temperature plot (S-coordinate 0.08-0.09) where a flow structure moves parallel to the wall with little radial component as the flow moves around particle 8 in the geometry. The lack of radial components in this flow structure makes it impossible to transfer the energy into the bed resulting in a bad energy uptake, and a higher wall temperature. A similar feature is found around particles 1, 2, and 3 and S-coordinate 0.05-0.06.

The cool spot near the right hand side (S-coordinate 0.09-0.1, Z-coordinate 0-0.03) is a result of the radial flow into the bed as a result of the flow around particle 6 as well as from the flow splitting caused by particle 8. The flow between particle 8 and the column wall seems to have a good energy uptake as the wall temperature in this area is relatively low, further downstream in the bed this flow structure seems to warm up and is unable to release the energy into the bed due to lack of radial flow into the bed. Both particle 3 and particle 7 force flow from the bed internals back towards the wall towards the top of the flow model. The radial flow towards the column wall results in a low energy uptake at the wall, resulting in a warmer area near the top of the model. Naturally this is also caused by the temperature increase throughout the bed as we move further downstream in the model.

4.2.1.2 The ws-4hole-2 geometry

The results for the first alternate orientation, ws-4hole-2, are shown in Figure 4.15, through Figure 4.18. The first two figures in this series show the difference between the contour plot of and the pathlines released in the same plane. From Figure 4.15 it is clear that the fluid inside the holes of particle 1, which are perpendicular to the main flow direction, is almost stagnant. The pathlines inside the holes in Figure 4.16 are very short, indicating the small distance traveled by the fluid, and have a color corresponding to a low velocity magnitude. Again we find an area of bypassing flow through aligned particle holes, between particles 3 and 4. Also we find a considerable amount of reverse flow, both in Figure 4.15 and Figure 4.16, in the wake areas of the particles.



Figure 4.15, contour plot of axial fluid velocities in the r=0.045 plane of the ws-4hole-2 geometry, colored by axial velocity in [m/s].



Figure 4.16, selected pathlines released in the r=0.045 plane in the ws-4hole-2 geometry, colored by axial velocity in [m/s].



Figure 4.17, selected pathlines released in the r=0.05 plane in the ws-4hole-2 geometry, colored by axial velocity in [m/s].



Figure 4.18, column wall temperature map for the first alternate 4-hole cylinder packing, ws-4hole-2, scale in [K].

Conceptual analysis

The temperature contour map of Figure 4.18 shows two hot spots and two larger areas of lower wall temperatures. The hot spots are located near similar parts of the bed geometry. The hot spot on the left hand side (S-coordinate 0.03, Z-coordinate 0.01-0.02) is located near the point where particle 3 is closest to the column wall, at its top-end. The second hot spot (S-coordinate 0.09-0.1, Z-coordinate 0.03-0.04) is located near the topend of particle 7, which has exactly the same orientation as particle 3, both particles are oriented with their axes parallel to the column axis. Particle 4 in orientation 1b has a comparable orientation, however, this particle has a slight angle to the column axis, and it shows a similar hot spot near its downstream end. The typical flow situation at the downstream end of a particle with its axis parallel to the flow direction is a considerable amount of wake flow, a recirculation of fluid. It is expected that adding longitudinal holes in the particle can reduce this effect. The flow channels parallel to the flow direction effectively decrease the area in which the wake flow takes place, by reducing obstructing area of the particle. At the downstream end of the particle, however, the separated flow is now merged again. Flow through the holes is added to the flow around the particle, leaving less opportunity for radial flow into the bed at the downstream end of the particle. This effect is better studied in paragraph 4.2.2 where the effect of the number of holes in the particle is investigated.

Near the center of the wall temperature plot (S-coordinate 0.045-0.06, Z-coordinate 0.015-0.04) a familiar profile is found, caused by the situation of particle 1 in the flow. A similar pattern can be discerned in Figure 4.14 (S-coordinate 0.08-0.1, Z-coordinate 0.015-0.04). Particle 8 in ws-4hole-1b is oriented identically to particle 1 in ws-4hole-2 with respect to the column wall; it is therefore expected to see similar patterns. The patterns are not identical, because the overall flow situation is not identical.

Looking at the pathlines in Figure 4.17, we can identify three areas with strong uniform axial flow close to the column wall. These are areas of bypassing flow in the void areas near the wall created by particles 2, 3, and 4, along the left hand side of particle 1, and to the right hand side of particle 7. The corresponding sections in the temperature map (along the height of the column with respective S-coordinates, 0.02,

0.04, and 0.1) show a warmer area indicating an area with little energy uptake into the bed.

In the temperature map there are two main cold areas, at the left hand side of the geometry (S-coordinate 0-0.01) and near particle 5 (S-coordinate 0.06-0.08, Z-coordinate 0-0.02).

The area near particle 5 on the right hand side of particle 1 is distinctly different from the other side of particle 1. A similar flow structure is encountered at either side of particle 1, influenced by the symmetry of particle 1. The surrounding structure of the bed, however, creates completely different circumstances on either side of particle 1. On the left hand side there is reasonably strong axial flow, in the bed interior, from the bypassing flow through the holes in particles 3 and 4. This strong axial flow in the bed interior does not allow for much radial transport of fluid, and energy, on the left hand side of particle 1. On the right hand side, the situation is quite different. There is a void present between particle 1 and particle 7 and radial flow into the bed is promoted along the outer surface of particle 5. This situation allows for more radial transport into the bed and lower wall temperatures. Another distinct feature in this area is the inverted Y structure of warmer wall temperatures. In this area two streams along the wall are merged as they are diverged by respectively particles 1 and 7. The resultant merged stream moves along the wall, and as it warms so does the segment wall.

The second cold area, on the left hand side of the geometry, is very large. In this area, as can be seen in Figure 4.16 there is a lot of spiraling flow both upstream and downstream of particle 2. This type of flow causes a lot of convective energy transport into the bed, but it is an artifact of the segment geometry and the boundary conditions. Particles 11 and 12 that straddle the periodic boundary are angled at 45 degrees, but the upstream part of the particle is outside the segment modeled, causing the holes to be dead ends. The resulting spiraling flow that exists upstream of particle 2 is an artifact of these conditions, and so is the resultant temperature profile. The spiraling flow downstream of particle 2 is genuine but the corresponding wall temperatures are influenced by the upstream conditions.



Figure 4.19, contour plot of axial fluid velocities in the r=0.045 plane of the ws-4hole-3 geometry, colored by axial velocity in [m/s].



Figure 4.20, selected pathlines released in the r=0.045 plane in the ws-4hole-3 geometry, colored by axial velocity in [m/s].



Figure 4.21, selected pathlines released in the r=0.045 plane in the ws-4hole-3 geometry, colored by axial velocity in [m/s].



Figure 4.22, column wall temperature map for the second alternate 4-hole cylinder packing, ws-4hole-3, scale in [K].

4.2.1.3 The ws-4hole-3 geometry

The flow and wall temperature results for the second alternate bed geometry, ws-4hole-3, are pictured in Figure 4.19 through Figure 4.22. We can recognize some features that were identified before. The hot spot (S-coordinate 0.06-0.07, Z-coordinate 0.005-0.015) is located near the downstream end of particle 2, a particle oriented with its axis parallel to the column axis, identically to the particles where we saw hot spots in the other geometries. In Figure 4.21, several strongly uniform axial flow structures can be identified, all of which correspond to warm regions on the wall temperature map (S-coordinates 0.05-0.06, 0.07-0.08, 0.1). Especially interesting in this case is the angled flow structure entering the geometry near particle 5 in the bottom, and exiting between particles 6 and 3. This strongly uniformly directed flow structure along the column wall would be expected to cause a relatively warm area on the column wall. Indeed a streak of relatively warm temperatures can be found on the wall temperature map following the same path as the flow structure (from S-coordinate 0.02, Z-coordinate 0.01 to S-coordinate 0.05, Z-coordinate 0.05). This diagonal structure is also part of an inverted Y structure, as we saw in the ws-4hole-2 geometry.

Both particle 4 and particle 7 have a similar orientation as particle 1 in ws-4hole-1b, and both these particles show a relatively cool area, as was found to be the case with particle 1 in ws-4hole-1b. The strong cold spot in the right hand side of the geometry originates from a similar packing anomaly we saw in the ws-4hole-2 geometry, an angled particle in both the periodic and symmetry boundary. These particles, although equipped with four holes, act more like solid cylinder particles due to the fact that no flow is forced through the holes, as the upstream end of the particle is not in the simulated part of the geometry. Additionally particle 7 intersects the symmetry wall, making the lowest hole in this particle a virtual dead end, enhancing the radial inward flow at the bottom of this particle, causing a lower local wall temperature.

The specific orientation of the bed in this geometry causes a significant amount of reversed axial flow, in the wakes of the particles. The axial velocity contour map, Figure 4.19, shows several areas with significant negative axial flow. Figure 4.20, the pathline

map at r = 0.045 also shows many wake flow structures downstream of the particles. When we compare the 45 degree angled particles in the ws-4hole-3 geometry with the ones in the ws-4hole-1b geometry we see that they are reversed. The ws-4hole-3 angled structure feeds flow from the adjacent bed into the next angled particle, whereas the ws-4hole-1b angled structure feeds flow from the previous angled particle into the adjacent bed. The orientation in ws-4hole-3 causes considerably more wake flow to be present than is encountered in ws-4hole-1b.

4.2.1.4 Concluding the bed orientation comparisons

After reviewing the three bed orientations we can draw some general conclusions on the influence of the bed geometry on the heat transfer in the near wall region. A series of different packing situations were encountered, with each their specific results. In this concluding paragraph we can, as was already done in the individual comparisons, draw parallels between similar conditions in the bed and summarize some of the features that were seen. With just a conceptual analysis as it is described in this section it is hard to identify a single bed geometry that performed better overall, but we can identify specific particle orientations, or combinations of particles that enhance or worsen the local heat transfer phenomena.

In the different geometries we saw that in areas with predominantly axial flow along the wall, local bypassing flows, the wall temperatures are generally warm, indicating poor heat transfer into the bed. These features are found in all of the three bed geometries, as an effect of the higher bed porosity near the tube wall. Although unavoidable in any type of packing it is suggested to try to break up these bypassing flows by ensuring variation in the packing structure, and therewith breaking the channels created by the packing voids.

The positions of hot spots on the wall were related directly to a very specific particle orientation. Cylindrical particles aligned with the tube will show a hot spot at their downstream end. These specific particle orientations have a line of contact with the tube wall, where most cylindrical particles will have only one or two contact points with the tube wall. In the region along the line of contact, fluid flow is relatively slow and unidirectional, gradually heating the fluid, resulting in a poorer heat transfer from the wall into the fluid. At the downstream end of the particle the fluid is then joined with the fluid flowing through the holes in the particle (which is a considerable amount since the holes are aligned with the main flow direction) and little radial transport of the fluid into the bed is accomplished. The effect is less strong in ws-4hole-1b as particle 4 is at a slight angle with the tube axis, which causes there to be no line of contact between the particle and the tube wall.

Low wall temperatures, an indication of good radial heat transfer, are found in areas where the packing allows for radial flow components. These areas can be found where particles are placed with their round wall against the tube wall, and at an angle with the main flow direction. This is for example the case with particle 1 in ws-4hole-3 and particle 5 in ws-4hole-2. But it also works with particle 2 in ws-4hole-1b, indicating that angles less than 90 degrees are also effective. This is important, since particles 1 and 5 in respectively orientation 3 and 2 show virtually no flow in the holes, rendering the additional reactive surface area useless.

4.2.2 Number of longitudinal holes

The geometries compared in this section all have the same orientation, it is now possible to look in more detail to the effects the different particle designs have on the flow features, and how this influences the near wall heat transfer. We can focus on a smaller segment of the model for comparisons, since the overall structure of the bed is unchanged. We will also look at a global comparison of the overall heat transfer effectiveness of the four different types of particle geometry designs that are included in this comparison.

4.2.2.1 Global overview of wall temperature

By comparing the overall temperature maps of the four different particle designs compared in this section, some general conclusions can be drawn concerning the global

Conceptual analysis

effect of increasing the number of longitudinal holes in the particles. Figure 4.23 shows the column wall temperature maps of the identical bed geometries with particles with decreasing amount of longitudinal holes, 4, 3, 1, and 0 respectively. The temperature map for the 4hole-1b geometry in Figure 4.23 is identical to Figure 4.14, as they both are the temperature maps for the base case with the standard conditions, the only difference is the temperature scale used. The temperature scale is adjusted to facilitate the specific comparison that is made, so that the scales on all the temperature maps that are being compared are identical. Since the temperature extremes in the ws-cylinder-1b, the solid cylinder geometry are higher the scale for this comparison had to be adjusted, temperatures range from 830 K to 1030 K.



Figure 4.23, column wall temperature maps for the four different particle designs, temperature scales are normalized to the largest range in [K].

In the direct comparison of the temperature maps in Figure 4.23 we see many recurring features that were already identified in the 4hole-1b geometry, when the different bed orientations were investigated. We see in the four wall temperature maps, that qualitative features from the bypassing streams are maintained, although magnitudes
may differ between the different geometries with different numbers of holes in the particles. A very distinct difference is found in the on the right hand side of the geometry where the solid cylinder geometry predicts a distinctly lower wall temperature than all other geometries.

To look at more detail at the magnitude and size of the hot spots an alternate version of Figure 4.23 is displayed in Figure 4.24. For this comparison the smallest range of temperatures was used, ranging from 830 K to 970 K. By imposing this smaller range the warmer areas in the geometry are more emphasized, showing the areas with poor heat transfer.



Figure 4.24, column wall temperature maps for the four different particle designs, temperature scales are normalized to the smallest range in [K].

From Figure 4.24 we see that the locations of the poor heat transfer areas are in the same general locations in all four geometries. The relative magnitude of the warmer areas differs per geometry. The 4hole and 3hole geometry show most similarities in hot spot magnitudes, although the hot spot at the left hand side of the geometry is definitely more pronounced in the 4-hole geometry. The single hole geometry has its poorest heat transfer

in the axial feature near S-coordinate 0.05, the solid cylinder geometry has the poorest heat transfer in the same area as the 4hole geometry although the shapes of the hot spots are distinctly different.

4.2.2.2 Direct comparison of the local flow situation

To be able to make a more detailed comparison the flow geometry is divided in 4 equal size sections along the arc-length of the column segment. The sections are numbered one through four from left to right on the column wall, when viewed from outside the bed geometry normal to the column wall.

The main goal of altering the number of longitudinal holes in the particle design is to see the effects of the design changes on the flow situation in the bed. When we identify the effect of the particle design on the flow situation, we can explain the effects in the energy solution as a function of the particle design, related through the flow situation. In Figure 4.25 the pathlines for the flow situations of the number-1 sections of the four different particle geometries are shown. The scales on the four separate plots differ, since the range of the flow velocities differs in the different simulations. The total mass flux through the system was kept constant in all four cases, resulting in different velocity ranges for the different particle designs. The more holes in a particle the larger the overall porosity of the bed, and the lower the velocity extremes. It was decided to keep the overall mass flux equal throughout the simulations, and not the particle Reynolds number, to see the effect of the particle design change in identical process conditions. Also noteworthy is that there is no significant difference in the maximum reverse flow velocity.



Figure 4.25, comparison of flow situations related to particles with a different amount of holes, section 1; pathlines are colored by axial velocities in [m/s], scale to the left of each figure.

From the pathline plots it does become clear that the total amount of reverse flow in the particle designs with fewer holes is larger. The larger amount of reverse flow is caused by the larger wake areas downstream of the particles and more redirection of the flow 'hitting' the flat upstream end of the particle. It is also one of the main reasons that the maximum axial velocity is larger when fewer holes are present in the particles. The lower overall porosity of the bed forces the flow faster through the less porous bed to maintain the defined mass flux through the system.

Looking specifically at the wake behind particle 9 in the four different flow pictures, we see that on each of the geometries there is a considerable amount of wake flow. Although holes are present in particle 9, the upstream 'entrance' of the holes falls outside the modeled geometry, behind the symmetry wall, and therefore no flow comes through the holes in particle 9. It does however provide the wake flow with a break not redirecting it back into the axial near wall flow feature. When we also take into account particle 5, which will enhance the wake flow behind particle 9 in the solid cylinder case, but give an alternate route for the flow in the cases with holes, we find an explanation for the extreme hot spot in this area in the solid cylinder case.

Figure 4.26 shows the pathlines for the flow situations in section 2 of the four different particle designs. In this comparison the difference between the zero-hole and four-hole particle flow situations is dramatic. The flow coming around particle 2 and flowing into the bottom of particle 3 is strongly diverted in the zero-hole case, but in the four-hole case most of the flow moves through the holes of particle 3. The single-hole particle shows some diversion and some flow through the hole, the three-hole particle shows a very similar picture to the four-hole. This flow feature matches up with the temperature maps shown in Figure 4.23 and Figure 4.24. The band of higher temperature at S-coordinate 0.05 matching the axial bypassing feature show considerable stronger hot spots in the solid cylinder and 1hole cases. These hot spots at S = 0.05, Z = 0.04 correspond with the strong reversed flow at the bottom of particle 3, and are expectedly less pronounced in the 3hole and 4hole cases.



Figure 4.26, comparison of flow situations related to particles with a different amount of holes, section 2; pathlines are colored by axial velocity in [m/s], scale to the left of each figure.

Another feature displayed in Figure 4.26 is the mixing of the flow from the holes through particle 2 with the flow around the exterior of the particle. In the 3hole and 4hole cases a spiraling mixing flow feature can be identified, this feature is not present in the solid cylinder and 1hole cases. This mixing directs the flow from the holes in particle 2 towards the wall in this area. When we compare the area (S-coordinate 0.03-0.04, Z-coordinate 0.04-0.05) in the four different temperature maps, it is best visible in Figure 4.24; we see slightly higher temperature in the 3hole and 4hole cases, compared to the 1hole and solid cylinder cases. Differences in this area are very small.

If we match up the flow area depicted in section 3, Figure 4.27, with the corresponding section on the temperature contour maps (S-coordinate 0.05-0.08), we can identify a trend. The more holes that are present in the particles in the geometry, the worse is the overall heat transfer into the bed in section 3. From the flow picture we can see that the main feature in this section is the void between particle 2 and particle 8. Another participant in the flow behavior in this section is particle 1; the holes in this particle are 'aimed' at particle 8. As more holes are present in the particles more flow is directed towards particle 8 and the tube wall. With more flow through particle 1 there is less inward radial divergence of the flow in section 3. In the solid cylinder geometry we see a spiraling flow through the void between particles 2 and 8, as more holes are present in the particles this feature disappears and only axial flow is found in the 4hole and 3hole geometries. Secondly there is a flow drawn into the holes of particle 3. The flow feature moves along the outside surface of particle 2 into the holes of particle 3. This feature can also be identified in Figure 4.26. If fewer holes are present in the particle design the amount of flow drawn into particle 3 reduces considerably. In the solid cylinder case, there is even a strong reversed flow noticeable, as there is no other place for this fluid to go. Also in the single hole geometry this reversed flow can be identified. The reversed axial flow also forces flow into the bed in section 3, increasing the radial heat transfer effectiveness in this area.



Figure 4.27, comparison of flow situations related to particles with a different amount of holes in section 3; pathlines are colored by axial velocity in [m/s], scale to the left of each figure.



Figure 4.28, comparison of flow situations related to particles with a different amount of holes in section 4; pathlines are colored by axial velocity in [m/s], scale to the left of each figure.

The flow features in Figure 4.28 show little to no difference between the different geometries, as the holes in the particles are not participating in the flow field. Figure 4.23 and Figure 4.24, however, show a distinctly better heat transfer picture in the solid cylinder geometry. As the flow features in this case cannot explain the differences in heat transfer it must be determined by the larger thermal mass of the solid particle compared to the particles with holes. The flow field is involved slightly through the features mentioned in section 3, the flow through the holes in particle 1 allow less radial transport along the bottom of particle 8.

4.2.3 Size of the holes

One of several issues in designing a catalytic particle with holes, is the size of the holes in the particle. For structural reasons the holes cannot be too big, this would result in the particles breaking, either during the loading of the column, or due to the thermal stress during the operation. When the particles break apart, the bed packs more densely, increasing the pressure drop and making the specific bed uneconomical. On the other hand, when the holes in the particle are too narrow, they do not have their full intended effect of increasing the geometric surface area, the overall bed porosity (lowering the pressure drop), and the mixing of the flow, by moving the fluid phase laterally through the bed.

The comparison made in this section is between the holes in the base run and a particle design in which the flow area of the holes (the area they occupy on the flat face of the cylinder) is halved. A second comparison in a single hole particle is made between the standard size 1hole particle and a big hole particle with a hole size 4 times that of the standard hole size. This factor four was chosen to also make a third comparison between the big hole particle geometry and the standard 4hole geometry, which have the same overall porosity, to see the effect of increasing the number of holes without changing the overall bed porosity.

4.2.3.1 Effect of actual hole size

Figure 4.29 shows the segment wall temperature maps for the four different cases discussed in varying the hole size in the particle design. All maps are created using the same temperature scale, for easier comparisons. One of the differences that can be noted in the different temperature maps is the different Z-coordinate scale on the standard hole size maps and the adjusted hole size maps. The beds in the adjusted hole-size geometries were moved in their entirety to deal with some convergence issues in the simulations. The bed geometry itself was not altered, nor was the periodic length, or the total height of the geometry. This adjustment can also be noted in the flow comparisons between the different geometries.



Figure 4.29, temperature maps for the four different geometries with varying hole sizes, scale in [K].

When comparing the temperature maps of the standard 4hole geometry and the geometry with the smaller holes, we note a reduction of the intensity of the hot spot on left hand side of the geometry (S-coordinate 0.01, Z-coordinate 0.02-0.03) as the size of the holes in the particles decreases. It was mentioned before, when the effect of the

number of holes was discussed, that this hot spot is caused by merging of the flow along the tube wall, with the flow coming from the holes in particle 4. When the size of the holes is reduced the flow from the holes of particle 4 is reduced, leaving more room for radial flow along particle 9, see also Figure 4.30, facilitating better heat transfer into the bed and causing the hot spot to be less intense.



Figure 4.30, comparison of flow situations in the 4hole and 4smholes geometries in section 1; pathlines are colored by axial velocity in [m/s], scale to the left of each figure.

Another feature, pointing back to the discussion of the geometries with a changing number of holes, is the overall slightly better heat transfer (lower wall temperatures) in the right hand side half (sections 3 and 4). This is, again, related to the amount of flow carried through the holes in the particles, in this case particle 1. The flow through the holes of particle 1 is directed towards the tube wall in sections 3 and 4, and particle 8. Flow pictures comparing the flow in the standard 4hole and the 4smholes geometries in sections 3 and 4 are given in Figure 4.31.



Figure 4.31, comparison of flow situations in the 4hole and 4smholes geometries in sections 3 and 4; pathlines are colored by axial velocity in [m/s], scale to the left of each figure.

Flow from the holes of particle 1 tends to move around the outside of particle 8 and then form the spiraling feature downstream of particle 8. This is combined with the flow diverged by the upstream end of particle 2, reducing the effect of the overall feature. As more or larger holes are used the flow from the holes of particle 1 is increased but the flow diverged by particle 2 is reduced. The 4smholes geometry also increases the reversed flow feature at the upstream side of particle 3, this feature was also pointed out in the comparisons between the geometries with different numbers of holes. The larger reversed flow promotes more radial flow in section 3 leading to better heat transfer properties in this region.



Figure 4.32, comparison of flow situations in the 1hole and 1bighole geometries in section 1; pathlines are colored by axial velocity in [m/s], scale to the left of each figure.

When we compare the segment wall temperature profiles of the two single-hole geometries, we see the same overall features, taking into account that the Z-coordinates are shifted between the two maps. The main difference that can be seen is that the hot spot has shifted. In the 1bighole geometry the hot spot is located, similarly to the

standard 4hole geometry, at the left hand side of the geometry (S-coordinate 0.01, Z-coordinate 0.02-0.03). In the standard size 1hole geometry, however, the hot spot is located in the central hot band (S-coordinate 0.05, Z-coordinate 0.03-0.04). Additionally we see that the cool region, associated with the flow around the rounded part of particle 2, drawing flow into the bed, is more pronounced in the 1bighole geometry, compared to the standard 1hole geometry.

Looking at the flow features in section 1 of the standard 1hole and 1bighole geometries, see Figure 4.32, we see a considerably stronger flow through the hole in the 1bighole geometry. As was mentioned before, when discussing the 4smholes geometry, and when discussing the influence of the number of holes in the geometry, the strength of the flow feature through the hole in particle 4 determines the severity of the hot spot in section1. The larger flow feature bypassing through particles 4 and 5 allows for less radial mixing in this area, and poorer near-wall heat transfer.



Figure 4.33, comparison of flow situations in the 1hole and 1bighole geometries in section 2; pathlines are colored by axial velocity in [m/s], scale to the left of each figure.

Both the more pronounced cool spot around particle 2 in the 1bighole geometry and the more pronounced hot spot in the standard 1hole geometry are located in section 2, and can be explained by the same flow feature. The two features described here, are of course intimately related, as the cool spot in the 1bighole geometry increases, it will eliminate the hot spot that was traditionally in this location, and can still be found in the standard 1hole geometry. The flow feature responsible for this effect on the wall temperature is the axial flow between particle 2 and the tube wall being drawn into the bed and in the hole of particle 3. The considerably larger hole in the 1bighole geometry allows for a much larger amount of flow to be diverted through particle 3, drawing a substantial amount of flow, and energy away from the wall, into the bed.

4.2.3.2 Effect of overall bed porosity

As mentioned before the 1bighole geometry was designed with holes four times the size of the standard hole to investigate the effect of the number of holes in a particle design without changing the overall bed porosity. We can see the differences between the 1bighole and standard 4hole geometries in the segment wall temperature maps in Figure 4.29. From the temperature maps we see that the hot spot is located at the same spot for both these geometries, but it is slightly more pronounced in the 4hole geometry. The larger difference is found in sections 2 and 3 where the 1bighole geometry shows lower wall temperatures than the 4hole geometry.

In section 1 the hot spot in the 4hole geometry is more pronounced because the separate holes in the 4hole particle are located closer to the edge of the particle, and therefore closer to the tube wall. The bypassing flow through the one hole near the wall in the 4hole geometry leaves less room for any radial transport from the axial flow along the tube wall causing a stronger hot spot.



Figure 4.34, comparison of flow situations in the 4hole and 1bighole geometries in sections 2 and 3; pathlines are colored by axial velocity in [m/s], scale to the left of each figure.

Figure 4.34 shows the comparisons of the flow situations in sections 2 and 3 for the standard 4hole and the 1bighole geometries. In these sections we see a lower wall temperature in the 1bighole geometry compared to the 4hole geometry. In the flow comparisons we see that the main difference between the two geometries is the amount of flow through the holes in the particles. In the 1bighole geometry the flow through the particles is better facilitated, in the 4hole geometry some of the flow is redirected by the solid structure in the center of the particle between the four holes. The hole furthest away from the tube wall is not accessible to the near-wall flow field in the 1bighole allows for a very much larger part of the flow to go through the particle internals, creating more radial flow in the area, and reducing the near wall axial flow feature, which is present in the 4hole geometry. This stronger near-wall axial feature in the 4hole geometry corresponds with the high temperature band on the wall temperature map (S-coordinate 0.05).

4.2.3.3 Concluding hole sizes effects

The most obvious conclusion from this paragraph would be that when the size of the hole is increased the amount of flow facilitated through the hole is increased. Also on the upstream part of the particle we see a smoother flow field when hole sizes are increased, less reversed and redirected flow is found.

The overall effect of these aspects is, however, not always clearly beneficial or detrimental. A smooth flow through a hole in a particle may in some cases cause a strong radial flow feature, enhancing heat transfer. In other cases the flow through the holes facilitates a bypassing feature, eliminating radial flow in the area and worsening the local heat transfer features.

Increased amounts of reversed or redirected flow result from the particles acting as a flow obstruction, which becomes more prominent as fewer holes are present in the particles. The effects of these flow features are also ambiguous. Reverse axial flow in the near wall region often worsens the local heat transfer as warm flow is redirected back upstream in the column, simultaneously reversed flow often redirects other flow features in radial directions enhancing the local heat transfer features.

There are distinct effects caused by changing the size of the holes in the particles but the final effect of the hole size on the overall near-wall heat transfer process is a combined effect with the bed orientation. In general, when particles are angled in the flow (around 45 degrees) large holes are preferable; when particles are aligned with the main flow direction we want few or no holes to prevent bypassing. When the holes are oriented perpendicular (90 degrees) to the main flow direction there is no real preference. The absence of holes will increase the thermal mass close to the wall, drawing energy into the bed through conduction. If there are holes present in these particles there is a limited amount of circulation in the holes causing some convection. Under all circumstances the 90 degree orientation, perpendicular to the main flow direction, is best avoided.

4.2.4 External features

To increase agitation in the flowing phase in the reactor, external features can be added to the particle design. These features may vary from ridges to grooves. In our simulation the choice was made to add longitudinal grooves to the particles, as this was also performed experimentally at Synetix, and because these particles resembled other available designs, such as lobed particles. Additionally, grooves were preferred over ridges, because the same bed orientation could be used with grooved particles. When ridges are placed on the particles, this may reorganize the packing structure.

Figure 4.35 shows the segment wall temperature maps of respectively the standard 4hole geometry and the grooves geometry. It needs to be taken into account that the grooves geometry was one of the geometries that was axially shifted for convergence purposes, this is also apparent in the Z-coordinate scale. The temperature map for the grooves geometry shows hot spots in the same areas, but less pronounced. The main features are found in both geometries, with some new features in the grooves map, such as the 'directed' cool area into the main cool spot (S-coordinate 0.04-0.05, Z-coordinate

0.02-0.03). The warm band at S-coordinate 0.05 is also less pronounced in the grooves temperature map. The temperature scale on both temperature maps is the same for easier comparison between the two.



Figure 4.35, temperature maps for the 4hole and grooves geometries, scale in [K].



Figure 4.36, comparison of flow situations in the 4hole and grooves geometries in section 1; pathlines are colored by axial velocity in [m/s], scale to the left of each figure.

The flow around the exterior of the particles is more directed in the grooves geometry than it is in the standard 4hole geometry, as a result of the grooves along the outside of the particles. This more directed flow around the outside of the particle prohibits the grouping of the flow that occurs in the 4hole geometry. Flow is drawn from the exterior of particle 4 together with flow from the holes and forced into the area between particle 5 and the symmetry wall. This feature is also present in the grooves geometry, but is less pronounced, as there is less tangential movement of the flow, and the accessed flow area is larger because of the groove in particle 5 in this area. Flow along the bottom of particle 9 is also directed through the groove in particle 9 forcing more radial flow in this area. Both these effects reduce the magnitude of the hot spot in the grooves geometry.

Figure 4.37 shows the comparisons of the flow field in sections 2 and 3 of the 4hole and grooves geometries. The main flow features are very similar in both geometries, as both are 4hole particle beds in principle; features such as spiraling mixing of the flow around particle 2 and the flow through the holes of particle 2. We see approximately the same amount of flow through the particle holes in both cases. Similarly in section 3 we can identify most flow features in both the 4hole and the grooves geometries.

There are, however, also many small differences. The differences, as is to be expected, are found in the flow features around the particles, this is where the external features on the particles take effect. In section 2 we see that more of the flow is directed along the outer wall of particle 1, some of this flow ends up in the upper hole of particle 2, this feature is not present in the 4hole geometry. We also see the effect of directed flow in the wake of particle 4. The 4hole geometry shows some redirection here, but in the grooves geometry all flow is axial.

The more directed flow along the outer surface of the angled particles is also apparent in the flow pictures of section 3, also in Figure 4.37. Some of the pathlines are caught in the groove in particle 2, although this flow is of low velocity. There does seem to be more flow directed towards particle 8, coming form particle 1, as there are distinctly more pathlines between particle 8 and the tube wall.



Figure 4.37, comparison of flow situations in the 4hole and grooves geometries in sections 2 and 3; pathlines are colored by axial velocity in [m/s], scale to the left of each figure.

Another effect of the grooves is that they can function as a release point for the flow along a surface. Specifically, the flow around particle 8 indicates the effect of the groove as a flow release point. In Figure 4.37, section 3, we see that the flow around particle 8 is moving along the particle further in the 4hole geometry, than it is in the grooves geometry. This feature becomes more apparent when we look at the flow comparison in section 4, Figure 4.38. As pathlines move away from particle 8 at the point where they meet the groove, which is now perpendicular to the main flow direction. In the standard 4hole geometry we see that flow moves along the particle further and then forms a spiraling structure when it mixes with the flow from between particle 8 and the tube wall. This spiraling structure is not present in the grooves geometry.



Figure 4.38, comparison of flow situations in the 4hole and grooves geometries in section 4; pathlines are colored by axial velocity in [m/s], scale to the left of each figure.

When we relate the flow in section 4 back to the temperature maps in Figure 4.35 we note that the 4hole geometry shows better heat transfer where particle 8 is facing the tube

wall, but both geometries show poor heat transfer downstream of particle 8. This is one instance where both history and direction of the flow are of crucial importance. The feature downstream of particle 8 in the 4hole geometry brings flow coming around particle 8 towards the tube wall, while it takes the flow passing in between particle 8 and the wall into the bed radially. The flow passing in between particle 8 and the tube wall now transports energy from the wall into the bed radially, causing the lower wall temperatures in this region. The spiraling feature downstream of particle 8, while moving some parts of the flow into the bed, also moves flow towards the tube wall, causing the region of poor heat transfer downstream of particle 8. These conclusions can be drawn when the flow features are compared to the features in the grooves geometry. In the grooves geometry we see the same type of flow between particle 8 and the tube wall, but when we look at the wall temperature map, we see that the heat transfer in this case is less effective. The big difference between the 4hole and grooves geometries is the flow feature downstream of particle 8. The grooves geometry, due to the flow separation along the outer surface of particle 8, does not have the spiraling feature at the downstream end of particle 8. Without this feature the flow in between particle 8 and the tube wall continues axially and is not moved radially into the bed. Without the radial transport the energy cannot be moved convectively and the overall heat transfer effectiveness is reduced, as is shown in the wall temperature maps.

4.2.4.1 Concluding the effects of external features

Again the effect of the additional features is an ambiguous one, and again it is related to the orientation of the particles. The grooves on the particles will cause the flow to show more direction when they are aligned, to a degree, with the main flow direction. When the grooves are perpendicular to the main direction, again to a certain degree, they will disturb the flow. In this specific case the flow disturbance prevents the occurrence of a mixing feature further downstream, actually worsening the overall heat transfer. It can, however, be expected that releasing the flow profiles from the surfaces will cause more mixing features in the overall flow field. The effect of the mixing features then, again, is ambiguous, as sometimes (as was the case in the section 4 comparison) they enhance heat transfer, and sometimes (for example the recirculating feature in the 1hole geometry, compared to the 1bighole geometry) they have an adverse effect on the overall heat transfer.

It seems, however, from the wall temperature maps in Figure 4.35, that the introduction of external features on the catalyst particles has mostly positive effect on the heat transfer properties. With respect to other aspects of the process the external features are an easy way of increasing the overall reactive surface area, without compromising the structural integrity too much.

4.2.5 Reactor and gas phase conditions

Although it was not expected that the physical boundary conditions would have any influence on the heat transfer in these simulations, several runs were performed at different reactor conditions. The reactor and the gas phase conditions, do of course determine the reaction conditions completely, but in our simplified heat transfer simulation these parameters should have no influence. When the reactor conditions are set, several aspects in the simulation are changed, the total heat flux through the wall (the amount of energy supplied) changes, as does the inlet temperature and the gas phase compositions. In the heat transfer simulations only the influence of the heat transfer through conductive and convective properties is examined. The total heat flux and inlet temperatures do not really influence these processes besides a constant offset. The gas phase properties might change the processes slightly through the conductivity. Possibly the changed gas phase properties may influence the flow profiles, and therewith the heat transfer process, but this change is minimal.

The reactor conditions are expected to have a distinct influence when a reaction is introduced as the overall temperature and gas phase compositions do influence the reaction rates tremendously.



0.05-

0.04-

0.03-[ш] Z

0.02-

0.01

0.05-

0.04-



Figure 4.39, temperature maps for the three different simulated reactor conditions, scale in [K].



Figure 4.40, pathline plots for the three simulated reactor conditions, pathlines are colored by axial velocity in [m/s], scale to the left of each figure.

Figure 4.39 shows the temperature maps for the three different reactor conditions simulated, the boundary conditions for the reactor conditions are described in Table 4.3. Since the initial thermal conditions at each of the different simulations are different the scales on the different temperature maps are different. As we move further downstream in the reactor the temperature gets higher and the range of temperatures gets smaller. At the reactor end the range of temperatures encountered is so small that the scale only runs over 36 K. When we compare the different temperature maps at different locations in the reactor we see that there is no effect on the qualitative features of the temperature pattern on the tube wall as a function of position in the reactor.

In Figure 4.40 we see that there are few to no qualitative differences in the flow fields of the simulations at different reactor conditions. We do see, however, a considerable difference in the range of flow velocities. This increase in superficial velocity as we move through the reactor is a function of the density of the fluid. As the reaction progresses the reaction mixture consists of more hydrogen and less methane, decreasing the density of the fluid considerably. To maintain the total mass flux through the system (conservation of mass) the superficial velocity has to increase.

4.2.6 Concluding remarks

In the conceptual analysis of a number of different aspects of cylindrical particle geometry on the near-wall heat transfer properties we find a considerable number of intertwined dependencies. There seems to be no specific feature, among the ones discussed, which exclusively enhances the near-wall heat transfer. However, we can identify a number of features, and combinations of features, that positively influence the heat transfer effectiveness of the packing.

In the section where the orientation of the particles was investigated, it was found that particles aligned with the main flow direction, when they include longitudinal holes, enhance bypassing flow through the bed, which has a negative effect on the heat transfer effectiveness. Particles angled in the main flow direction, with an angle near 45 degrees and larger with respect to the main flow direction, and with their rounded surface towards

the tube wall, generally have a positive effect on the heat transfer effectiveness. Particles with their flat surface against the tube wall tend to have little positive influence on the heat transfer effectiveness, especially when the holes are not accessible to the flow.

The number and size of holes in a cylindrical particle is an aspect that can have a distinct effect on the heat transfer effectiveness. It has to be pointed out that the orientation of the particles in the bed and the overall bed structure, as well as the placement of the holes in the particles also play their parts in the effect of the number and size of holes.

Larger holes will in general be better accessible to the flow. Therefore the effects on the flow field of the larger holes will be less dependent on their positioning within the particle.

More or larger holes facilitate more transport to the particle internals. As pointed out before, the effect on the heat transfer effectiveness of this is a function of particle positioning. When the particle positioning allows for a positive contribution of the holes in the particles, larger or more holes will increase the positive effect. Analogously when the alignment of the particles with holes enhances bypassing effects, larger or more holes will increase the amount of bypassing.

In particles with smaller or fewer holes, more flow redirection will be experienced. Flow redirection is, again, a double-edged sword. Where flow is redirected radially into the bed heat transfer effectiveness is enhanced, where flow is redirected axially (reversed) heat transfer effectiveness is reduced.

The addition of external features on the particle design seems the only global improvement in heat transfer effectiveness, although also some effects encountered with this showed a local negative effect on the heat transfer. It needs to be pointed out that when external features were studied there were only two cases examined, leaving very little room for quantifying the enhancements.

4.3 Quantitative data analysis

To be able to quantitatively distinguish the thermal effectiveness of the different particle designs, and bed geometries, a new method had to be developed. The feature that is to be used as a quantitative comparative measure should be a global quantity in the simulation geometry, as we are looking at a number of distinctly different packed beds. For the quantitative comparison of the different beds an averaged radial temperature profile was used.

When the CFD methodology is discussed in paragraph 1.4.3.2, it is mentioned that to create an effect of a penetrating temperature profile a series of periodic elements have to be virtually stacked, creating a longer bed and allowing for a temperature profile to establish. In our comparative study with cylindrical particles all wall-segment geometries were stacked three times. The temperature profiles that are reported in this quantitative comparison are all obtained from the third stage of the stacked geometries, ensuring that we had an established temperature profile throughout the geometry.

From CFD data it is possible to obtain continuous radial temperature profiles. The continuous profiles capture all the features of the local temperature distributions. Figure 4.41 shows a series of continuous radial temperature profiles at the top of the third stage, over the full 120 degree circumferential range of the wall-segment, for the 4hole, 1hole, and solid cylinder geometries. The axes of Figure 4.41 show the normalized radial position and the normalized temperature. The normalized temperature is established using the inlet temperature and the average wall temperature for each of the displayed geometries. Since an average wall temperature is used the local normalized temperatures in the fluid are sometimes higher than $\theta = 1$. In this comparison, many different features are captured. Parts of the profiles describe areas of fluid within the particle holes, creating strangely shaped profiles. With all these features distinctly represented in the temperature profile it becomes hard to determine which profile shows an overall higher

or lower temperature. Another method for quantitative comparison of temperature profiles had to be applied.



Figure 4.41, continuous radial temperature profile comparisons.

To be able to show a simpler radial temperature profile the temperature fields had to be averaged. The averaged radial temperature profiles are established by defining a number of constant radial coordinate planes in the geometry. Radial planes were created at incremental steps of 0.005 m starting at r = 0.005 m up to r = 0.05 m, the tube wall is located at $r_t = 0.0508$ m. A radial plane consists of anywhere from 2,000 to 70,000 data points both in the fluid and solid regions, and spans the entire 120° circumference and the entire height of the wall-segment geometry. To determine an average temperature at each radial position, a cv volume weighted average for all the temperatures in both the solid and the fluid regions in the entire radial plane was determined. With a series of averaged temperatures in a series of radial positions a simple radial temperature profile could be established. To make sure that the convective contribution of the bed geometry is the only aspect playing a part in determining the temperature profile we investigate the possible effects of the differing heat capacities of the different beds. As the particle design and the bed geometry change, so does the solid to fluid volume ratio in the simulation geometries. When comparing the different radial temperature profiles each of the simulations has had the same amount of energy added to the system. The total heat flux through the tube-wall of the system is kept constant. A close watch is kept on the overall energy balance to make sure a converged solution is reached with respect to the energy balance. The maximum observed error in the energy balance was less than 0.1 %. Temperature profiles are compared in the third stage of the stacked geometry, at this point each of the different simulations has had two previous stages in which equal amounts of energy were added to the system. Two aspects of the simulation geometry can define overall temperature profiles in the system. First the overall heat capacity of the geometry changes slightly. Secondly the effectiveness of the convective energy transport into the system is a function of the bed orientation and the particle design.

Table 4.4, comparing overall heat capacities and energy required to increase the temperature of the geometries.

Geometry	overall c _p [J/kg·K]	porosity	Total mass [g]	Energy required [J/K]
1bighole-1b	1924.47	0.663	90.8	174.68
1hole-1b	1752.91	0.540	123.5	216.53
3hole-1b	1868.10	0.622	101.5	189.67
cyl-1b	1696.26	0.499	134.3	227.88
4hole-1b	1925.35	0.663	90.6	174.43
4hole-2	1865.50	0.620	102.0	190.33
4hole-3	1899.37	0.645	95.6	181.50
grooves-1b	1946.21	0.678	86.6	168.57
4smholes-1b	1810.72	0.581	112.5	203.68

Table 4.4 shows, for each of the different geometries, the overall heat capacity (volume averaged over the entire wall-segment), the overall porosity, the total mass of the element (calculated from the porosity and the respective densities of the fluid and solid), and the total amount of energy required to heat up the entire wall-segment one

Quantitative data analysis

Kelvin. This required energy is determined by multiplying the averaged heat capacity with the total mass of the wall segment. As can be seen from Table 4.4 the differences in the total amount of energy required to increase the temperature of the simulation geometry are up to 20 %, between the solid cylinder geometry and the grooves geometry, which have the respectively lowest and highest overall porosity. It can be concluded that if more solid material is present in the geometry, more energy is required to increase the overall temperature. However, in the steady state solution the time dependent aspect is eliminated, which renders the effect of the different overall heat capacities nonexistent. The fluid heat capacity is then still a factor in the total heat fluxes at steady state, which might influence the overall temperature profile, since different amounts of fluid are present in the geometry. The definition of the overall fluid flux through the system is the key in completely ruling out the heat capacity as a factor in the resultant radial temperature profiles. On all simulation geometries, the overall mass flux through the system was defined as a constant. This will result in changing flow velocity distribution throughout the different bed designs, but it maintains identical energy flows, through fluid flow, into and out of each system.

With the heat capacity eliminated as a contributor to the overall radial temperature profile the differences can only be explained through the effects of the changing bed characteristics.

When comparing overall radial temperature profiles, there are a few different distinctive features, including predominantly the magnitude and the shape of the profile, and the magnitude of the averaged wall temperature. Theoretically there cannot be too much difference between the magnitudes of the profiles, since equal amounts of energy are added to the simulation geometries. The shape is a good measure of how the energy is distributed radially in the system, and how effective the geometry is in distributing the energy into the system. A flatter profile, and a lower average wall temperature, would describe a system in which energy is distributed throughout the system more equally. The flatter profile can only be accomplished if the heat transfer of the system, the radial convective properties, are superior. Additionally a lower wall temperature indicates

better heat transfer effectiveness in the near-wall region. The superior heat transfer properties are then best explained using the conceptual analysis described in paragraph 4.2.

4.3.1 Particle orientations



Figure 4.42, radial temperature profiles and average wall temperatures for the three different orientations of the 4-hole particle bed.

The first series of comparisons is made between the simulation geometries with the different bed orientations. Isometric projections of the different bed orientations are shown in Figure 4.10, a perspective projection of the different orientations is given in Figure 4.8 and Figure 4.9. There are many different aspects to the different bed orientations, the effects of which are discussed in paragraph 4.2.1, the conceptual analysis of the particle orientations. In Figure 4.42 the overall radial temperature profiles of the three different bed geometries are shown. The three profiles are distinctly different,

showing that the bed geometry does have a considerable influence on the heat transfer properties of the bed.

When we compare the shapes of the profiles in Figure 4.42 it is clear that the profile of geometry 1b is the flatter of the three, and the profile of geometry 3 is the steeper. The geometry 1b profile does have a higher average wall temperature than geometries 2 and 3, indicating that the near-wall heat transfer is better in geometries 2 and 3. Geometry 1b, however, is the only case in which the primary particle (particle 2) is oriented at an angle to flow direction other than 90 degrees (perpendicular to the main flow direction). This specific orientation allows for the holes in the particle to show a significant amount of flow, utilizing the available fluid volume in the geometry better, and creating better convective mixing into the bed interior. The better convective mixing causes better convective energy transport, resulting in a flatter overall profile.

4.3.2 Number of longitudinal holes

One of the most important investigations was the effect of the amount of longitudinal holes in the particle design. In the design of steam reforming catalyst particles the main differences between the commercially available varieties are the number and the size of the holes. The approach to the simulations done in this work allow for only a single explanation of the shape of the radial temperature profile. This single explanation is the effectiveness of the bed design in radial heat transfer. As was mentioned before, the steam reforming process is a heat transfer limited reaction, which explains why the heat transfer effectiveness of the bed is such an important aspect to consider when designing a catalyst particle, and bed geometry.

In Figure 4.43 we see a series of radial temperature profiles from the simulation geometries with a different amount of longitudinal holes in the particle. The overall shapes of the profiles are similar, because the bed orientation of the different geometries is identical; all four geometries have the 1b orientation.



Figure 4.43, radial temperature profiles and average wall temperatures for the series of particle designs with different number of longitudinal holes.

The main trend, that can be identified, in Figure 4.43 is that when we increase the number of holes in the particle the overall radial temperature profile in the bed decreases. This trend suggests that as we add more holes to the particle design the heat transfer effectiveness of the bed decreases. The average wall temperatures show the same trend, when more holes are added in the particle the average wall temperature is higher, indicating worse near-wall heat transfer. The average and range of wall temperatures are given in Table 4.5. In Table 4.5 we also see the increasing average wall temperature as the number of holes in the particle design increases. This trend again points us in the direction that an increase in holes in the particle will result in a less efficient energy transport into the system. A constant heat flux on the wall supplies a steady flux of energy into the system, the more effectively the system can transport this energy away

Quantitative data analysis

from the entry point, the lower the local temperature, at the entry point, will be and the flatter the radial temperature profile.

Table 4.5, wall temperature distributions in the geometries with particles with a different number of holes.

Geometry	T _{w, min} [K]	$T_{w, max} [K]$	$T_{w, av}[K]$	Standard deviation
Cyl-1b	831.46	1026.88	888.92	24.35
1hole-1b	831.63	998.26	891.09	20.15
3hole-1b	850.00	977.65	895.31	19.87
4hole-1b	849.84	995.23	899.32	21.45

Another point of interest from Table 4.5 is the range of temperatures from the different geometries. If we consider the 3-hole and 4-hole geometry to be similar (the radial temperature profiles in Figure 4.43 are also almost identical), it can be concluded that when the number of holes is increased the range of encountered wall temperatures decreases. This was also seen in the conceptual analysis, when looking at the wall temperature maps of these geometries, paragraph 4.2.2.

4.3.2.1 Concluding the number of holes analysis

In the quantitative analysis of the effect of the number of holes in the particle design we encounter a number of different counteracting parameters. The radial temperature profile magnitudes, as well as the average temperature on the segment wall indicate that when fewer holes are present in the particle the radial energy transport is better. The temperature range on the segment wall seems to favor more holes in the particle. Relating back to the conceptual analysis of this comparison, we noted more extreme conditions in the geometries with particles with fewer holes. Although the solid cylinder geometry showed stronger radial flow components into the bed, it also showed the worst bypassing flows, and the hottest hot spots. The magnitude of the effect on the temperature profile of additional holes is also proportional to the relative amount of added accessible flow area. The difference between the solid-cylinder and the 1hole case was considerably more than between the 3hole and 4hole case. In both these comparisons one additional standard hole is added, but the relative amounts of accessible flow area are considerably different.
The effectiveness of the energy transport, although an important part of the particle and bed design, is not the only aspect to take into account when designing a random packed bed for steam reforming. Aspects such as accessible catalytic surface area, and pressure drop are also very important parts of the design equation, shifting the choice towards a particle design with more holes.

4.3.3 Size of the holes

In the previously discussed series, where the number of holes was varied, the size of the holes was kept identical in the single, three and four hole designs, resulting in an investigation of only the number of holes. This approach does, however, create a series of beds with strongly differing overall porosities. The bed porosity will increase considerably when more holes are introduced in the particles, as can also be seen in Table 4.4, where the overall bed-porosities are listed.

Another approach to the effect of the holes in the particle is to investigate the actual size of the hole that is introduced in the particle. Two separate studies are performed with respect to the effect of the size of the hole on the heat transfer effectiveness of the bed. The first is a study only related to the actual size of the hole, this part consists of two separate series, in one the actual size of the hole is increased, in the other it is decreased. The second study focuses more on the effects of the resultant overall bed porosity, when the size of the hole is adjusted.

4.3.3.1 Effect of actual hole size

Figure 4.44 shows the radial temperature profiles of the two series with particles with different hole sizes. The first figure shows the profiles for the standard 4hole particle geometry and the 4smholes geometry, which consists of particles with holes with half the flow area compared to the standard 4hole particles. The second figure shows the profiles for the standard 1hole bed geometry and the1bighole geometry. The big hole design has a particle with four times the flow area of the standard 1-hole design. This big hole design is also used in the bed porosity study.

The radial temperature profiles for the beds with the standard and the small 4hole particles show slightly different shapes. The standard 4hole profile is slightly flatter, however, the 4smholes profile shows higher overall bed temperatures. Again we have to take into account the values of the segment wall, also reported in Table 4.6. The 4smholes geometry shows a lower average wall temperature, a lower maximum wall temperature and a narrower distribution of temperatures. All these factors point to the conclusion that the particle design with the smaller holes performs better. It successfully combines the forced radial flow (seen in the conceptual analysis with the less porous particles) due to the large particle surface areas perpendicular to the main flow direction with the accessibility of flow through the holes in the particles.



Figure 4.44, radial temperature profiles and average wall temperatures for the series with different hole diameters.

Table 4.6, wall temperature distributions in the geometries with particles with different hole sizes.

Geometry	$T_{w, \min}[K]$	T _{w, max} [K]	$T_{w, av}[K]$	Standard deviation
1bighole-1b	842.31	974.89	890.54	21.91
1hole-1b	831.63	998.26	891.09	20.15
4smholes-1b	854.00	991.29	893.28	18.93
4hole-1b	849.84	995.23	899.32	21.45

The radial temperature profiles for the standard 1hole and the 1bighole geometry are virtually identical, except for a slight mismatch in the near wall area. This difference in

the near-wall area is caused by a considerable local difference in bed porosity. The temperature distributions on the wall for these two geometries show virtually identical average wall temperatures. The main difference in these two simulations lies in the distribution of temperatures on the segment wall. The big-hole geometry shows considerably less range of temperatures, indicating less maldistribution in the near wall region. The standard 1hole geometry shows very similar effects to the solid cylinder geometry in the near-wall region, as the effect of the single standard size hole in the center of the particle is not prominent in the area near the tube wall.

When we are looking at the different 4hole geometries the smaller holes are preferable, when we look at the single-hole geometries the larger hole is preferable. We have to also take into account that when we change merely the size of the holes, again we are investigating the combined effect of changing the hole size, and the overall bed porosity, similarly to what was done when the number of holes was investigated. To tie these studies together it was essential to investigate the effect of the bed porosity on the studied dependencies.

4.3.3.2 Effect of overall bed porosity

The study of hole-size and bed-porosity effects looks at the effects of changing the hole size, and number, but keeping the same bed porosity. The two cases used for this comparison are the standard 4hole geometry and the big-hole geometry. The size of the 'hole-volume' in the big-hole is identical to the size of the 'hole-volume' in the standard 4hole geometry, on a per particle basis. So instead of looking at the effect of bed porosity, the effect of hole size and number, independent of the bed porosity, is investigated.

Figure 4.45 shows the radial temperature profiles for the cases where the overall bed porosity remains the same. The reasoning between the heat transfer effectiveness of these geometries will be along the same lines as the reasoning applied when the standard 4hole was compared to the 4smholes geometry. The 1bighole geometry shows a flatter radial temperature profile with better temperature penetration in the bed, a considerably much

lower segment wall temperature and a narrower distribution of temperatures on the wall., see Table 4.6.



Figure 4.45, radial temperature profiles and average wall temperatures for the 4hole and big-hole particle beds with identical bed porosities.

Relating back to the conceptual analysis, the single larger hole in the geometry will allow for more radial movement of the fluid within the holes in the particle and in the entire bed, resulting in better radial mixing, and causing a better radial energy transport effectiveness. The single larger hole, does however compromise catalyst particle crush strength, and available catalytic surface area.

4.3.3.3 Concluding hole size effects

From the conceptual analysis of the effect of the hole size and the number of holes in a particle on the contributions to the heat transfer effectiveness the conclusions are ambiguous. There is no clear advantage on the near-wall heat transfer process when hole

size or number are changed one way or the other. There are aspects of larger or more holes that enhance the heat transfer, but there are also aspects that work to the contrary. The overall effect of changing the hole size, or number, is related to the orientation of the particles. When particles are oriented largely aligned with the main flow direction large, or more, holes are bad for the heat transfer, when particles are at a considerable angle with the main flow direction (around 45 degrees) more or larger holes are better.

This conditional effect makes it hard, or impossible, to choose one feature over the other based on their effect on the overall heat transfer. We find the same dilemma in the quantitative analysis; contradictory features are found in the radial temperature profiles, which makes it hard to pick one case over the other.

In the end there are some overall conclusions that can be drawn from these comparisons. Considering the specific geometry investigated, it seems larger holes are preferable over many holes, which is also supported by the conceptual analysis.

Additionally, in these specific geometries, the negative effects of bypassing with more holes seem to be more pronounced than the positive effects of less reversed flow, leading to the conclusion that fewer holes is preferable. It needs to be pointed out that the bypassing in these geometries is enhanced by the imposed periodic conditions and the placement of particles 4 and 5. The alignment of these particles creates a fairly strong bypassing flow in the near-wall region, which may affect the global quantitative approach.

4.3.4 External features

The investigation towards the effect of the external features, again, is a measurement series with a lack of data points. Within the series fall the standard 4hole geometry, ws-4hole-1b, and the grooves geometry, ws-grooves-1b. This limited amount of measurements allows us to only draw broad conclusions about the inclusion of external features in a catalyst particle design. With just two points it is hard to show any trend in quantitative effect of the size or placement of external features.



Figure 4.46, averaged radial temperature profiles for the standard and grooved particles.

Figure 4.46 shows the temperature profiles for the base case, the standard 4hole geometry, and the case with external features on the particles, the grooves geometry. The profiles show some interesting features, not yet before encountered. The profile of the grooves geometry indicates higher temperatures throughout the radius of the geometry, a flatter profile, and a lower average wall temperature indicating that overall radial heat transfer effectiveness of the geometry with the external features is better.

It also shows a distinctly larger difference near the center and the wall of the bed than it does at $r/r_t = 0.4$ -0.5. The feature that the temperature profile curves come closer together in this area is present in all the previously shown plots, but nowhere is it more pronounced than in this case. At the $r/r_t = 0.4$ -0.5 position there is a 'break' in the packing as it transitions from the wall-layer of particles to the central packing structure. The effect of this 'break' in the packing can also be seen in Figure 4.47 where the radial porosity profiles for several geometries are shown. This packing effect is similarly to the packing structures identified in the sphere packed beds, discussed in paragraph 2.2.4.



Figure 4.47, averaged radial porosity profiles in the solid cylinder, standard 4hole and grooves geometries.

The difference in magnitude between the profiles in the middle and at the extremes is largest in the case where external features are introduced. This leads to the conclusion that a significant part of the profile is determined by the flow around the particle externals. In the area where the geometries with and without external features differ least (near $r/r_t = 0.4-0.5$) the curves are very close, indicating that the overall difference of temperature profile is limited. The large difference between the temperature profiles with and without external features is found in the low porosity areas. In these areas the amount of particle-material, and therefore particle outside-surface area, is high. Where there is much particle surface area the external features are relatively more pronounced, leading to the fact that the local effect of the features is noticeable in these areas.

Concluding, the external features give a small improvement on the overall radial heat transfer effectiveness of the packing. The effect is more pronounced in the areas where the external features are present, leading to the conclusion that the external features improve the overall bed transfer by local effects. One of the features, from the conceptual analysis, seen in the geometry with the external features is a break up of the main flow features along the outside of the particles. This break in these large, often bypassing, features allows for an improvement in some of the larger features in the flow, where internal features have little or no effect.

4.3.5 Reactor conditions

The final series that was investigated was the effect of the reactor conditions. Runs were performed at the reactor inlet conditions (the standard conditions) at the reactor exit conditions and at 40% downstream of the inlet. These three reactor conditions create a series with conditions varying considerably, in reaction mixture composition, initial temperature and amount of energy added to the system. Since, in this comparative study, we only look at the physical aspects of heat transfer, meaning we are not incorporating any of the reaction effects, it is not expected that we would see much, or any, difference when we compare runs at different reactor conditions. The three different reactor-condition runs show different absolute temperatures, and temperature profiles, but when we compare the profiles normalized we should not see any differences. The bed orientation and the particle design are identical for all three simulations.



Figure 4.48, normalized and regular radial temperature profiles, and average wall temperatures for the runs at different reactor conditions.

As we can see in Figure 4.48 the normalized radial temperature profiles of the three alternate reactor conditions are identical. For the normalization for each of these curves their initial inlet temperature and average wall temperature (on stage three) were used. Before normalization the profiles look distinctly different due to the different initial and boundary conditions of the simulations at different parts of the reactor.

4.3.6 Concluding remarks

After both the conceptual and quantitative analysis of the comparative study in the analysis of the effects of the cylindrical particle geometry on the near-wall heat transfer effectiveness we find that there are no simple relations between bed geometry and near-wall heat transfer. We do, however, find that complex concepts such as intricate flow fields and heat transfer patterns are intimately related. The relation between the two is, unfortunately, as complex as either of the concepts on its own.

Some general conclusions can be drawn, concerning the influence of the bed and particle geometry on the near-wall heat transfer effectiveness. When looking at the influence of the bed geometry, there are distinct quantitative differences between the heat transfer in the different packing structures, but more can be learned from the more detailed qualitative analysis. The effect of the number and size of the longitudinal holes in the cylindrical particles is difficult to identify quantitatively. In the conceptual analysis the resultant effect of the hole size and numbers was closely related to the particle orientation in the bed.

When looking at beds with identical overall porosities, but different number, and size of holes, the qualitative and quantitative analysis showed that particles with fewer and bigger holes have better near-wall heat transfer properties.

External features on the particle design show both positive and negative effects on the heat transfer properties, but both the qualitative and quantitative analysis show that the positive effects outweigh the negative effects.

5. Modeling a Steam Reforming Reaction

Before incorporating the steam reforming reaction scheme in a CFD simulation all possibilities were investigated. The standard Fluent code allows for simple gas phase or surface reactions to be incorporated in a flow simulation. Since steam reforming is a heterogeneously catalyzed process, the surface type reaction application would have to be used. It is, however, impossible to incorporate both a surface reaction and energy balances in the available code. Since the main issue of this project was the investigation of the near-wall heat transfer processes it was essential to incorporate the full energy balances. An alternative method of incorporating the thermal contribution of the reaction had to be developed. To be able to apply the thermal aspects of the steam reforming reaction to the simulation, the endothermic reaction is simplified to a heat sink in the areas where reaction takes place (the catalytic particle surfaces). To make this simulation as close as possible to the actual energy management in a steam reformer, reaction rate is made to depend on the local temperature, simulating an endothermic reaction. A published reaction model (Hou and Hughes, 2001) is used to describe the reaction rates of the different reactions. The reaction model is simplified to only include the energetic effects of the major reactions in the steam reforming process, as the energetic effects of the lesser reactions can be considered negligible. To apply this thermal reaction in the Fluent simulation additional code had to be written.

5.1 Steam reforming reaction

To simulate the thermal effects of a steam reforming reaction a piece of code was written to append to Fluent. The code was based on a published reaction model (Hou and Hughes, 2001) for methane reforming over a Ni/ α Al₂O catalyst. The model is based on

the performance of the steam reforming catalyst produced by ICI-Katalco (Synetix), and is therefore very appropriate.

Since we only want the thermal effects of the reaction, i.e. how the reaction influences the heat transfer, only that aspect of the model is applied to the simulations. The model had been reduced to the most important reactions. A relation is used to calculate the energy consumption of the reactions as a function of the local temperature. For simulation purposes it is assumed that the reaction is completely kinetically limited Species transport is not incorporated in the model, therefore mass transfer limitations are completely neglected.

5.1.1 The steam reforming reaction model

The model, which consists of 11 base reactions, is reduced to the three main reactions. In the resultant reduced model the basic steam reforming and the water gas shift reactions are incorporated. All reaction constants and relations mentioned in this section are taken from the Hou and Hughes (2001) publication.

Table 5.1, reactions included in the simplified reaction model, with equilibrium constants and reaction enthalpies.

No.	Reaction	Kpi	Dimension	-ΔH ₂₉₈ [kJ/mol]
1	$CH_4 + H_2O = CO + 3H_2$	1.198 10 ¹⁷ exp(-26830/T)	$(kPa)^2$	-206.1
2	$CO + H_2O = CO_2 + H_2$	1.767 10 ⁻² exp(4400/T)	$(kPa)^0$	+41.15
3	$CH_4 + 2H_2O = CO_2 + 4H_2$	$2.117 \ 10^{15} \exp(-22430/T)$	$(kPa)^2$	-165.0

The reaction mechanism is based on five basic assumptions; these are as follows,

- 1. H₂O reacts with surface nickel atoms, yielding adsorbed oxygen atoms and gaseous hydrogen.
- 2. Methane reacts with surface nickel atoms, yielding adsorbed CH₂ radicals and adsorbed H atoms.
- 3. The adsorbed radicals CH₂ and adsorbed oxygen react to yield adsorbed CHO and adsorbed hydrogen.

- Adsorbed CHO dissociates to adsorbed CO and H, or reacts with adsorbed oxygen, yielding adsorbed CO₂ and H in parallel.
- 5. Adsorbed CO reacts with adsorbed oxygen to form CO₂, or desorbs into the gas phase.

Based on these assumptions, the Langmuir-Hinshelwood-Hougen-Watson (LH-HW) adsorption approach and the Freundlich non-ideal adsorption correction, the rate expressions can be derived. It was found that the adsorption of CO_2 and CH_4 are negligible as are the concentrations of the intermediates CH_2O and CHO, hence they were not included in the rate expressions.

$$r_{1} = \frac{k_{1} \left(\frac{P_{CH_{4}} P_{H_{2}O}^{0.5}}{P_{H_{2}}^{1.25}}\right) \left(1 - \frac{P_{CO} P_{H_{2}}^{3}}{K_{PI} P_{CH_{4}} P_{H_{2}O}}\right)}{\left(1 + K_{CO} P_{CO} + K_{H} P_{H}^{0.5} + K_{H_{2}O} \left(P_{H_{2}O} / P_{H_{2}}\right)\right)^{2}}$$
(5.1)

$$r_{2} = \frac{k_{2} \left(\frac{P_{co} P_{H_{2}O}^{0.5}}{P_{H_{2}}^{0.5}}\right) \left(1 - \frac{P_{co_{2}} P_{H_{2}}}{K_{P2} P_{co} P_{H_{2}O}}\right)}{\left(1 + K_{co} P_{co} + K_{H} P_{H}^{0.5} + K_{H_{2}O} \left(P_{H_{2}O} / P_{H_{2}}\right)\right)^{2}}$$
(5.2)

$$r_{3} = \frac{k_{3} \left(\frac{P_{CH_{4}}P_{H_{2}O}}{P_{H_{2}}^{1.75}}\right) \left(1 - \frac{P_{CO_{2}}P_{H_{2}}^{4}}{K_{P3}P_{CH_{4}}P_{H_{2}O}^{2}}\right)}{\left(1 + K_{CO}P_{CO} + K_{H}P_{H}^{0.5} + K_{H_{2}O}\left(P_{H_{2}O}/P_{H_{2}}\right)\right)^{2}}$$
(5.3)

In these rate expressions all k and K constants are defined as temperature dependent through the Arrhenius and van 't Hoff equations,

$$k_{i} = A_{i} \exp\left(-\frac{E_{i}}{RT}\right)$$
(5.4)

$$K_{i} = A(K_{i}) \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta H_{i,a}}{RT}\right)$$
(5.5)

The values for the activation energies and pre-exponential components are listed in Table 5.2, for the three reaction rates respectively.

E ₁ [kJ/mol]	E ₂ [kJ/mol]	E ₃ [kJ/mol]	$\Delta H_{CO,a}$ [kJ/mol]	$\Delta H_{H,a}$ [kJ/mol]	$\Delta H_{H2O,a}$ [kJ/mol]
209.2	15.4	109.4	-140.0	-93.4	15.9
A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A(K _{CO})	A(K _H)	A(K _{H2O})
$5.922 \cdot 10^8$	$6.028 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$1.093 \cdot 10^3$	5.127·10 ⁻¹³	5.68.10-10	9.251

Table 5.2, activation energies, adsorption enthalpies and pre-exponential factors for the reaction model.

The reaction rates in the simulation are calculated using the local temperatures at the particle surface and the partial pressures of the gas mixture. The gas mixture is defined by the position in the reactor that is currently simulated. Since the assumption in the simulations is that the wall-segment geometry is a differential part in the reactor, the reaction mixture is not changing. With an unchanging gas mixture the reaction rates become a function of only the temperature, which allows us to solve the reaction separately in the energy solution of the simulation. The method for solving therefore does not have to be changed, first a solution for the flow situation can be established before the energy balances are solved.

5.1.2 Applying the reaction model

To calculate the energy consumed in the process the reaction rate, in $[mol/g_{cat}\cdot s]$, is multiplied by the reaction enthalpy, in [J/mol], to get an energy flux, in $[J/g_{cat}\cdot s]$, for each reaction. The resultant is then multiplied by the density of the catalyst material $(1.947 \cdot 10^6 \text{ g/m}^3)$ to get a relation for the energy sink in the particle as a function of the local temperature, and subsequently by the specific area to get a flux in $[W/m^2]$.

To be able to define the energy consumption in the system accurately some choices on the exact definition of the energy consumption need to be made; taking into account the available ways of defining energy consumption in the Fluent CFD software. Two basic options are available for the application of energy consumption. The first option is to define an energy sink in a specific zone in the geometry; these sinks are defined as a volumetric consumption of energy, in $[W/m^3]$. The alternate option is to define a heat flux through a surface, in $[W/m^2]$. Both methods have some inherent limitations.

When a volumetric sink is defined, this would have to be applied to an entire zone in the geometry. All particles are defined as a separate zone, as is the fluid region. Logically the energy sink would be applied to the particle zones in the geometry. When this is applied the energy consumption is defined uniform throughout the particle, which would model a constant reaction rate throughout the catalyst particle. The steam reforming process does however only take place at the particle surface and the volumetric sink therefore is an inappropriate way of modeling the energetic effect of the reaction.

The alternate way of defining energy consumption is by an energy flux through a surface. When we apply this method we can localize the reaction at the particle surface. The disadvantage of this method is that the particle internals (the solid regions that make up the catalyst particles) have to be omitted from the model since the heat flux through the particle surface moves energy through the surface from one zone to another, if the solid zone is still part of the model the energy is transferred into this zone instead of 'consumed'. By removing the solid zones the energy is taken out of the system by transferring it out of the computational domain.

This method also has some limitations, by removing the particle internals the effects of the solid conduction of the energy is eliminated from the model.

5.2 User defined code

To adapt the Fluent code to a specific problem it is possible, within certain limits to append the code with user defined functions. Fluent is not written as an open code, so the user cannot alter the basic structure of the program, but it is possible to define additional functions. The choice was made to define the reaction related energy consumption as a local wall heat flux, which was defined using the segment reaction mixture, the local temperatures and the reaction enthalpies.

The user defined code is written in C, using function libraries supplied by the Fluent CFD software package. The entire code is included in Appendix 5: UDF code for simple

steam reforming reaction in fluent. Initially the type of function that is going to be described has to be defined, in our case that is a profile function, as the heat flux definition in Fluent accepts profiles to be defined as a wall-heat flux.

DEFINE_PROFILE(t_dep_flux, thread, position)

The next step in defining the profile is reading the local temperatures on the affected surface. In this case that is all the surface-elements on which the profile is defined.

```
{
  face_t f;
  real face_temp;
  begin_f_loop(f, thread)
```

Since the model, as it is applied in the simulation, does not include a mass-balance over the species, reagent depletion is not incorporated in the model. The reaction is only a function of the temperature; partial pressures are assumed constant over the wall segment. This means we have to add some artificial boundaries to the reaction temperature range. At lower temperatures reactions start moving backwards, which is not physical, so at a low temperature of 550 K the reaction rate is set to 0.

```
{
  face_temp = F_T(f, thread);
  if (face_temp <= 550)
    {
        F_PROFILE(f, thread, position) = 0.0;
    }
}</pre>
```

In all other cases the reaction rate is established, using the local temperature and a series of constant partial pressures, related to the reaction mixture at the modeled area of the reactor. First all parameters are defined as real variables, and subsequently they are defined as described by the Hou & Hughes steam reforming catalytic model. First the

equilibrium constants are defined, as they are used in the denominator, which is defined next. Finally the reaction rates for the three modeled reactions are determined. In the displayed code the definitions of one equilibrium constant, the denominator, and the reaction rate for the first reaction are given.

```
else
{
    real kco, kh, kh2o, den, k1, Kp1, r1, k2, Kp2, r2, k3, Kp3, r3;
    kco = 5.127e-13 * exp(140/(0.0083144*face_temp));
    den = (1+(1.0795*kco)+(3.285575*kh)+(135.5*kh2o))*
        (1+(1.0795*kco)+(3.285575*kh)+(135.5*kh2o));
    k1 = 5.922e8 * exp(-209.2/(0.0083144*face_temp));
    k1 = 1.198e17 * exp(-26830/(face_temp));
    r1 = ((k1*1009.407)*(1-((1/Kp1)*0.001798)))/den;
```

With all parameters determined it is now possible to calculate the actual energy consumption through the reaction, using the calculated reaction rate, based on local temperatures, and the reaction enthalpies. When the local energy consumption of this surface element is calculated the loop is ended, and the next surface element can be worked on.

```
F_PROFILE(f, thread, position) =
                ((-206100*r1)+(41150*r2)+(-165000*r3));
}
end_f_loop(f, thread)
}
```

5.3 Reaction modeling results

After the application of the reaction model as is described in the user defined code, the simulations were run in a periodic segment of the reactor. Earlier the energy solution had

Modeling a Steam Reforming Reaction

to be determined using a virtual staging of wall segment geometries to deal with the fact that the energy was continually supplied to the segment, through the tube wall, without removal of the energy, except through the fluid flowing through the segment. An energy balance had to be created between the supply of energy through the heated tube wall, and the removal of energy through the flowing fluid. In defining the reaction in the reactor as a temperature dependent heat sink, an equilibrium between the energy supplied and energy removed can be reached within the segment geometry and the model can be run with periodic segment.

The simulation was run by first determining the flow solution in the periodic segment, and subsequently determining the energy solution. The solution of flow and energy were separated to ensure convergence in the simulations. The steam reformer inlet conditions were applied as boundary conditions on the system, the conditions are given in Table 4.3. In determining the energy equilibrium in the periodic segment geometry, the flux through the tube wall of the segment is kept constant at 113,300 W/m², which reduces to about 612 J/s for the size of the geometry. The equilibrium temperature profile in the wall segment geometry is then reached through the temperature dependent heat flux term defined on all the internal catalyst particle surfaces.

With the boundary and initial conditions as described, the resulting profile of energy consumption on the particles can be established. In Figure 5.1 the heat flux profiles on the particle surfaces is shown, the heat flux is a direct representation of the local endothermic reaction rate. The coloring of the profiles seems inversed, this is because the energy consumption on the catalyst surfaces is defined as an energy flux out of the computational geometry, which in our case consists of only the fluid region. The highest heat fluxes in Figure 5.1 are indicated by blue in the color range. From the first picture in Figure 5.1, where the entire range of energy consumption is shown, we see that most of the geometry shows low energy consumption and there are a few spots where the energy consumption is cropped, as was done in the right hand side picture in Figure 5.1. The areas of high energy consumption are eliminated from this picture, and can be

identified by the 'holes' in the particle surfaces. In this second picture we see that there is a considerable difference in the activity on either side of the geometry. Towards the left hand side the reaction appears to be taking place at higher rates, further into the bed, than on the right hand side of the geometry.



Figure 5.1, contour plots of the heat flux on the particle surfaces, showing the entire range of sink magnitudes on the left and a cropped range on the right.

When we compare the results of the energy consumption map with the temperature map in Figure 5.2 we find identical profiles. These identical profiles are not surprising, since the energy consumption was a direct function of the local temperature. We see that the temperature profiles in the bed show the same feature as the second picture in Figure 5.1, the penetration depth on the left hand side of the geometry is more pronounced than it is on the right hand side.

To explain this difference in penetration of the reaction rate in the different sides of the bed, we have to relate back to the formulation of the sphere bed geometry, and the importance of the relation between the flow behavior and the overall heat transfer properties of the bed. The packing of the central spheres in the wall segment geometry was based on the spiraling structure of spheres observed in the full bed sphere geometry. In the spiraling geometry the spacing between the central spheres and between the central sphere structure and the wall layer structure is not uniform throughout the bed. The inset in Figure 5.2 shows a contour map of the velocity contours in the center plane (axially) of the wall segment geometry. Focusing on the area between the wall structure packing and the central structure we see that velocity magnitudes in the left hand side of the geometry are considerably less than in the right hand side of the geometry. The convective properties of the fluid on the right hand side in the bed are better resulting in lower temperatures.



Figure 5.2, temperature profiles on the particle surfaces in the reaction simulation, scale in [K]. Inset: contour plot of axial flow velocities in the center plane of the geometry, scale in axial velocity magnitude [m/s].

The extremity of the hot spots at the sphere-wall contact points is a result of inappropriate simulation conditions. Within the parameters of the boundary conditions of the energy simulation equilibrium is sought between flux in through the tube wall and the flux out through the particles. It stands to reason that particle surfaces nearer the tube wall would play a larger part in the energy consumption side of the equilibrium. In the simulated geometry, however, the profile smoothing effects that are present in the

physical situation are not modeled. Without these temperature profile smoothing effects, the extremities in the simulation results are exaggerated and unrealistic.

5.4 Concluding remarks

After applying the reaction as described in paragraph 5.2 and reviewing the results it was concluded that some essential features of the heat transfer process were not captured in the simulations. There are two major aspects of the reaction process that are overlooked.

In the assumption of a differential reactor, there is no change in gas phase composition throughout the simulated geometry. This assumption is reasonable with respect to the size of the simulated geometry, especially considering the periodic boundaries that are applied. When we look on a local level, however, there are some issues with these assumptions. As we saw in the review of the results of the modeled reaction temperature profiles and hot spots were rather extreme, leading to extreme reaction conditions. The formation of hot spots in an actual reactor environment would be tempered due to local depletion of reagents. In the used modeling approach, local depletion is not incorporated so there is no physical limit to the intensity of the hot spots.

The second major aspect overlooked is the solid conduction in the catalyst particles. By defining the reaction as an energy flux out of the simulated system it was not possible to include the particle internals in the simulation. The simulated domain was reduced to just the fluid region, with an energy flux in through the tube wall, and an energy flux out through the particles surfaces. By excluding the particle internals in the simulation, the conduction of heat through the particle is not modeled. In the actual reactor conditions, when a hot spot appears on the particle surface due to high reaction rate, the higher temperature is conducted through the particle, lowering the local temperature (tempering the reaction rate locally) an heating up the particle in general, increasing reaction rates on the remaining particle surface. By excluding the conduction through the particle, the heat was not distributed into the bed properly, and local hot spots were intensified.

6. Conclusions and Recommendations

6.1 Conclusions

During the progress of this project many problems of varying magnitude and stubbornness came forth, were investigated, and, depending on their interpreted importance were either neglected or resolved. Now that the end of this written work has almost been reached it is time to put the problems, and their answers into perspective to try to answer the questions we started out with, and see if we can answer: "what are the influences of the catalyst particle geometry on the near-wall heat transfer?"

6.1.1 Validation

Although the validation study summarized in paragraph 1.5 was a separate project, it was of invaluable importance as a premise of the study performed. Proving the validity of using CFD as a method of data collection paves the way for the performed study. Nevertheless, even within this project, relating the validity of one simulation model against an alternate model was still of importance to validate the use of different types of boundary conditions in the specific situations.

Throughout the project the acquired data is validated against as many additional methods as were available, to keep the results and conclusions as true to the physical world as was possible. Additionally the applied simulation geometries were extensively validated against alternate models to make sure all features of the flow field were captured. Testing was done for the effects of reduced size geometries, as well as mesh independence. The simulation geometries used give results that are independent of the geometry size and the mesh density.

6.1.2 Simulation geometry development

Within the development of the simulation geometries it is important that the geometry used for the simulations is representative for the application. By basing the simulation geometry layout on physical models of particle packed beds their computational geometry is kept closest to reality. In developing the sphere packed beds a geometric identical copy was created of a physical packing structure, using periodic boundary conditions. When the size of the geometry had to be reduced, from the full-bed to the wall-segment geometry, the main features of the base physical model were retained. The changes that had to be applied were tested extensively to make sure they would not affect the solution of the simulation.

In developing the cylindrical particle packing structures, the concepts developed in the sphere packings were applied and wall-segment models were created based directly on physical packing experiments. A number of representative packing structures were selected and geometries were created.

6.1.3 Quantitative versus conceptual analysis

The steepest temperature gradient in a heated, or cooled, fixed bed is found at the heated, or cooled, tube wall. The largest improvement in heat transfer properties and effectiveness of the bed can be established in this near-wall region. To be able to facilitate a possible improvement in heat transfer effectiveness of particle-packed fixed beds a better, and more detailed, understanding of the processes involved in this near-wall heat transfer is required. A method was developed for relating near-wall heat transfer and near-wall flow field.

Both quantitative and qualitative analyses were investigated in relating heat transfer properties and flow field. It was found that a quantitative analysis by itself does not provide any additional insight in near-wall heat transfer properties. A more conceptual approach to the problem, linking meso-scale flow features to areas of relatively poor or good heat transfer, gives a comprehensible view of the relation between the near-wall heat transfer and the local flow field. The advantages of the meso-scale approach are the incorporation of flow history, by comparing flow features, while maintaining the detail of the local flow structure. The quantitative analysis can be used to support the findings of the conceptual analysis, effectively giving the quantitative data a supportive role.

To be able to make more generic conclusions about the effects the local flow field has on the near-wall heat transfer it is necessary to compare a range of flow fields and bed structures.

6.1.4 Cylindrical particles

After a proper and validated geometry could be established, and an analysis method was developed for relating near-wall heat transfer and flow properties we can try to answer our initial question, "what are the influences of the catalyst particle geometry on the near-wall heat transfer?"

The particle geometry in this question relates to both the particle design and the orientation of the particle in the bed. In the sphere packed bed geometries the effects of particle geometry are limited, as design specifications are limited, and orientation of the particle is not an issue. To investigate all the aspects of particle geometry, cylindrical particles with different design parameters were utilized. A number of series of simulations were performed investigating different aspects of the particle geometry. Investigated aspects of the particle geometry were, the particle orientation, the number of longitudinal holes in the particle, the size of these holes, the overall bed porosity as a function of the number and size of the longitudinal holes, external features, and finally reactor conditions.

A comprehensive conceptual and global quantitative analysis was performed. After both analyses of the effects of the cylindrical particle geometry on the near-wall heat transfer effectiveness there are no direct relations between bed geometry and near-wall heat transfer. It was found that the intricate flow fields and heat transfer patterns are intimately related. The relation between the two is as complex as either of the concepts.

Some general conclusions on the near-wall heat transfer effectiveness could be drawn. There are distinct quantitative differences between the heat transfer in the different packing structures, but more can be learned from the more detailed qualitative analysis. Particles aligned with the main flow direction, when they include longitudinal holes, enhance bypassing flow through the bed, which has a negative effect on the heat transfer effectiveness. Angled particles, with an angle near 45 degrees and larger with respect to the main flow direction, and with their rounded surface towards the tube wall have a positive effect on the heat transfer effectiveness.

The near-wall heat transfer effect of the number and size of the longitudinal holes in the particles is closely related to the particle orientation in the bed and the placement of the holes in the particles. In general a larger number of holes will increase the amount of bypassing flow, and decrease the amount of radial mixing, having an overall negative effect on the heat transfer properties of the bed.

Beds with identical overall porosities, but different number, and size of holes showed that particles with fewer and bigger holes have better near-wall heat transfer properties.

External features on the particle design show predominantly positive effects on the heat transfer properties.

Particle geometry proves to have a large influence on the near-wall heat transfer properties, ranging from orientation to the details in the particle design. In general it is beneficial for the heat transfer processes to have radial mixing, causing convective heat transport, and detrimental to have axial bypassing flows, which causes the heat transfer to rely on conduction, a much slower process.

6.1.5 Reaction

It was attempted to incorporate a steam reforming reaction scheme into the CFD simulation modeling. Since our first priority was the simulation of heat transfer, for a first look the reaction was modeled as an energetic effect only. It was found that modeling the reaction as a temperature dependent wall heat flux on the catalyst particle surface, independent of species transport, was inadequate as the lack of conduction through the particles and the lack of reaction quenching through mass transfer limitations created a unphysical temperature result.

6.1.6 Summarize

To fully comprehend the effects of the particle geometry on the overall heat transfer process a few other aspects have to be taken into account. Concepts such as overall bed porosity will affect other aspects of the bed, other than the flow features and their influence on the heat-transfer processes. In an industrial process, increasing the overall bed porosity, by adding holes to a catalyst particle design, has other goals than just changing the heat transfer properties. Increasing the porosity will reduce pressure drop and create more reactive surface area on the particles.

In all cases process optimization is a weighing of all factors playing a part in the process. When designing cylindrical catalyst particles with a number of longitudinal holes, it will reduce the heat transfer effectiveness, compared to using solid cylinders, but the advantages obtained through higher overall bed porosity, and increased reactive surface area may outweigh this negative effect. It is then of importance to know how to minimize the negative effects incurred by the changed particle design; this is the area where this study proves to be of crucial importance. By investigating the influence of the particle geometry on the near-wall heat transfer processes it is possible to identify which design parameters have the largest effect on the heat transfer processes. It is then found that in this specific case the particle design with fewer, larger holes will have less negative effects on the near-wall heat transfer properties. Additionally external features, such as grooves on the particle design will have a predominantly positive effect. Also noted is that a large part of the influences is determined by the uncontrollable orientation of the particles in the bed.

The study has shown to be able to identify the influences of local flow features on local heat transfer properties. In the discussed application an amount of insight in these processes has been developed that was previously unattainable. The approach was broad enough to allow for the application of the methods developed on many more specific and general applications of the investigation of fixed bed (heat transfer) properties.

6.2 **Recommendations**

Any research project brings new questions in trying to resolve old questions. This project was no exception to the rule and in this chapter we will describe areas of the project that might require some more attention, or new questions that were brought up as a result of the investigations.

6.2.1 Areas of further research

In this paragraph a number of points are discussed that were partially investigated but may benefit from some more attention, as they were either not part of the main focus of this work, or time was not available to spend more time on these points.

6.2.1.1 Filling the matrix

In investigating the effects of the bed geometry on the near wall heat transfer, a selection of particle designs and bed structures was used. For time reasons the number of simulations was kept to a minimum, with still giving insight in which factors are most influential in bed design. It might be useful to fill the matrix of variables to see if the assumptions made in this work, that most important aspects of the comparisons could be made with a single series of simulations, was an accurate one.

In this work five series of variables were investigated, the bed structure, the number of longitudinal holes in a cylindrical particle, the effect of external features on the particle, the size of the longitudinal holes in the particle, and the reactor conditions. For each series there were only a few simulations performed, at this point some suggestions are made on how these series might be expanded on usefully.

For the investigation of the effect of the bed structure, only one type of particle was used, and for the investigation of the number of holes in the particle only one bed structure was used. It would be beneficial to both of these studies to fill this specific Recommendations

matrix, to both get a better view of the effect of the number of holes in the particles as well as the bed structure.

Number of holes 0 Orientation 4 3 1b $\sqrt{}$ $\sqrt{}$ $\sqrt{}$ $\sqrt{}$ 2 3 performed simulation suggested simulation х non-suggested simulation

Table 6.1, the suggested broadening in the orientations, and number of holes series.

6.2.1.2 Expanding the matrix

Besides combining the series that were performed in this study there are some aspects, which have only been touched on, and it might be useful to expand the range of some of these investigation. Several series of comparisons, investigating a single aspect of the bed geometry, consisted only of two separate measurements. To get a better grasp on the effect of these aspects on the flow behavior and heat transfer properties of the bed, it may be useful to include one or more simulation geometries in these series. Additionally some points in this comparative study may have come up that were not incorporated, because of either time constraints, or their alien relation with the discussed parametric studies. At this point a few of the more useful expansions on the already performed study are suggested.

One of the areas that was only touched on is the size of the holes in the particle. The series of measurements consisted of two simulation geometries, the 4-hole particle with standard size holes and a 4-hole particle with a smaller sized hole. During the project an additional geometry was introduced, the '1-bighole' geometry, to investigate the effects overall porosity had on the acquired results. Still the actual series of the investigation of the size of the holes consists only of two separate measurements, now there are two 4-hole geometries with different sized particles and two single hole geometries with different sized particles. In Table 6.2 several additional geometries are suggested with different hole sizes applied to the particles. Already performed simulations are marked

accordingly. It is suggested that the series are performed in both a 4-hole and a single hole particle geometry, to relate the effect of the number of holes to the hole size. The 'pinhole' is suggested at a quarter of the size of the standard holes, 0.072-inch diameter. Since the big hole, as it was applied to the '1bighole' geometry will not fit in a four hole particle design (there would not be any material left) a large-hole particle is suggested at a $3/8^{th}$ inch size, this is the largest size hole that will fit in a 4-hole layout, leaving enough material to form a particle. Relative hole sizes as suggested are shown in Figure 6.1.

Table 6.2, the suggested expansion in the hole-size series.



Figure 6.1, relative hole sizes of respectively the standard hole, small hole, and the suggested pinhole and large hole particles.

One of the major conclusions drawn in the study was regarding the effects of the number of holes in a particle without changing the overall bed porosity. It is suggested that this series be expanded. Additionally it may be of interest to incorporate the effects of the bed geometry in this series, carrying out all performed and suggested simulations in Table 6.3 in the additional bed geometries.

	Hole size and number									
Series	Pinhole	#	Small hole	#	Standard hole	#	Double hole	#	Big hole	#
1	Х	16	Х	8	\checkmark	4	Х	2		1
2	•	8		4	х	2	•	1	Х	-
3	•	4	х	2		1	х	-	Х	-
√ r	performed simu	lation	· su	igge	ested simulation		x nor	l-sug	gested simulati	on

Table 6.3, the suggested expansion in the porosity series.

Recommendations

In keeping an overall bed porosity that doesn't change it is imperative to change both the size and number of holes, when the size of the holes goes down, the number must go up. The series as listed in Table 6.3 list a few of the hole sizes already discussed, and introducing the double hole. The size of the 'double hole' is, as the name suggests, twice that of the standard hole. This makes the series of hole sizes doubling every step from pinhole to big hole. So the accompanying series of particle design with identical bed porosity will have half the number of holes when the size of the holes increases, as is shown in Table 6.3. The 8hole particle design is suggested with the holes placed at the same radius evenly distributed along the circumference of the particle, this will not be possible in any other particle design than the pinhole. The designs that would require two hole to be present in the particle are also not suggested, since this would create a particle with an extremely uneven hole distribution, causing flow maldistribution, which would be dependent on the placement of the particle.

The second aspect of the particle design that showed a definite improvement was the incorporation of external features. Again the series investigated was very limited, and it would be of great interest to expand the number of simulations to get a clearer and more quantitative idea of the contribution of the external features on the heat transfer process.

	Particle design					
Orientation	Smooth 4hole	Grooves 4hole	Grooves 2 4hole	Grooves 3 4hole		
1b		\checkmark	•	•		
2		•	•	•		
3	\checkmark		•	•		
performed si	mulation ·	suggested simulation	x non-s	uggested simulation		

Table 6.4, the suggested expansion in investigating the effect of external features.

To be able to link the results of the suggested new simulations to the already performed simulations, it is suggested to use the standard 4hole base design for all these simulations. Additionally it may be of interest to perform a selection of these simulations in a solid cylinder geometry, the exact choice of which cases depends on the results of the suggested simulations in Table 6.4. The additional grooves design are suggested at different size of grooves, one larger and one smaller than the grooves in the original design. The original design grooves were arbitrarily defined as $1/8^{th}$ inch diameter cylindrical grooves with their centerline at the particle surface. For the additional geometries a $1/4^{th}$ and $1/16^{th}$ inch diameter groove are suggested.

Additional more exotic design may be of interest of investigation, simple designs where the centerline of the groove-cylinder is placed not exactly on the particle surface, creating shallower or deeper grooves.

A more exotic design that may prove to be of interest, based on the results found in the investigation of the flow field in the original grooves geometry, is a design where the grooves spiral along the outside of the particle. In the flow analysis of the grooves geometry, two distinct aspects of the grooves were identified. The positive influence of a carrying of flow parallel to the grooves along the length of the groove, and a negative aspect of release of flow from the particle when the flow is perpendicular to the direction of the groove. By spiraling the groove along the outside of the particle, parallel flow may be transported radially along the groove as it spirals around the particle. Additionally by spiraling the grooves the points where flow and grooves are perpendicular will be less pronounced, reducing the negative effects.

Another one of the features of the particle orientation that influences the effect it has on the flow field, and therewith the heat transfer properties, is the angle of the particle to the flow. For the performed study it was decided to take a few representative sample orientations of particles in a packing structure. It was found that the angle of the particle in the flow has a distinct influence on whether a particle, and the amount and size of its holes, has a positive or negative effect on the heat transfer.

A simple study with a single particle at different angles in the flow may determine at which angle the holes are an effective flow channel and at which angle they lose this feature. Additionally a series of two particles downstream, from each other at different angles in the flow may show when the alignment of holes becomes a serious bypassing problem.

6.2.2 Areas of new research

In this paragraph a number of points are discussed that were not addressed in this project but are related to the work and may be worthy of investigation. These points came to the attention as a result of discussion of the simulation results, and the analysis of the data.

6.2.2.1 Full reaction modeling

In the reaction modeled in this work, the process is simplified to a simple energetic description of the reaction process. The reaction is modeled as a temperature dependent total energy flux, on the catalyst surfaces, to be able to impose the periodic boundaries of a differential part of the reactor. With periodic boundaries the energy fluxes into (through the heated wall) and out of (through reaction) the reactor can equilibrate. The method gives a detailed description of the temperature profiles in the fluid region of the bed, but description of the temperature profiles in the catalyst particles cannot be accomplished. Additionally the method does not allow for local quenching, as the reaction species, and therefore local concentrations and reactant depletion, are not incorporated.

Several suggestions are made to improve the modeling of the thermal effect of a reaction in a packed bed system.

6.2.2.1.1 Solid conduction

The surface heat flux was chosen to model the reaction energy consumption, since it most closely resembled the eggshell reaction model. It is assumed that most, if not all, of the reaction takes place on the catalyst surface, because the support material of the catalyst particles loses much of its porosity through the impregnation method. The surface heat flux method does not allow for the particle internals to be modeled, and therefore needs to be changed. By incorporating the solid material of the catalyst particles, as was done in the simulations where flow field and heat transfer were linked, we incorporate the temperature distribution through conduction through the material. This does require an alternate way of defining the reaction rate. It is suggested to create a

layered solid zone for the particles, with a bulk solid, in which no reaction is modeled, and a reaction-zone along the surface of the particle in which a volumetric heat sink is defined, based on the reaction kinetics, similar to the surface heat flux definition. This method involves redesigning the bed geometries with different particles that are divided into separate zones; it does allow for modeling the reaction as a thermal effect only. When applied to well-defined particle shapes, e.g. spheres, the added code for the reaction may be modified to incorporate a relative position in the particle, for defining the reaction zone. With the modified code it is not necessary to adjust the simulation model designs.

With the reaction modeled as an energetic effect, reaction quenching through local depletion of reactants cannot be incorporated. This effect can be added to the simulation be artificially capping the reaction rate (or temperature) to a maximum. The determination of this maximum will have to be based on experimental experience.

6.2.2.1.2 Gas phase composition

Extending the description of the reaction to include the species and diffusion of gases into the particle, allowing for a description of the reaction rate as a function of gas concentrations on the catalyst surface and internals, will make it possible to get a more complete view of the temperature distribution in the catalyst particle internals. This method would also require the particle internals to be incorporated in the model (and would therefore also incorporate solid conduction). The addition of the full species balance extends the application of the simulation geometry to model more than a differential part of the reactor. By including species, the gas phase concentration through the geometry will change as the reaction is progressing, also resolving the problems of local depletion of the reactants to quench the reaction.

Additional complications with this approach will be found in resolving a considerably larger amount of interrelated balances in the species and reaction simulation, straining the computational power and convergence of the simulations.

6.2.2.2 Alternate applications

Applying the modeling to other applications than steam reforming may be useful, different boundary conditions on the system may alter or influence the solutions found in this work. It may be useful to look into processes such as partial oxidation where a similar heat transfer driven process is performed. The main interest in simulating partial oxidation would be to see if there is an influence on the general conclusions from this work is the energy fluxes are reversed. In partial oxidation an extremely exothermic reaction is carried out in the fixed bed tubes, which is cooled from the shell side.

6.2.2.3 Expanding bed size

The size of the bed geometry used in this work was dictated by the availability of computational power and the need for detail in the flow geometry. With either more computational power, or less need for detail it may possible to enlarge the investigated area in the bed, allowing looking for more macroscopic effects, e.g. a comparative study with a discrete and porous bed description.

Another area of interest within the expanded bed size aspect is investigating discrete modeling of beds with higher tube to particle diameter ratios. This would also increase the amount of industrial applications for which this type of modeling could be used. Naturally for the expansion in larger tube to particle ratios computational capacity is also a limiting factor.

An additional difficulty in expanding the size of the bed is the definition of the simulation geometries. In chapter 2 a comprehensive description is given of the process required to determine an accurate description of a bed geometry. Especially when periodic boundaries are required the definition of the geometry is difficult and tedious. In increasing the tube-to-particle diameter ratio of a bed the magnitude of this problem increases with the number of particles in the system. Expanding the beds to different types of particles will only complicate the problem.

For the definition of particle packed beds it is possible to simulate the packing of a bed using computational methods (Chu and Ng, 1989; Liu and Thompson, 2000;

Recommendations

Nandakumar et al., 1999; Nolan and Kavanagh, 1992). Most published methods available for this use square columns and create open packing structures, which need to be turned into dense packing structures separately. After the experiences in defining the N = 4 geometries it is highly suggested that for higher N beds a code be developed to create dense particle packings in appropriate (possibly variable) vessels. To effectively use these bed geometries in CFD modeling a necessary additional feature is the incorporation of periodic boundaries. A code can be imagined that forces a particle layout on either side of the geometry.

Nomenclature

A =	pre-exponential factor	[-]
$c_p =$	fluid heat capacity	[J/kg·K]
$d_t =$	tube diameter	[m]
$d_p =$	particle diameter	[m]
e =	emissivity	[-]
$E_1, E_2, etc =$	activation energy	[kJ/mol]
G =	mass flow rate	[kg/m2·s]
$\Delta H =$	enthalpy	[kJ/mol]
H =	fluid helicity	[-]
h =	heat transfer coefficient	$[W/m^2 \cdot K]$
$h_w =$	wall heat transfer coefficient	$[W/m^2 \cdot K]$
$k_1, k_2, etc =$	reaction constants	[-]
$K_{CO}, K_{H}, etc =$	equilibrium constants	[-]
$k_{\rm f}$ =	fluid conductivity	[W/m·K]
$k_r =$	radial conductivity	$[W/m \cdot K]$
$k_{ss} =$	solid conductivity of the sphere	$[W/m \cdot K]$
$k_{sw} =$	solid conductivity of the wall	[W/m·K]
$\Gamma =$	length of the heated bed	[m]
m =	Mass	[kg]
N =	tube to particle ratio (d_t/d_p)	[-]
P, p =	static pressure	[Pa]
q =	heat flux	$[W/m^2]$

	Nomenclature	234
r =	radial coordinate	[m]
$r_p =$	particle radius	[m]
$r_t =$	tube radius	[m]
$r_h =$	hole radius	[m]
R =	gas constant	8.314 [J/mol·K]
$rp_p =$	radial position of a particle	[m]
s =	arc length	[m]
T =	temperature	[K]
u =	gas velocity	[m/s]
v =	superficial gas velocity	[m/s]
x =	coordinate	[m]
y =	coordinate	[m]
Z =	axial coordinate	[m]

$\beta =$	thermal expansion coefficient	$[K^{-1}]$
= 3	turbulence dissipation rate	[J/s]
= 3	bed porosity	[-]
κ=	turbulent kinetic energy	[J]
$\mu_{mol}, \mu_{eff}, \mu =$	(molecular, effective) fluid viscosity	[Pa·s]
$\theta = \theta$	dimensionless temperature $(T-T_{in})/(T_{wall}-T_{in})$	[-]
	tangential coordinate	[m]
ρ =	fluid density	[kg/m ³]
$\tau =$	deviatoric stress tensor	$[N/m^2]$
ξ=	fluid vorticity	[-]
Dimensionless numbers

wall Nusselt number	$\mathrm{Nu}_{\mathrm{w}} = \frac{\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{p}}}{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{f}}}$
Péclet number	$Pe = \frac{Gc_p d_p}{k_r}$
Prandtl number	$Pr = \frac{c_{p}\mu}{k_{f}}$
Reynolds number	$\operatorname{Re}_{p} = \frac{\rho v d_{p}}{\mu}$

Abbreviations

CAD =	Computer Aided Design
CFD =	Computational Fluid Dynamics
CSG =	Constructive Solid Geometry
cv=	control volume
FD =	Finite Difference
FE =	Finite Element
FV =	Finite Volume
GSA =	Geometric Surface Area
LDA =	Laser Doppler Anemometry
MRI =	Magnetic Resonance Imaging
PDE =	Partial Differential Equation
RNG =	Renormalized Group
RSM =	Reynolds Stress Model

UNS = Unstructured

Literature References

- Benenati, R.F. and Brosilov, C.B. (1962) Void fraction distribution in beds of spheres. *AIChE Journal* **8**, 359-361.
- Bey, O. and Eigenberger, G. (1997) Fluid flow through catalyst filled tubes. *Chemical Engineering Science* **52**, 1365-1376.
- Bode, J. (1994) Computational fluid dynamics applications in the chemical industry. Computers & Chemical Engineering 18 SUPPL, S247-S251.
- Borkink, J.G.H. and Westerterp, K.R. (1994) Significance of axial heat dispersion for the description of heat transport in wall-cooled packed beds. *Chemical Engineering Journal* **15**, 371-384.
- Brinkman, H.C.A. (1947) Calculation of the viscous force exerted by a flowing fluid on a dense swarm of particles. *Appl. Sci. Res.*, Sect A1, 27-34.
- Calis, H.P.A., Nijenhuis, J., Paikert, B.C., Dautzenberg, F.M. and van den Bleek, C.M. (2001) CFD Modeling and experimental validation of pressure drop and flow profile in a novel structured catalytic reactor packing. *Chemical Engineering Science* 56, 1713-1720.
- Carberry, J.J. (1976) Chemical and catalytic reaction engineering. *McGraw-Hill*, New York.
- Carslaw, H.S. and Jaeger, J.C. (1959) Conduction of Heat in Solids. 2nd edition, University Press, Oxford.
- Cheng, G.J., Yu, A.B. and Zulli, P. (1999) Evaluation of effective thermal conductivity from the structure of a packed bed. *Chemical Engineering Science* **54**, 4199-4209.

- Chu, C.F. and Ng, K.M. (1989) Flow in packed tubes with a small tube to particle diameter ratio. *AIChE Journal* **35**, 148-158.
- Cybulski, A., Eigenberger, G. and Stankiewicz, A. (1997) Operational and structural nonidealities in modeling and design of multitubular catalytic reactors. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, **36**, 3140-3148.
- Dalman, M.T., Merkin, J.H. and McGreavy, C. (1986) Fluid flow and heat transfer past two spheres in a cylindrical tube. *Computers & Fluids* 14, 267-281.
- Damköhler, G. (1937) Der Chemie Ingenieur, Eucken-Jacob Volume 3, Akadem. Verlag., Leipzig
- Daszkowski, T. (1991) Strömung, stoff- und wärmetransport in schüttungsgefüllten rohrreaktoren. *Ph.D. Thesis*, Institute für Chemische Verfahrenstechnik, Universität Stuttgart.
- Daszkowski, T. and Eigenberger, G. (1992) A reevaluation of fluid flow, heat transfer and chemical reaction in catalyst filled tubes. *Chemical Engineering Science* **47**, 2245-2250.
- Debus, K., Nirschl, H., Delgado, A. and Denk, V. (1998) Numerische simulation des lokalen impulsaustausches in kugelschüttungen. *Chemie Ingenieur Technik* 70, 415-418.
- Delnoij, E., Kuijpers, J.A.M. and van Swaaij, W.P.M. (1997) Computational fluid dynamics applied to gas-liquid contactors. *Chemical Engineering Science* 52, 3623-3638.
- Derkx, O.R. (1995) Wall heat transfer coefficient in a fixed bed reactor. *M.S. Thesis* Worcester Polytechnic Institute.
- Derkx, O.R. and Dixon, A.G. (1996) Determination of the fixed bed wall heat transfer coefficient using computational fluid dynamics. *Numerical Heat Transfer Part A* 29, 777-794.

- Dixon, A.G. (1985) The length effect on packed bed effective heat transfer parameters. *Chemical Engineering Journal* **31**, 163-173.
- Dixon, A.G. (1997) Heat transfer in fixed beds at very low (<4) tube-to-particle diameter ratio. *Industrial and Engineering Chemistry Research* **36**, 3053-3064.
- Dixon, A.G. and Cresswell, D.L. (1979) Theoretical prediction of effective heat transfer parameters in packed beds. *AIChE Journal* **25**, 663-676.
- Eigenberger, G. and Ruppel, W. (1986) Problems in mathematical modeling of industrial fixed-bed reactors. *Ger. Chem. Eng.* **9**, 74-83.
- Esterl, S., Debus, K., Nirschl, H. and Delgado, A. (1998) Three dimensional calculations of the flow through packed beds. 4th European Computational Fluid Dynamics Conference 1 (Pt. 2), 692-696.
- Fluent UNS version 4.2.8 User's Guide Volume 2 (1997) Fluent, Inc
- Freiwald, M.G. and Paterson, W.R. (1992) Accuracy of model predictions and reliability of experimental data for heat transfer in packed beds. *Chemical Engineering Science* 47, 1545-1560.
- Freund, H., Zeiser, T., Huber, F., Klemm, E., Brenner, G., Durst, F. and Emig, G. (2003) Numerical simulation of single phase reacting flows in randomly packed fixed-bed reactors and experimental validation. *Chemical Engineering Science* 58, to be published in the ISCRE 17 special edition.
- Froment, G. and Bischoff, K. (1979) *Chemical Reactor Analysis and Design*. Wiley, New York.
- Giese, M., Rottschäfer, K. and Vortmeyer, D. (1998) Measured and modeled superficial flow profiles in packed beds with liquid flow. *AIChE Journal* **44**, 484-490.
- Haidegger, E., Vortmeyer, D. and Wagner, P. (1989) Simultane lösung van energie-, stoff- und impulsgleichungen für wandgekühlte chemische festbettreaktoren. *Chemie Ingenieur Technik* 61, 647-650.

- Harris, C.K., Roekaerts, D., Rosendal, F.J.J., Buitendijk, F.G.J., Daskopoulos, Ph., Vreenegoor, A.J.N. and Wang, H. (1996) Computational fluid dynamics for chemical reactor engineering. *Chemical Engineering Science* **51**, 1569-1594.
- Hennecke, F.W., Schlünder, E.U. (1973) Wärmeübergang in beheizten oder gekühlten Rohren mit Schüttungen aus Kugeln, Zylindern und Raschig-Ringen. *Chemie Ingenieur Technik* 45, 277-284.
- Hou, K., and Hughes, R. (2001) The kinetics of methane steam reforming over a Ni/α-Al₂O catalyst. *Chemical Engineering Journal* **82**, 311-328.
- Jiang, Y., Khadilkar, M.R., Al-Dahhan, M.H. and Dudukovic, M.P. (2002a) CFD of multiphase flow in packed-bed reactors: I. k-Fluid modeling issues. *AIChE Journal* 48 (4), 701-715.
- Jiang, Y., Khadilkar, M.R., Al-Dahhan, M.H. and Dudukovic, M.P. (2002b) CFD of multiphase flow in packed-bed reactors: II. Results and applications. *AIChE Journal* 48 (4), 716-730.
- Kalthoff, O. and Vortmeyer, D. (1980) Ignition/extinction phenomena in a wall cooled fixed bed reactor experiments and model calculations including radial porosity and velocity distributions. *Chemical Engineering Science* 35, 1637-1643.
- Kays, W.M. (1994) Turbulent Prandtl number where are we? *Journal of Heat Transfer* -116, 284-295.
- Khanna, R. and Seinfeld, J.H. (1987) Mathematical modelling of packed bed reactors: numerical solutions and control model development. *Adv. Chem. Engng.* **13**, 113-191.
- Kuipers, J.A.M. and Swaaij, W.P.M. van (1998) Computational fluid dynamics applied to chemical reaction engineering. *Advances in Chemical Engineering* 24, 227-328.
- Kutsovsky, Y.E., Scriven, L.E., Davis, H.T. (1996) NMR Imaging of velocity profiles and velocity distribution in bead packs. *Physical Fluids* **8**, 863-871.

- Landon, V.G., Hebert, L.A. and Adams, C.B. (1996) Heat transfer measurement for industrial packed bed tubular reactor modeling and design. *AIChE Symposium Series, Heat Transfer, Houston* No. 310, Vol. 92, 134-144.
- Launder, B.E., Spalding, D.B. (1972) *Lectures in mathematical models of turbulence*. Academic Press, London
- Launder, B.E., Spalding, D.B. (1974) The numerical computation of turbulent flows. *Computer methods in applied mechanics and engineering* **3**, 269-289.
- Lerou, J.J. and Froment, G.F. (1977) Velocity, temperature and conversion profiles in fixed bed catalytic reactors. *Chemical Engineering Science* **32**, 853-861.
- Li, C.H. and Finlayson, B.A. (1977) Heat transfer in packed beds a reevaluation. *Chemical Engineering Science* **32**, 1055-1066.
- Liu, G. and Thompson, K.E. (2000) Influence of computational domain boundaries on internal structure in low-porosity sphere packings. *Powder Technology* **113**, 185-196.
- Lloyd, B. and Boehm, R. (1994) Flow and heat transfer around a linear array of spheres. *Numerical Heat Transfer, Part A* 26, 237-252.
- Logtenberg, S.A. (1997) Computational fluid dynamics studies of fixed bed heat transfer. Worcester Polytechnic Institute, M.S. Thesis.
- Logtenberg, S.A. and Dixon, A.G. (1998a) Computational fluid dynamics studies of fixed bed heat transfer. *Chemical Engineering and Processing* **37**, 7-21.
- Logtenberg, S.A. and Dixon, A.G. (1998b) Computational fluid dynamics studies of the effects of temperature-dependent physical properties on fixed-bed heat transfer. *Industrial and Engineering Chemistry Research* **37**, 739-747.
- Logtenberg, S.A., Nijemeisland, M. and Dixon, A.G. (1999) Computational fluid dynamics simulations of fluid flow and heat transfer at the wall-particle contact points in a fixed bed reactor. *Chemical Engineering Science* **54**, 2433-2439.

- Lopez-Isunza, H.F. and Kershenbaum, L.S. (1992) The distortion of measured temperature profiles in fixed-bed reactors. *Chemical Engineering Research and Design* **70**, 255-264.
- Mark, H.F., editor in chief. (1978) *Kirk & Othmer Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology*. 3rd edition, Wiley, New York.
- Mark, H.F., editor in chief. (1985) *Encyclopedia of Polymer Science and Engineering*. 2nd edition, Wiley, New York.
- McGreavy, C., Kam, E.K.T., Foumeny, E.A., Guidoum, A. and Ikponmwosa, A.N. (1984) A study of flow patterns in packed beds. 2nd International Symposium on Application of Laser Anemometry to Fluid Mechanics Lisbon, July.
- McGreavy, C., Foumeny, E.A. and Javed, K.H. (1986) Characterization of transport properties for fixed bed in terms of local bed structure and flow distribution. *Chemical Engineering Science* **41**, 787-797.
- Melanson, M.M. (1984) Solid phase radial heat transfer in stagnant packed beds for low tube to particle diameter ratios. *M.S. Thesis,* Worcester Polytechnic Institute.
- Morales, M., Spinn, C.W. and Smith, J.M. (1951) Velocities and effective thermal conductivities in packed beds. *Industrial and Engineering Chemistry Research* **43**, 225-231.
- Mueller, G.E. (1997) Angular porosity distributions in fixed beds of low diameter aspect ratio. *Canadian Journal of Chemical Engineering* **75**, 677-683.
- Nandakumar, K, Shu, Y. and Chuang, K.T. (1999) Predicting geometrical properties of random packed beds from computer simulation. *AIChE Journal* **45**, 2286-2297.
- Nijemeisland, M. (2000) Verification studies of computational fluid dynamics in fixed bed heat transfer. *Worcester Polytechnic Institute, M.S. Thesis*, etd-0426100-142021

- Nijemeisland, M. and Dixon, A.G. (2001) Comparison of CFD simulations to experiment for convective heat transfer in a gas-solid fixed bed. *Chemical Engineering Journal* 82, 231-246.
- Nirschl, H., Dwyer, H.A., and Denk, V. (1995) Three dimensional calculations of the simple shear flow around a single particle between two moving walls. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* 283, 273-285.
- Nolan, G.T. and Kavanagh, P.E. (1992) Computer simulation of random packing of hard spheres. *Powder Technology* 72, 149-155.
- Papageorgiou, J.N. and Froment, G.F. (1995) Simulation models accounting for radial voidage profiles in fixed-bed reactors. *Chemical Engineering Science* **50**, 3043-3056.
- Park, J. and Gibbs, J.G. (1999) Mapping flow and dispersion in a packed column by NMR. *AIChE Journal* **45**, 655-660.
- Paterson, W.R. (1975) University of Edinburgh, PhD Thesis.
- Paterson, W.R. and Carberry, J.J. (1983) Fixed bed catalytic reactor modeling, the heat transfer problem. *Chemical Engineering Science* **38**, 175-180.
- Price, J. (1968) The distribution of fluid velocities for randomly packed beds of spheres. Mech. Chem. Engng Trans. 7, 14.
- Ranade, V.V. (1995) Computational fluid dynamics for reactor engineering. *Reviews in Chemical Engineering* 11, 229.
- Ranz, W.E. and Marshall Jr., W.R.(1952) Evaporation from drops, part 1. Chemical Engineering Progress 48, 173-180.
- Schouten, E.P.S., Borman, P.C. and Westerterp, K.R. (1995) Oxidation of ethene in a wall-cooled packed-bed reactor. *Chemical Engineering Science* **49**, 4725-4747.
- Schuster J. and Vortmeyer, D. (1981) Geschwindigkeit in gasdurchströmten, isothermen kugelschüttungen. *Chemie Ingenieur Technik* **53**, 806-807.

- Schwartz, C.E. and smith, J.M. (1953) Flow distribution in packed beds. *Ind. Eng. Chem.* **45**, 1209-1218.
- Sederman, A.J., Johns, M.L., Bramley, A.S., Alexander, P. and Gladden, L.F. (1997) Magnetic resonance imaging of liquid flow and pore structure within packed beds. *Chemical Engineering Science* 52, 2239-2250.
- Sokolichin, A. and Eigenberger, G. (1994) Gas-liquid flow in bubble columns and loop reactors: Part I. Detailed modelling and numerical simulation. *Chemical Engineering Science* 49, 5735-5746.
- Sørensen, J.P., and Stewart, W.E. (1974a) Computation of forced convection in slow flow through ducts and packed beds – I Extensions of the Graetz problem. *Chemical Engineering Science* 29, 811-817.
- Sørensen, J.P., and Stewart, W.E. (1974b) Computation of forced convection in slow flow through ducts and packed beds – II Velocity profile in a simple cubic array of spheres. *Chemical Engineering Science* 29, 819-825.
- Sørensen, J.P., and Stewart, W.E. (1974c) Computation of forced convection in slow flow through ducts and packed beds III Heat and mass transfer in a simple cubic array of spheres. *Chemical Engineering Science* **29**, 827-832.
- Thompson, K.E. and Fogler, H.S. (1997) Modeling flow in disordered packed beds from pore-scale fluid mechanics. *AIChE Journal* **43**, 1377-1389.
- Tsotsas, E. and Schlünder, E.-U. (1990) Heat transfer in packed beds with fluid flow: remarks on the meaning and the calculation of a heat transfer coefficient at the wall. *Chemical Engineering Science* **45**, 819-837.
- Vortmeyer, D. and Winter, R.P. (1984) On the validity limits of packed bed reactor continuum models with respect to tube to particle diameter ratio. *Chemical Engineering Science* **39**, 1430-1432.

- Vortmeyer, D. and Haidegger, E. (1991) Discrimination of three approaches to evaluate heat fluxes for wall-cooled fixed bed chemical reactors. *Chemical Engineering Science* 46, 2651-2660.
- Vortmeyer, D. and Schuster, J. (1983) Evaluation of steady flow profiles in rectangular and circular packed beds by a variational method. *Chemical Engineering Science* **38**, 1691-1699.
- Welch, G.J. (19856 The effects of structure on heat transfer in tubular fixed bed reactors. MS Thesis, Worcester Polytechnic Institute.
- Westerink E.J., Koster, N. and Westerterp, K.R. (1990) The choice between cooled tubular reactor models; analysis of the hot spot. *Chemical Engineering Science* **45**, 3443-3455.
- Winterberg, M., Tsotsas, E., Krischke, A. and Vortmeyer, D. (2000) A simple and coherent set of coefficients for modelling of heat and mass transport with and without chemical reaction in tubes filled with spheres. *Chemical Engineering Science* 55, 967-979.
- Xu, J. and Froment, G.F. (1989) Methane steam reforming, methanation and water-gas shift: I. Intrinsic kinetics. *AIChE Journal* 35, 88-96.
- Ziòlkowska, I. and Ziòlkowski, D. (1993) Modeling of gas interstitial velocity radial distribution over a cross-section of a tube packed with a granular catalyst bed. *Chemical Engineering Science* **48**, 3283-3292.
- Zeiser, T., Steven, M., Freund, H., Lammers, P, Brenner, G., Durst, F. and Bernsdorf, J. (2002) Analysis of the flow field and pressure drop in fixed-bed reactors with the help of lattice Boltzmann simulations. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society London A* 360, 507-520.

Appendices

Appendix 1: The standard κ-ε turbulence model

With Reynolds averaging the solution variables in the Navier Stokes equations are decomposed into the mean, \overline{u}_i , and fluctuating, u'_i , components. When this is applied to the standard Navier Stokes equation (1.14), the result is:

$$\frac{\partial(\rho u_{i})}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial(\rho u_{i} u_{j})}{\partial x_{j}} = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial x_{i}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}} \left[\mu \left(\frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{j}} + \frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{i}} \right) - \left(\frac{2}{3} \mu \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} \right) \right] + \frac{\partial(-\rho u_{i} u_{j})}{\partial x_{j}}$$
(A.1)

The velocities and other solution variables are now represented with time-averaged values, the effects of turbulence are represented by the 'Reynolds stresses', $(-\rho u_i^{\dagger} u_j^{\dagger})$, which are modeled by the Boussinesq hypothesis:

$$-\rho \overline{u_{i}'u_{j}'} = \mu_{t} \left(\frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{j}} + \frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{i}} \right) - \frac{2}{3} \left(\rho \kappa + \mu_{t} \frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{i}} \right) \delta_{ij}$$
(A.2)

The turbulent viscosity, μ_t , is defined with κ , the turbulent kinetic energy and ϵ , its rate of dissipation. The κ - ϵ turbulent model was developed and described by Launder and Spalding (1972).

$$\mu_{t} = \rho C_{\mu} \frac{\kappa^{2}}{\epsilon}$$
 (A.3)

The turbulent kinetic energy and its dissipation rate are taken from the adapted transport equations:

$$\frac{\partial(\rho\kappa)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial(\rho u_{i}\kappa)}{\partial x_{i}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} \left[\left(\mu + \frac{\mu_{t}}{\sigma_{\kappa}} \right) \frac{\partial\kappa}{\partial x_{i}} \right] + G_{\kappa} + G_{b} - \rho\epsilon$$
(A.4)

and

$$\frac{\partial(\rho\varepsilon)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial(\rho u_i\varepsilon)}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left[\left(\mu + \frac{\mu_i}{\sigma_{\varepsilon}} \right) \frac{\partial\varepsilon}{\partial x_i} \right] + C_{1\varepsilon} \frac{\varepsilon}{\kappa} \{ G_{\kappa} + (1 - C_{3\varepsilon}) G_{\nu} \} - C_{2\varepsilon} \rho \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\kappa}$$
(A.5)

In these equations, G_{κ} is the generation of turbulent kinetic energy, κ , due to turbulent stress, and is defined by

$$G_{\kappa} = -\rho \overline{u_{i}^{'} u_{j}^{'}} \frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{i}}$$
(A.6)

 G_b is the generation of turbulent kinetic energy, κ , due to buoyancy,

$$G_{b} = \beta g_{i} \frac{\mu_{t}}{Pr_{t}} \frac{\partial T}{\partial x_{i}}$$
 (A.7)

Here, Pr_t is the turbulent Prandtl number for temperature or enthalpy, β is the thermal expansion coefficient,

$$\beta = -\frac{1}{\rho} \left(\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial T} \right)_{p}$$
 (A.8)

The model constants, $C_{1\epsilon}$, $C_{2\epsilon}$, C_{μ} , σ_{κ} and σ_{ϵ} were used with the default settings, these are,

 $C_{1\epsilon}=1.44, C_{2\epsilon}=1.92, C_{\mu}=0.09, \sigma_{\kappa}=1.0, \sigma_{\epsilon}=1.3 \text{ and } Pr_t=0.85.$

The default values have been established from experimental work with air and water and were found to work well for a wide range of wall bounded and free shear flows (Launder and Spalding, 1972). The simulation results for turbulent cases, discussed for this project, have been determined using these default values.

In a system with both heat and mass transfer an extra turbulent factor, k_t , is included, see equation (A.8), it is derived from an adapted energy equation, as were ε and κ . The turbulent heat transfer is dictated by turbulent viscosity, μ_t , and the turbulent Prandtl number, Pr_t .

Appendices

Other effects that can be included in the turbulent model are buoyancy and compressibility effects.

The energy equation in the standard κ - ϵ model used by Fluent UNS is solved in the form of a transport equation for static temperature. The temperature equation is obtained from the enthalpy equation, which is only solved in special cases, by taking the temperature as a dependent variable. The enthalpy equation is defined as,

$$\frac{\partial(\rho h)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial(\rho u_{i}h)}{\partial x_{i}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} (k + k_{t}) \frac{\partial T}{\partial x_{i}} - \frac{\partial \sum_{j} h_{j} J_{j}}{\partial x_{i}} + \frac{Dp}{Dt} + (\tau_{ik})_{eff} \frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{k}} + S_{h} \quad (A.9)$$

In this equation S_h includes heat of chemical reaction, any inter-phase exchange of heat, and any other user defined volumetric heat sources. k_t is defined as the conductivity due to turbulent transport,

$$k_{t} = \frac{c_{p}\mu_{t}}{Pr_{t}}$$
(A.10)

and the enthalpy h is defined as,

$$\mathbf{h} = \sum_{j} m_{j} \mathbf{h}_{j} \tag{A.11}$$

where m_j is the mass of species j and,

$$\mathbf{h}_{j} = \int_{T_{ref}}^{T} c_{\mathbf{p},j} dT$$
 (A.12)

Another part of the heat transfer equations is the heat transfer through solid particles within the mesh. The balances for solid regions are defined by,

$$\frac{\partial(\rho h)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(k \frac{\partial T}{\partial x_i} \right) + \dot{q}$$
 (A.13)

The last term q is the volumetric heat source. The sensible enthalpy, h, is defined as,

 Appendices	249
$\mathbf{h} = \int_{T_{ref}}^{T} c_{p} dT$	(A.14)

which is consistent with equation (A.12) since $c_p = \sum_j m_j c_{p,j}$.

Appendix 2: Geometric design in GAMBIT

Step by step instruction for the creation of a cylinder packing wall segment model in GAMBIT:

- Create section of tube at 2 inch radius
- Create block for 120-degree-section, 2-layer-height cut-off
- Place cylinders according to desired orientation, Table A. 1.
- Subtract volumes
 - Subtract block from cylinder
 - o Subtract block from all particles sticking out of segment area
 - Subtract particles from segment, leaving the particle volume in place
- Connect ALL faces on particle/fluid interface
 - Naming all faces may easier identify unconnected faces
- Optimizing mesh density
 - o Creating extra edges to control mesh locally, e.g. at contact points
 - This needs to be performed before any linking of the mesh takes place, as links are broken when extra surfaces are made.
 - In sphere geometry extra planes are added to cut spheres along the equator and circumference (meeting at the contact points).
 - Along these edges special graded meshing allows for user control of the mesh size and accuracy
 - In the top and bottom planes added vertices need to be identical on both planes to allow for later linking.

- Linking inlet and outlet plane for periodic conditions
 - Add vertices in top and bottom plane so they are identical
 - Select identical faces on top and bottom planes, and identical vertices, one for each loop in the plane.
 - Always select 'reverse orientation' when linking
 - Check for proper meshing by looking at the perpendicular view (along z-axis)
- Creating a mesh
 - After the linked surfaces are meshed, the rest of the geometry can be meshed.
 - When local control is required:
 - Mesh Edges with desired grading
 - Mesh Planes with a minimal uniform meshing and without removing previous mesh, all previously defined edges will be used to create a refined surface mesh
 - Mesh Volumes without removing previous mesh.
- Name all boundaries and regions
 - Names and zones in UNS are determined by the names and types of the zones in GAMBIT
 - Name major fluid zone, and solid zones
 - Name all walls (as wall zones) separately that need separate boundary conditions defined on them. Inlet and outlet zones will be defined in UNS.
 - Symmetry zones are separately defined.

$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Particle	Orientation 1b	Orientation	2 Orientation 3
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1	R +45 +x	R +90	+y R +90 +x
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		T -1.45 +x	T -1.42	+x T -1.42 +x
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		R +40 +z	T +1	+z T +1 +z
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			R +30	+z R +30 +z
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2	R -45 +x	R +90	+x h = 0.98
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		T -1.45 +x	R +30	+z T -1.48 +x
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		T +1 +z	T +1	+z R +45 +z
Image: space of the system		R +20 +z	T +0.5	+y
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			T -1.17	+x
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3	1	h = 0.98	2
R +5 +z R 4 R +5 +x 3 R +45 +x 7 6 R +90 +x R -45 +x 7 6 h -1.42 +y C +2 +z T -1.45 +x 7 6 h +90 +y 5 5 5 7 -1.42 +y 7 7 6 7 -1.42 +y 7 7 7 6 7 -1.42 +y 7		C +2 +z	T -1.48	+x C +2 +z
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		-	R +5	+ Z
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4	R +5 +x	3	R +45 +x
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		T -1.48 +x	C +2	+z T -1.45 +x
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		R -9 +z		T +1 +z
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				R -25 +z
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5	4	R +90	+x R -45 +x
R+55+zR-5+z6R+90+y55C+2+z76 R +5+z R +45+x76 R +45+xT-1.45+x776 R +90+x R +12+18 R +90+x $h = 0.98$ R +45+x771 R +90+x R +128 R +90+x $h = 0.98$ R -45+x7 -1.42 +y T +1+z R +458 R -17.5+z T -0.2 +y R +105+z9 R +45+x R +90+x R +105+z10 R -445+y T -0.25 +x T -0.25 +x1110 R -45+y T -1.45 +x R +90+x1110 R +2+z T -1.45 +x R +90+x1110 R +2+z T -1.45 +x R +30+z		C +2 +z	T -1.42	+x T -1.45 +x
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			R +55	+z R -5 +z
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	6	R +90 +v	5	5
R+5+zR+45+x76h = 0.98R+45+xC+2+zT-1.48+xTR+75+zR+85+z8R+90+xh = 0.98R-45T-1.42+yT+1+zT-1.42+yT+1+zT-1.42+yT+1+zT-1.45+xR-45+x71+zT-0.2+yR-17.5+zT-0.35+x9R+45+xR+90+x8T-1.45+xR+907-0.25+xR+40+z10R-45+y9rT-0.25+xC+2+z1110R+45+xRC+2+zT-1.45+x1110R+45+xRC+2+zT-1.45+x1110R+2+z	-	T -1.42 +V	C +2	+7 C $+2$ $+7$
7 6 h = 0.98 R +45 +x C +2 +z T -1.48 +x T -1.45 +x T -1.45 +x T +1 +z T +1 +z R +85 +z 8 R +90 +x h = 0.98 R -45 +x 7 -1.42 +y T +1 +z R -45 +x 7 -1.45 +x T -1.45 +x R -45 +x 8 R +90 +x h = 0.98 R -45 +x 7 -1.42 +y T +1 +z T -1.45 +x 7 -1.45 +x T -0.2 +y R +105 +z 9 R +45 +x R +90 +x 8 7 -1.45 +x R +40 +z C +2 +z 9 R +45 +x R +40 +z C +2 +z 10 R -45 +y 9 r = 0.49 10 R -45 +y 9 r = 0.49 11 10 R +45 +x R +90 +y 11 10 R +45 +x R +90 +x 11 10 R +45 +z T -1.45 +x R +90 +x 11 10 R +45 +z T -1.45 +x R +90 +x		R +5 +z	0 . 2	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	7	6	h = 0.98	R +45 +x
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		C +2 +z	T -1.48	+x T -1.45 +x
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			T +1	+z T +1 +z
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			R +75	+z R +85 +z
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	8	R +90 +x	h = 0.98	R -45 +x
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		T -1.42 +y	T +1	+z T -1.45 +x
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		T +1 +Z	Т -0.2	+y R +105 +z
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		R -17.5 +z	T -0.35	+x
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	9	R +45 +x	R +90	+x 8
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		T -1.45 +x	R +40	+z C +2 +z
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		T -1 +z	T -0.18	+y
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		R -40 +z	T -0.25	+x
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10	R -45 +y	9	r = 0.49
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		T -0.25 +x	C +2	+z R +90 +y
ID T -0.25 +x 11 10 R +45 +x R +90 +x C +2 +z T -1.45 +x R +30 +z				T +1 +z
11 10 R +45 +x R +90 +x C +2 +z T -1.45 +x R +30 +z				T -0.25 +x
C +2 +z T -1.45 +x R +30 +z	11	10	R +45	+x R +90 +x
		C +2 +z	T -1.45	+x R +30 +z
R -50 +z T -0.25 +x		. –	R -50	+z T -0.25 +x
T -0.25 +y				T -0.25 +y
12 R +90 +x 11 11	12	R +90 +x	11	11
T +1 +Z C +2 +Z C +2 +Z		T +1 +z	C +2	+z C +2 +z
T -0.35 +y		T -0.35 +V		
T +0.2 +x		T +0.2 +X		

Table A. 1, placement of the particles in the different bed geometries.

R = rotate, T = translate, C = copy, h = adjust height to, r = adjust radius to. Rotations are in degrees, translations in inches, based on a 1 inch diameter, 1 inch height particle.

Appendix 3: From mesh to case in Fluent UNS

- Read a .msh file as a case into UNS.
 - Check grid for inconsistencies (if necessary remedy in GAMBIT)
 - Grid \rightarrow check
 - Scale grid appropriately
 - Grid \rightarrow scale
- Define \rightarrow Models
 - $\circ \rightarrow$ energy, enable energy
 - → viscous → kappa-epsilon, RNG, standard or non equilibrium wall functions
- Define \rightarrow Materials
 - o Alumina
 - Mixture (at appropriate reactor position)
- Define \rightarrow Operating conditions
 - o Set operating pressure, this case, Synetix data at Inlet, 2,159,000 Pa
- Define \rightarrow Boundary conditions
 - Cylinder wall → define heat flux, 113,300 W/m² (Synetix, inlet)
 - All particles add heat sink (until incorporation of reaction)
- Adjust the flow inlet and outlet planes

Periodic

- Input
 - 1. Grid
 - 2. Modify-zones
 - 3. Make-periodic
- Enter zones, i.e. top and bottom
- Define translational periodicity
- Define→ Operating conditions
 - In case of periodic conditions
 - Define \rightarrow Periodic conditions
 - Set mass flow rate (this case, 0.02677 kg/s) and direction z
- Define→ Boundary conditions
 - o Non-periodic case
 - Velocity inlet v_{in} = 3.86 m/s; T= 824.15 K (Synetix data)
 - Pressure outlet, P= 0; T= 824.15 K (Synetix data)
- Setting up the Iteration; flow solution
 - o Solve→Controls→Solution
 - Activate only Flow and Turbulence balances
 - Set all underrelaxation factors to -0.2 of the default
 - o Solve→Monitors→Residual
 - Check plot
 - Adjust convergence criteria, if needed
 - o Solve→Controls→Initialize
 - Initialize case with uniform z-directional-velocity and temperature

Vin Pout

- Define \rightarrow Boundary conditions
- Pick 'top' change to pressure outlet
- Pick 'bottom' change to velocity inlet

- o Solve→Iterate→Iterate
- Setting up the Iteration; energy solution
 - Read .dat file from flow solution
 - o Solve→Controls→Solution
 - Activate only Energy balances
 - Set all underrelaxation factors to -0.2 of the default
 - o Solve→Monitors→Residual
 - Check plot
 - Adjust convergence criteria, if needed
 - It is not necessary to initialize the run since a data set was read in at the start. The data set has the flow profile and a uniform temperature since this was not adjusted in the flow solution.
 - o Solve→Iterate→Iterate

Appendix 4: Full-bed wall-segment flow comparisons

In the main text, in section 2.3.3, when comparisons are made between the Full-bed N = 4 and the Wall-segment geometry only a selection of flow velocity plots are shown. Data was collected and compared, however, for a larger number of positions. The additional plots are listed in this appendix.



Figure A. 1, top view of the wall segment geometry, with the planes for comparisons indicated.

The plots in this appendix are organized symmetrically around the center comparison plane in the segment geometry, plane 4. Plots for planes 3 and 5, 2 and 6, and 1 and 7 are shown together. The geometry of the bed in these planes is similar, which should make it possible to draw some comparisons between the plots



Figure A. 2, layout plots of the 6 comparison planes, illustrating the similarities between the layout of planes 3 and 5, 2 and 6, and 1 and 7 respectively.











p5 z1



Figure A. 3, axial velocity comparisons in planes 3 and 5.













p5 z2



Figure A. 4, radial velocity comparisons in planes 3 and 5.





p3 z2





p5 z1



Figure A. 5, tangential velocity comparisons in planes 3 and 5.















p6 z1



Figure A. 6, axial velocity comparisons in planes 2 and 6.













p6 z2



Figure A. 7, radial velocity comparisons in planes 2 and 6.











p6 z1

p6 z2



Figure A. 8, tangential velocity comparisons in planes 2 and 6.











p7 z1



Figure A. 9, axial velocity comparisons in planes 1 and 7.











p7 z1



Figure A. 10, radial velocity comparisons in planes 1 and 7.





p7 z3

p1 z2





p7 z1



Figure A. 11, tangential velocity comparisons in planes 1 and 7.

Appendix 5: UDF code for simple steam reforming reaction in fluent

The user defined code incorporated in Fluent to simulate the thermal effects of a steam reforming reaction. The reaction mechanism used was described in Hou and Hughes (2001), the reaction parameters from this model were used in conjunction with the reactor conditions specified in the simulation.

```
#include "udf.h"
DEFINE PROFILE(t dep flux, thread, position)
  face_t f;
  real face temp;
 begin f loop(f, thread)
  face temp = F T(f, thread);
  if (face temp <= 550)
      F PROFILE(f, thread, position) = 0.0;
    }
  else
      real kco, kh, kh2o, den, k1, Kp1, r1, k2, Kp2, r2, k3, Kp3, r3;
      kco = 5.127e-13 * exp(140/(0.0083144*face temp));
      kh = 5.68e-10 * exp(93.4/(0.0083144*face temp));
      kh2o = 9.251 * exp(-15.9/(0.0083144*face temp));
      den = (1+(1.0795*kco)+(3.285575*kh)+(135.5*kh2o))*
            (1+(1.0795*kco)+(3.285575*kh)+(135.5*kh2o));
      k1 = 5.922e8 * exp(-209.2/(0.0083144*face temp));
      Kp1 = 1.198e17 * exp(-26830/(face temp));
```

```
r1 = ((k1*1009.407)*(1-((1/Kp1)*0.001798)))/den;
     k2 = 6.028e-4 * exp(-15.4/(0.0083144*face_temp));
     Kp2 = 1.767e-2 * exp(4400/(face_temp));
     r2 = ((k2*12.56586)*(1-((1/Kp2)*1.145387)))/den;
     k3 = 1.093e3 * exp(-109.4/(0.0083144*face_temp));
     Kp3 = 2.117e15 * exp(-22430/(face_temp));
     r3 = ((k3*11749.95)*(1-((1/Kp3)*0.002059)))/den;
     F PROFILE(f, thread, position) =
      ((-206100*r1)+(41150*r2)+(-165000*r3));
    }
end_f_loop(f, thread)
```

}

}